New Mexico Human Services Department Medicaid Cost Containment Issues

Presentation to Legislative Finance Committee

Pamela S. Hyde, Secretary, HSD August 12, 2009



Highlights for Today's Discussion

- Medicaid Projected Expenditures
 FY10 Deficit & Likely FY 11 GF Need
- 2. Cost Containment Info & Options
- 3. Implications
- 3. Summary Take Home Points



PROJECTED EXPENDITURES



Projections Assume (re Expenditures):

- Current Provider Rates and Current Benefits/Programs
- Only Modest Cost Containment At This Point
 - What program expenditures would look like *without* significant cost containment
- Enrollment & Utilization Trends Reflect Increasing Trends Due To Economy and CoLTS Growth
- Modest SCI Enrollment Increases, Maximizing Available Federal Funds

Projections Assume (re Revenues):

- ARRA Funding At Mid-Level (Tier 2) thru 12/31/10
- Revenues Include Continued Tobacco Settlement Funds, Stable County Supported Medicaid Funds and Other State Agencies Are Able to Provide All Necessary Match for Their Programs
- Some, But Not All Possible CHIPRA Bonus Funding for Increased Enrollment of Kids



Projected Expenditures FY09 & FY10

(As of 8-4-09, Using June 2009 Data – in thousands)

- <u>FY09</u> Surplus Will Be Moved to HB920 Fund for Other State GF Needs
 - Projected Surplus \$120,000.0 \$130,000.0 GF
 - ➢ GF replaced by ARRA stimulus funds through 12/31/10
- <u>FY10</u> Expected Shortfall Due to Increased Enrollment & Costs
 - Projected Shortfall \$35,000.0 to \$40,000.0 GF
 - Projected Expenditures \$3,931,738.0 Total (\$628,969.0 GF)
 - > Operating Budget \$3,672,750.0 Total (\$591,666.0 GF);
 - \$195,760.0 less GF than FY09's original GF appropriation (about \$162,073.0 less than FY09's appropriation after 2009 session cuts)



Projected Expenditures FY11

(As of 8-4-09, Using June 2009 Data – in thousands)

- <u>FY11</u> Expected Shortfall Will Grow Exponentially Without Massive Cost Containment
 - Projected Shortfall \$200,000.0 to \$300,000.0!!!
 - > Annualization of FY10's extraordinary enrollment growth
 - Normal program growth if no cost containment = 8-12%
 - Approximately \$60,000.0 to \$90,000.0 GF for normal growth
 - Driven largely by enrollment increases, utilization growth, & CoLTS (more people served; more services provided)
 - Plus Lost Federal ARRA Funds for Second Half of FY11
 - Estimated \$110,000.0 to \$115,000.0 in lost federal dollars for FY11
 - (In FY12, another \$110,000.0 -- \$115,000.0 federal dollars would need to be replaced with GF)
- First Actual FY11 Projection Will Be Submitted 09/01/09
- Another Projection Will Be Done in Late 2009 Before the Executive Budget Is Submitted in January 2010

Therefore . . .

- Unless We See A Positive Turnaround In Expenditures or Revenue for <u>FY10</u>, <u>Significant</u> Cost Containment Efforts Will Be Necessary Beginning This Fall
- Things That Might Affect FY10 Expenditures:
 - Changes in enrollment growth trend up or down
 - Possible federal audit findings unclear outcomes
 - Increased unemployment, allowing NM additional ARRA funds (move into Tier 3?)
 - More or Less CHIPRA Bonus
 - Federal Health Reform decisions
- Without Significant Increases in GF Appropriations for the Medicaid Program for <u>FY11</u> – Even With A Positive Turnaround in Projected Expenditures – <u>Massive</u> Cost Containment Efforts Will Be Needed in FY11 Starting This Fall
- Things That Might Affect FY11 Expenditures
 - Same things as FY10, plus change in deficit for FY10 (therefore changing the "push forward" of expenditures into FY11)
 - New Mexico Human Services Department

About Cost Containment



Possible Types of Cost Containment

- Eligibility Changes
 - > Not Allowed by ARRA through December 31, 2010
- Benefit Elimination & Reductions
- Rate Reductions
- Slowing Enrollment Growth
- Administrative Changes
- Fundamentally Restructuring Program for After ARRA Funds Go Away



Cost Containment Already Underway

Administrative

- Reduction in administrative allowances for MCOs to 14% in FY10; 13% in FY11 (no more than 5% of which can be profit)
- Increased sanctions for non-performance of MCOs
- > Decrease in MCO rates (\$35,000.0 GF reduction for FY10)
- Increased disease management
- Increased use of value-added services for prevention & wellness
- Beginning implementation of clinical homes in Behavioral Health and medical homes in *Salud*! Managed Care and CoLTS
- Increased focus on individuals with mutliple diagnoses

