1	New Mexico CSED Guideline
2	Commission Meeting #2
3	
4	
5	Moderated by Betina McCracken
6	Tuesday, September 13, 2022
7	10:01 a.m.
8	
9	
10	Remote Proceeding
11	Santa Fe, NM 87501
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	Reported by: Brett Torrence
17	JOB NO.: 5425388
18	
19	
20	
21	
2 2	
23	
2 4	
25	
	Page 1

1	APPEARANCES
2	List of Attendees:
3	Betina McCracken, Acting Director of CSED
4	Jill Goodmacher
5	Kari Armijo, Deputy Secretary
6	Wanda Martinez
7	Antoinette Sedillo Lopez, Senator
8	Gina DeBlassie, Governor's Office
9	Teague Gonzalez, Center on Law and Poverty
10	Johnny Wilson, Fathers New Mexico
11	Shannon Broderick Bulman, Judge
12	James T. Martin, Judge
13	Stephen Klump, Hearing Officer
14	Dr. Jane Venohr, Economist
15	Blas Villaneuva
16	
17	
18	
19	
2 0	
21	
22	
23	
2 4	
25	
	Page 2

1	CONTENTS	
2	CONTENTS	DACE
		PAGE
3	Ms. Betina McCracken	8
4	Ms. Kari Armijo	70
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	Pag	ge 3

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	MS. MCCRACKEN: Also, we did some tests
3	from the last time, and it doesn't appear that the
4	GoTo Meeting we have available to us is allows us
5	to raise hands. However, what you can do is, in the
6	chat, you can type a message to everyone and either
7	say you have a question, "I have a question," and then
8	we'll monitor that, and we'll pay attention to it, or
9	you can type your question directly in there.
LO	We're going to go ahead and mute
L1	everyone. And then when you want to speak, you can
L2	unmute yourself, so that way we don't have, you know,
L3	noise that's going on at the same time. So Jill or
L4	John, can you mute everyone, and then I'll have to
L5	unmute myself real quick.
L6	Jill, are you able to do that?
L7	MS. GOODMACHER: Everybody is muted,
L8	Betina.
L9	MS. MCCRACKEN: You did it. Great,
20	thank you. Okay. So everyone should have received
21	the power point presentation that we're going to go
22	over today. I'm going to start. We're going to get
23	moving. Okay?
24	We always start our meetings at the
25	Human Services Department acknowledging that we're on

1	the unceded ancestral lands of the original peoples of
2	Apache, Dine, and Pueblo, past, present, and future,
3	and with gratitude, we pay our respects to the land,
4	the people, and the communities that contribute to
5	what today is known as the State of New Mexico.
6	Our mission at the Human Services
7	Department is we transform lives. Working with our
8	partners, we design and deliver innovative
9	high-quality health and human services that improve
10	the security and promote independence for New Mexicans
11	and their communities.
12	And we are focusing on four goals at
13	the Human Services Department. We help New Mexicans,
14	we communicate effectively, we make access easier, and
15	we support each other.
16	The meeting agenda, if we can approve
17	this meeting agenda, that would be great. We have the
18	Welcome. Welcome, everyone. Roll call, I'll go over
19	it, and then we'll go to the approving of the agenda.
20	So roll call, we have Kari Armijo, you
21	are with us. Is that correct?
22	MS. ARMIJO: Present. Thank you.
23	MS. MCCRACKEN: Great. I am here.
24	Thank you, everyone. Betina. I am the acting
25	director at Child Support.

1	Wanda Martinez, I know she was running
2	late.
3	MS. MARTINEZ: Hi, I'm here. I'm here.
4	Thank you.
5	MS. MCCRACKEN: Thank you, Wanda.
6	Senator Antoinette Sedillo Lopez, we heard from her
7	earlier, so I know she's with us. Are you still with
8	us? We muted her mic at the same time, so she's
9	driving, that might be difficult.
10	Gina DeBlassie from the governor's
11	office. Gina, are you with us? I see you. Can you
12	hear us? Okay. Great. Thank you.
13	Teague Gonzalez with the Center on Law
14	and Poverty, are you with us? I don't see Teague, so
15	we'll pay attention closely and see if she joins us.
16	Okay? John and Jill, if we can pay attention to that.
17	Johnny Wilson, I think I saw you join
18	in. Are you with us, Johnny? I could have sworn I
19	saw him join earlier. Maybe he dropped. Okay. We'll
20	pay attention that as well.
21	Judge Shannon Broderick, are you with
22	us?
23	MS. BULMAN: Yes, Shannon Bulman. I am
24	present. Thank you. Good morning, everybody.
25	MS. MCCRACKEN: Thank you very much.

