New Mexico Human Services Department Medicaid Cost Containment Issues

Presentation to Interim Legislative Finance Committee

Katie Falls, Deputy Secretary, HSD Carolyn Ingram, Medicaid Director, HSD November 19, 2009

New Mexico Human Services Department

Highlights for today's discussion

1. Medicaid Budget Projections

- Projected Expenditures
- Projected Revenues

2. Cost Containment

- Already Implemented
- Cost Containment for FY10
- Coverage Concept & Design for FY11

3. Summary Points



Projections assume the following expenditures

- 1. Current provider rates and current benefits/programs
- 2. Modest cost containment at this point
 - What program expenditures would look like without significant additional cost containment
- 3. Significant enrollment & utilization increases for kids, disabled individuals and elders
 - Economy
- 4. Modest SCI enrollment increases, maximizing available federal funds (with wait list for individuals)
- 5. FY11 expenditures includes FY10's currently expected shortfall and loss of federal ARRA funds



Projections assume the following revenues

- 1. ARRA funding at mid-level (Tier 2) thru 9/30/09
- 2. ARRA funding at high-level (Tier 3) thru 12/31/10
 - > Includes projected additional \$12,000.0 for FY10 and \$9,000.0 for FY11
- 3. Stable County Supported Medicaid funds & other state agencies are able to provide all necessary match for their programs
- 4. Some, but not all possible CHIPRA bonus funding for increased enrollment of kids
 - > \$1,700.0 included; possible additional \$2,300.0 not included for FY11
- 5. FY11 revenues do not include FY10's non-recurring funding
 - > \$29,200.0 in tobacco settlement funds
 - > \$1,500.0 in GF for behavioral health enhancements
- 6. Modest but not all possible federal disallowances



Projected Expenditures FY09 & FY10

(As of 10-7-09, Using August 2009 Data & HB17 – *in thousands*)

- 1. <u>FY09</u> **\$123,000 Surplus** GF has been moved to 10820 for other state GF needs
 - ➤ GF replaced by ARRA stimulus funds through 12/31/10
- 2. <u>FY10</u> Expected shortfall due to increased enrollment & costs
 - Projected Shortfall (\$37,000.0 to \$47,000.0) GF
 - \$37,000.0 to \$41,000.0 GF projection compared to "operating budget"
 - Additional \$5,900.0 GF as 1% reduction Executive Order
 - Projected Expenditures \$3.7 billion Total (\$650,000.0 GF)



Projected Expenditures FY11

(As of 10-7-09, Using August 2009 Data – in thousands)

- FY11 Expected Shortfall Will Grow Exponentially Without Significant Cost Containment Efforts
 - Projected Shortfall \$325,000.0 to \$335,000.0 GF
 - > Annualization of FY10's extraordinary enrollment growth
 - Normal program growth if no cost containment
 - Lost Tobacco Settlement
 - ➤ Lost Federal ARRA Funds for 2nd Half of FY11
 - \$147,000.0 in lost federal dollars projected for FY11
- 2. In FY12, another \$140,000.0 \$160,000.0 GF Needed to Replace ARRA Funds + Additional GF Needed for Normal Growth
- 3. Next Projections Jan 2010



Therefore, HSD is assuming . . .

- Significant Cost Containment efforts will be necessary for 1. current FY10
 - Public input meetings held public comments can be viewed at www.hsd.state.nm.us/mad/RPublicInformation.html
 - ✓ Sept 23 (Albuquerque) ✓ Sept 28 (Las Cruces)
 - ✓ Sept 24 (Tribal Issues Santa Fe) ✓ Sept 30 (Santa Fe)
 - Medicaid Advisory Committee August 17 & October 19
- Cost Containment <u>and</u> Coverage Redesign of the Medicaid Program 2. will likely be needed for FY11 and FY12
 - Public input meetings being planned
 - ✓ Dec 8 (Las Vegas) ✓ Dec 14 (Roswell)

- ✓ Dec 9 (Espanola) ✓ Dec 15 (Las Cruces)
- ✓ Dec 11 (Albuquerque) ✓ Dec 18 (Tribal Consultation Gallup)



Medicaid Advisory Committee - December 21 and January 2010

Areas of cost containment

NOW:

- Additional administrative changes
- Slowing enrollment growth
- Benefit elimination & reductions
- Provider rate reductions
- Co-pays

LATER, But Planning Now:

- Fundamentally restructuring program for after ARRA funds go away (FY11 & FY12)
- > Introducing coverage concept
- Possible eligibility changes
 - Not allowed by ARRA through December 31, 2010



Cost containment already implemented

1. Administrative

- Reduction in administrative allowances for MCOs to 14% in FY10; 13% in FY11
- Increased sanctions for non-performance of MCOs
- > Decrease in MCO rates (\$35,000.0 GF reduction for FY10)
- Increased disease management
- Increased use of value-added services for prevention & wellness
- Beginning implementation of clinical homes in Behavioral Health and medical homes in Salud! Managed Care and CoLTS
- Increased focus on individuals with multiple diagnoses

2. Slowed Enrollment, Utilization and Benefit Growth

- Reduced outreach efforts
- Some changes in rates (e.g., hospital outpatient for radiology in process)
- > Tighter utilization review criteria
- Tighter monitoring of polypharmacy
- Implemented SCI waiting list



What cost containment requires

- 1. Federal Approvals
 - State Plan Amendments
 - Waiver changes
- 2. Adjustment for Federal Changes
 - > ARRA
 - Health Reform and SCI (see handout)
- 3. State (HSD) Regulation Changes
- 4. IT System Changes (MMIS & ISD2)
- 5. These Mean:
 - Staff efforts beyond current work load
 - Contract dollars
 - Time (which impacts cost savings)

New Mexico Human Services Department

See Handout



For FY11, HSD is looking at new coverage concept

- ◆ Eliminate all but mandatory services for mandatory populations, then allow add-ons
 - Maximize revenue from patient cost-sharing
 - Allow purchase of additional benefits at varying cost
 - Restructure certain current programs into single waiver with tighter benefit offerings



Possible opportunities that might increase coverage

1. Medicaid Services Plan (MSP)

Mandated services for mandated populations in Medicaid

2. State Coverage Plan (SCP)

- SCI-like services for Medicaid-eligible optional populations
- Various premiums and co-pays, depending on income

3. Optional benefits

- Examples: vision, dental, transportation assistance, additional behavioral health or OT/PT/ST, lower co-pays
- Allow Medicaid-eligible populations to buy into these benefits

4. Buy-in for non-eligible populations and/or groups (e.g., small businesses)

- Any available product purchasable at state's cost; or subsidized by income, at Legislature's discretion
- Offered through Exchange or as a coverage option in HSD



Summary take home points . . .

1. HSD Has Already Done Significant Cost Containment

Without changing enrollment, benefits, provider rates or programs

2. Options Are More Limited Now - Because:

- > ARRA limits eligibility reduction options until 01/01/11; federal health reform may further restrict options
- Economy is causing enrollment growth even without outreach
- Changes have been made that make enrollment growth more likely and retention of enrollees easier
- Challenges in managing SCI
- 3. HSD Has Started Now to Have Any Impact on FY10 & FY11