• Slowed Enrollment, Utilization and Benefit Growth

- Reduced outreach efforts
- Changes in rates (e.g., hospital outpatient for radiology inj process)
- > Tightened utilization review criteria
- Tighter monitoring of polypharmacy
- > Restructured behavioral health benefits toward more effective and cost-efficient



What Cost Containment Takes

- Federal Approvals
 - State Plan Amendments
 - Waiver Changes

State (HSD) Rule Changes

IT System Changes (MMIS & ISD2)

These Mean:

- Staff efforts beyond current work load
- Contract dollars
- Time (which impacts cost savings)



Cost Containment Options

NOTE:

1. Amounts Are Estimated and Annualized Unless Otherwise Indicated

2. <u>Takes More Reductions To Save GF Now, Due to Higher ARRA FMAP</u>



Benefit Elimination – Examples

- Vision Benefit for Adults = \$203.5 GF
- Adult Dental Benefits, Except Emergency = \$1,729.8 GF
- Hospice Services = \$236.9 GF
- Podiatry Services for Adults = \$122.1 GF
- Hearing Aids & Hearing Evaluations for Adults = \$96.7 GF
- Physical, Occupational & Speech Therapy for Adults = \$366.3 GF
- Nursing Services in Schools = \$67.2 GF
- School Health Transportation Services = \$46.8 GF
- School-Based Services = \$630.9 GF
- NOTE: Many Behavioral Health Services Are Not Mandatory, So Could Be Eliminated Or Reduced

Benefit Reductions – Examples (1)

- Limit Personal Care Option Number of Hours = \$4,985.8 GF
- Telephone Monitoring of PCO Services = \$508.8 GF
- Require Hospitals to Use In-State Facilities = \$699.8 GF
- Restrict Scale on Orthodontics = \$508.8
- Increase Co-pays for Emergency Room (\$3) & Brand Drugs (\$5) = \$468.1 GF
- Non-Emergency Transportation Only for 65 Miles Outside Community = \$178.1 GF
- Eliminate Meals and Lodging for Recipients = \$178.1 GF
- Eliminate Payments for Attendant Transportation = \$63.7 GF
- Cap Number of Prescriptions Per Month for Adults = \$170.9 GF
- Polypharmacy Protocols = \$132.3 GF



Benefit Reductions – Examples (2)

- Reduce Respite LTC Benefit By 1/2 = \$264.6 GF
- Reduce Nursing Respite for Long Term Care = \$27.2 GF
- Eliminate Installation Fee for Emergency Response Systems = \$11.5 GF
- Limit Community LTS Payments to Cost of Nursing Home Care = \$101.8 GF
- Annual Cap on Psychosocial Rehab Group Sessions = \$146.5 GF
- Annual Cap on Behavioral Management Services = \$106.8 GF
- Restrict Comprehensive Community Support Services (CCSS) to Allow Only Core Service Agencies (CSAs) to Bill = \$254.4 GF
- Reduce Home Environmental Modification Benefit = \$96.5 GF
- Eliminate Nursing Home Bed Hold Days = \$854.7 GF
 - Limit Behavioral Health Therapies to 20 Per Year = \$326.6 GF

Rate Reductions – Examples (1)

♦ 1% Decrease in Provider Rates

- > All Providers = \$6,300.0 GF
- Nursing Facility = \$353.7 GF
- ▹ ICF MRs = \$46.5 GF
- ➢ Hospitals = \$530.2 GF
- Practitioner Payments on Surgical Codes = \$339.8 GF
- Practitioner Payments on Radiology Codes = \$169.9 GF
- > All Practitioners = \$3,398.5 GF
- Outpatient Radiology Rates to Equal Medicare = \$3,072.9 GF
- Out-of-Network Payments to 95% of FFS = \$244.2 GF
- Eliminate PCO & Waiver Background Check Payments = \$36.6 GF

Rate Reductions – Examples (2)

- Pharmacy Dispending Fee Reduction to \$2.50 When Generics Not Substituted = \$25.3 GF
- Psych Hospital Inpatient At Negotiated Rates Rather Than Percentage of Billed Charges; Outpatient At Medicare Rates = \$122.6 GF
- ◆ Reduce ARTC & RTC By 10% = \$771.3 GF
- Require National Drug Code (NDC) on Medical Claims to Collect Drug Rebates = \$350.0 GF (Additional Revenue)
- Cost Settle Border Hospitals = \$47.8 GF
- Reduce Transportation Rates for Schools By 50% = \$23.4 GF

Administrative Changes – Examples

- Move Native Americans Into Managed Care w/ Value-Added Benefits = \$610.5 GF
- Move School-Based Services to Managed Care = \$64.7 GF
- Paper Assessments for Annual PCO Re-Determinations = \$16.8 GF
- Assessment on Managed Care Interest on Reserves = TBD (Additional Revenue)
- Move Financial Payments for Mi Via to MMIS Contractor = \$50.9 GF
- Move All Long Term Services into CoLTS (PACE, All Waivers, Etc.) = \$3,694 GF
- Consolidate Waiver Waiting Lists = TBD
- NOTE: Cost Reductions for MCOs Already Accomplished in FY09 & FY10 = \$35,000.0 GF (Savings Already Included in Projections)