Judge Martin, I know you're with us.
Right?
MR. MARTIN: Good morning. I am
present.
MS. MCCRACKEN: Good morning. Judge
Kallunki? Not yet. Okay. Let's pay attention to
that in case we get him to join in.
And Hearing Officer Klump? Stephen,
you're with us?
MR. KLUMP: Good morning, all.
MS. MCCRACKEN: Good morning. Thank
you.
Okay. Today's agenda. We had some
action items from the last meeting and we have some
answers to go over. CSED modernization efforts,
enforcement action results, child support payment
sources, where we're getting our payments, actual
hours worked, national and local data, \$5 medical
support, impact of incorporating the self-support
reserve.
We'll have public input, then we have
some decision items and next steps to go over as a
commission, and then Deputy Secretary Kari Armijo is
going to go over some agency legislative ideas under
discussion.

1	So if we're good with this agenda, if I
2	can have a motion to approve the agenda, we can move
3	on.
4	MS. MARTINEZ: I move to approve.
5	MS. MCCRACKEN: Thank you. Anyone
6	second?
7	MR. MARTIN: James Martin, I'll second.
8	MS. MCCRACKEN: Thank you very much.
9	Anyone opposed? Great. And I see that Teague
10	Gonzalez has joined us. Thank you, Teague, for
11	joining us.
12	MS. GONZALEZ: Thank you.
13	MS. MCCRACKEN: All right. So here's
14	our action items that we are going to report out
15	today. Child support modernization efforts. We have
16	been doing a lot of work in the Human Services
17	Department to modernize the child support program.
18	Senate Bill 21 sorry.
19	Legislative Session 2021, we passed
20	Senate Bill 140, which implemented child support
21	guideline changes that reflect actual income, ability
22	to pay, and reduced what we call the look-back period
23	to three years, which is the I'm going to forget
24	what the actual term is now retro arrears from
25	twelve years to three years. This is something that

1	the department had been trying to do for years,
2	actually, and we were very pleased to do that in 2021.
3	It took effect in July of 2021, so
4	we're just over a year of we have these child support
5	orders that are based on actual income, ability to
6	pay, and we're not looking back beyond three years for
7	retro arrears.
8	Early data is showing promise, with 73
9	percent of new orders making monthly obligations. And
10	this is actually from Dr. Venohr's report. And in
11	Exhibit 10 here, you can see that the data from July
12	to December, it's early data, and it's a small sample,
13	but you can see that 73 percent of newly established
14	orders with payments are being made, so that's current
15	support. And that's an increase from 67 percent for
16	calendar year 2020 data that is in the report, and an
17	increase from 62 percent back in 2017. So I'm really
18	we are very pleased with the early results here.
19	Continuing our child support
20	modernization efforts, this is huge one that we've
21	been working on, and it's supporting, training, and
22	employing parents up. It's our STEPUp! program. It's
23	a partnership with the Department of Workforce
24	Solutions for job development and job opportunities
25	for our non-custodial parents who are unemployed or

1 underemployed. 2 We also offer up this referral to the 3 Department of Workforce Solutions for custodial parents who are not on TANF, because TANF refers those 4 5 parents to Department of Workforce Solutions through the TANF program. 6 7 The pilot began in 2019 and went 8 statewide in 2020, just in time for the pandemic. So 9 it was -- it's been a struggle, there is no doubt 10 about that. But what we are -- we're seeing some 11 promise here. About 48 percent of all of those 12 referrals that complete their interviews have job 13 placements during state fiscal year '22. 14 We are doing things to try to improve 15 this program even more. We have an initiative to 16 automate our referrals in state fiscal year 2023. 17 We're working on that, so it refers from our system, 18 the Child Support Enforcement System, to the 19 Department of Workforce Solutions. Right now it's a 20 manual process, and it's pretty time consuming. 2.1 The STEPUp! program is the focus of our public service announcements that we launched in 22 23 September. They are on TV and radio in English and 2.4 Spanish, and on radio in Dine. And there's a link

right here if so -- the power point presentation that

25

1	you have, you can link there, and you can this is a
2	link to the English version. But we are really trying
3	to get the word out about this program.
4	And I see that Johnny joined, so thank
5	you for joining us, Johnny.
6	We're trying to get the word out about
7	this program so that we can increase these referrals,
8	so that we overall increase the placements for jobs.
9	So STEPUP! program is a really key modernization
10	initiative at the child support program.
11	Other modernization efforts, we now
12	have online and by phone payment options for
13	non-custodial parents. Pilot began in June of 2020
14	and went statewide in November 2020. Actually yes,
15	November 2020. I have October data, but that was
16	still pilot. We're exploring options to cover fees
17	for debit and credit payments, because that might be a
18	hindrance for some non-custodial parents in making
19	payments through online or by phone.
20	On the right side here, this is our
21	online payment count. You can see in October of
22	'20 June sorry. October of 2020, we had about
23	69 payments that were being made. August of 2022 here
24	was our highest number of payments made through online
25	or by phone, and that was 2,260.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

We e-file -- we started e-filing with the courts, and we phased that in. All offices are e-filing with the courts as of January of 2021. This is a much more efficient way to get our orders and communication through the courts. So we're thrilled about that. And the administrative office of the courts was a great partner in helping us get that implemented.

We implemented performance measured data driven processes statewide in February of 2022. What this means is that all of our modernization efforts that we've been focusing on, we changed the way that our child support legal assistance and the field offices are working. We're working with the data that we have to improve our performance. We used organizational change management to get that done, and we're evaluating this on -- semi-annually to see if changes need to made further.

We moved our Child Support Enforcement
System to an online version from the mainframe.
That's not a really sexy thing to do, but it was
extremely important, and it will allow us to make more
modernization efforts in our CSES replacement
modernization, which is our next step in modernizing
the system itself.

1	We have electronic document management
2	in progress. We have two offices of our fourteen
3	offices that are on EDM, electronic document
4	management. And we're going to roll that out to all
5	of our offices by the end of the calendar year. So we
6	are getting rid of our actual files.
7	We are updating the child support
8	unified portal, so for both our customers and for our
9	employers, and we're hoping that will be a much more
10	customer friendly way of communicating with us,
11	uploading documents, really just making it easier for
12	our customers and our employers, who are so important
13	to us in communicating with us.
14	And the big next change is funding we
15	received in the last legislative session to implement
16	Families First Distribution Rule changes. So we're
17	moving from perora [ph] distribution to DRA
18	distribution, and that will be effective January 2023,
19	just in time for tax season. But on the right, this
20	is an idea of how much, when our how much money
21	comes in.
22	As an average New Mexico child on child
23	support, how much can I expect to receive each month?
24	So this is all dependents and all money that comes in.
25	You can see these spikes that happen periodically.

1	This was during stimulus money that was coming in in
2	2020, and we saw a lot of unemployment benefits that
3	were coming in in 2021. So despite spikes, we're
4	hoping that this is going to be more consistent. And
5	with this change, the Families First Distribution
6	Rules, more of this money will go to families and not
7	be collected for TANF recoveries.
8	We are focusing on establishment and
9	modifications. And you can see on the chart here,
10	before the pandemic, and before we really implemented
11	a lot of our modernization efforts, we had a lot of
12	enforcement actions that were happening. Those
13	that's the red portion of the graph.
14	And we had establishment cases and
15	modifications. What we're really now, definitely,
16	we decreased our court cases or court filings during
17	the pandemic, but what's nice is that we're still not
18	seeing as many enforcement actions and we're focusing
19	on modifications and establishing orders, and
20	establishing right size court orders so that people
21	can make those payments.
22	You all wanted to know about
23	enforcement actions and success. There was a study
24	that we did in 2017, and this chart, on the right,
25	shows the success of the Bench Warrant program. So