Slowing Enrollment Growth – Examples

- Implement Waiting List in SCI = \$16,156.4 GF
- Slow SCI Enrollment
 - > 1,000 SCI Adults Not Enrolled = \$1,615.6 GF
- Reinstate Premium Cost-Sharing In SCI Below 100% FPL
 = \$3,398.5 GF
- Limit Outreach/Aggressive Recertification = \$774.1 GF
 - > 1,000 Kids Not Enrolled = \$700.8 GF
- Implement Waiting List for PAK & PAM = \$2,100.0 (GF)
- Introduce Sliding Fee for PAM = \$2,300.0 GF
- Automatically Enroll Presumptively Eligible Children into Managed Care = \$279.2 GF

Fundamental Restructuring – Two Options

- 1. Eliminate Whole Programs That Have High Costs
 - ➢ For example − SCI, Personal Care, Medications
- 2. Eliminate All But Mandatory Services for Mandatory Populations
 - While Maximizing Revenue from Patient Cost-Sharing &
 - Allowing Purchase of Additional Benefits By Anyone at Varying Amounts
 - Restructure Current Waivers into Single Waiver w/ Much Smaller Benefit Offerings



Go to Flip Charts

IMPLICATIONS



- HSD Must Begin Cost Containment by September 2009 Unless Additional Revenue Is Identified
- Other State & Local Agencies Will Be Impacted (Total Dollars, Federal and State Combined)
 - > Most local public schools; many county & city facilities/clinics
 - > UNM
 - > DOH Facilities & Programs
 - 1% Reduction for Nursing Facility Rates = \$220.0
 - 1% Reduction for ICF-MR Rates = \$8.7
 - 1% Reduction for all Practitioner Codes = \$30.0
 - Eliminating Adult Dental Services = \$10.0
 - > CYFD Facilities & Programs
 - 10% Reduction for ARTC, RTC, and Group Homes = \$580.0
 - ALTSD Programs
 - > IHS & Tribal Facilities & Programs
- Waiver Waiting Lists Will Grow Even More Rapidly And/Or Persons w/ Disabilities Will See Significantly Less Services in the Community

- ♦ All Hospitals Will Be Affected
- Almost All Community-Based Providers Will Be Affected
 - Medical
 - Behavioral Health
 - Long Term Services
 - Home Health
- Every \$1.00 of GF Not Spent Means Approximately \$5.00 in Lost Economic Activity for the State, Therefore:
 - Lost jobs
 - Lost personal income taxes
 - > Lost state and local Gross Receipts Taxes (GRT)
 - Lost GF revenue statewide
 - Lost premium tax (paid in large part by federal dollars)



• Not Covering Some Kids and Adults Will Result In:

- Lost federal bonus funds (ARRA)
- Lost federal funds in future years (CHIPRA Allocation) and/or
- Increased health care costs as adults

Uninsured Numbers Will Increase, Therefore:

- Health care and health insurance costs for those covered by commercial and employer-based insurance will increase (including state & school employees, retirees, etc.)
- All hospitals, IHS facilities and free clinics such as FQHCs will see increased pressure and less revenue



- HSD May Not Be Able To Reduce Costs Fast Enough or Substantially Enough To Prevent Moving FY10 Expenditures into FY11
 - Can only expect perhaps \$10,000.0 -- \$15,000.0 for FY10
 - > Makes FY11 GF need higher
- THEREFORE, LITTLE CHOICE BUT TO BEGIN NOW & PLAN COMPLETE RESTRUCTURING OF MEDICIAD PROGRAM, UNLESS SIGNIFICANT ADDITIONAL APPROPRIATIONS ARE LIKELY FOR FY11
- Federal Health Reform Proposals May Require Maintenance Of Effort, Thereby Restricting Changes States Can Make In Eligibility



Summary Take Home Points . . .

• HSD Has Already Done Significant Cost Containment

Without changing enrollment, benefits or programs

• **Options Are Limited Now – Because:**

- ARRA limits eligibility reduction options until 1/1/11; federal health reform may further restrict options
- > Economy is causing enrollment growth even without outreach
- Changes have been made that make enrollment growth more likely and retention of enrollees easier – these cannot be undone

<u>Any Cost Containment Will Have Profound Impacts on All New</u> <u>Mexicans</u>

- All current enrollees; all eligible persons; and all persons covered by commercial insurance
- > All state & local providers; all schools; all communities
- Significant loss of federal dollars into New Mexico; therefore significant impact on NM economy & jobs; state & local tax revenues; and NM uninsured rates & commercial insurance rates
- Significant reduction in access to health care services and providers

HSD Has to Start Now to Have Any Impact on FY11