shows the success of the Bench Warrant program. So

Т	orders to show cause may result in bench warrants, and
2	that is our ultimate enforcement action.
3	And when a bench warrant is issued,
4	they may result in payments, but usually one-time
5	payments. That's either someone who wants to quash
6	the bench warrant and they come and they pay it, or
7	they get arrested, and they pay it. They get
8	arrested, they may not get they may not have to pay
9	to get out of jail. It depends on what the situation
10	is.
11	But the what we can see here is that
12	that first month is a payment, usually the bench
13	warrant that they pay. And that bench warrant goes
14	directly to the child support payment, not to
15	I got muted. Sorry. So you can see
16	the graph. It just decreases. They may make some
17	payments the second month. It continues to decrease,
18	and for that year, there are no more payments that are
19	coming in for bench warrants.
20	Our goal here is to get payments in
21	through wage withholding. And state fiscal year 2022,
22	we collected \$130.3 million, and 60 percent of those
23	payments came in through wage withholding, 17 percent
24	through regular NCP payments. Tax intercepts are at
25	12 percent, and here, look at 3 percent for

1	unemployment compensation.
2	Earlier, I mentioned that that was a
3	spike in 2021, and you'll see that in the next chart.
4	Right here, you can see on our HSD website, anytime
5	there's a link here to the scorecard measures, and
6	you'll see where the payments are coming in. So, for
7	example, in May of this year, a lot of our payments
8	came in. You see that spike, and that is IRS tax
9	intercepts. So, again, our goal is wage withholdings
10	and getting people, you know, jobs so that they can
11	make those payments.
12	In 2021, unemployment compensations was
13	at 10 percent. And any given month it's between 1 and
14	3 percent max, so we can see that people were
15	unemployed. And only 55 percent of our payments came
16	in through wage withholdings. So, again, our goal is
17	getting people jobs and, hopefully, they can make
18	those payments.
19	Actual hours worked. You all asked us
20	to go back and see what we might be able to find for
21	New Mexico, more detailed average hours.
22	Unfortunately, the Department of Workforce Solutions
23	does not break it down any further. So this is what
24	we have. National data, average is 34.6 hours, 30.1

hours per week in retail and 25.9 in leisure and

25

1	hospitality. And for New Mexico, it's 34.1 hours per
2	week for private non-farm payrolls.
3	And the history of \$5 medical support.
4	\$5 medical support came up in the last and I'm
5	really glad you guys brought that up. 2009, New
6	Mexico Senate Bill 57, we amended the Mandatory
7	Medical Support Act to allow for cash medical support
8	when health insurance is not available. At that time,
9	federal regulations required cash medical support to
10	be ordered when private health insurance is not
11	provided for minor children.
12	But in 2016, the Federal Office of
13	Child Support Enforcement Flexibility, Efficiency, and
14	Modernization Act, the FEM Rule, and there's a link to
15	it right there, redefined health care coverage to
16	include private health insurance and public health
17	care coverage, and no longer required to seek cash
18	medical support for those children who were covered by
19	a public health plan, such as Medicaid.
20	So that is something that we are
21	well, you'll see later, we're looking at maybe
22	eliminating as it may not be necessary any longer.
23	Okay. We can move on to incorporating
24	self-support reserve in the guideline schedules, but
25	before we go, I want to see if there are any questions

1	about our modernization efforts or any of the action
2	items that you all asked us to go back and get answers
3	to. And, again, there is no ability to raise your
4	hand, but if okay, everyone all cameras went off
5	for some reason. But what you can do is go into chat
6	and ask a question, if you have one.
7	Okay. I don't see any questions. Then
8	I'm going to keep going. Okay. Incorporating
9	self-support reserve in the guideline schedule. So
LO	the shaded area here in blue equates the shaded area
l1	here on the left. Self-support reserve considers the
L2	subsistence needs of obligated parents. So it assumes
L3	that the custodians income does not increase the order
L 4	or it assumes the CP's income is zero, so it will not
15	increase the order.
L 6	And the 2018 commission recommended
L7	using SSR and assume CP has no income when obligated
18	parent's income and the number of children fall into
L9	the SSR, but it did not make it into any legislation
20	or we did not incorporate that.
21	And then data shows that setting right
22	size court orders encourages employment in the formal
23	economy, instead of the underground economy. So the
24	idea is, if we're setting the right size order, court
25	order, that someone can pay, we're more likely going

1	to see employment and get those payments made.
2	So here's an example. SSR set at the
3	Federal Poverty Guidelines times New Mexico price
4	parity. I keep looking at Jane because, Jane, if I
5	say something wrong, I need you to pitch in here, for
6	sure.
7	So the assumption here is each parent
8	earns 1,250 per month. With the SSR, the order would
9	be \$60 for one child or 75 for two. Because here is
L 0	the income, 1250. Even thought the custodial parent
11	makes 1250 as well, we're going to assume the
12	custodial parent is at zero, 1250, then the order is
13	going to be for one child, 60 dollars, for two
L 4	children, 75, because it falls within this shaded
15	area, the SSR.
16	Under our current guidelines scheduled,
17	the New Mexico's existing example, each parent earns
18	1250. That's a total 2500, so we're going to come
L9	down here, and here's 2500, and for one child, it's
20	\$436 or 50 percent of 436 because the custodial parent
21	is making the same amount of money. And then for two
22	children, would be 50 percent of 663. So that is
23	incorporating self-support reserve in the guideline
24	schedule.
25	So the guideline schedule and

1	self-support reserve options that Jane I mean, what
2	we the last meeting we had, I think there was
3	some we wanted to see what self-support reserve
4	did, but there was also some concern about lowering
5	the schedule for the lower income families at this
6	point. So we have several different options to
7	consider. I'm sure we have even more, but this is
8	what we have right now.
9	If New Mexico if we assumed, so this
10	is making a lot of assumptions here, if we assumed
11	that income imputation is we're not imputing at 40,
12	we're going to impute at 35 hours at 11.50, which is
13	the current state minimum wage. We recognize that
14	there are other counties, for example, Santa Fe, that
15	has a higher minimum wage. But in this assumption,
16	it's 35 hours imputation at 11.50 per hour, that's
17	1,744 per month. And we're going to assume we're

All examples here are for two children. So column one for two children, we're looking at this area over here, and if you all have the power point, the last page, I blew this chart up larger if you want to look at it that way as well. But under existing two children, we're going to go to right here, earnings at state minimum wage at 35 hours, that's

using the SSR and the CP's income is \$0.

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

1 \$468.

2.1

2.4

Updated guidelines based on the economic data that Dr. Venohr provided us during our last meeting, that would raise that, and that would include the low income adjustment that we have, so that's column two. That would be \$480 is what the monthly obligation would be.

Updated with the SSR column three, this is at Federal Poverty Guidelines times New Mexico price parity, so that would be \$415, would be the monthly obligation. And column four is in between the Federal Poverty Guideline, and column five, which is SNAP eligibility. So what Dr. Venohr did was just pick in between SNAP eligibility and the Federal Poverty Guideline, and that would be 267 a month, monthly obligation. And at SNAP eligibility, that would lower that to \$89 per month.

Okay. So I want to open it up for any questions right now before we go to public comment, but I want to see if people get that. It's confusing, there's no doubt. And it took me a while to try to figure this out, so I'm hoping that I explained it well, and see if anyone has any comments at this point in time.

Yes, you can definitely clarify, Jane.

1	
2	r
3	V
4	V
5	F
6	a
7	i
8	a
9	f
10	
11	f
12	t
13	S
14	C
15	٤
16	r
17	
18	2
19	t
20	a
21	ے

22

23

2.4

25

DR. VENOHR: Thank you. For the
record, I am Dr. Jane Venohr. I am the economist
working on this child support guidelines review. And
what I want to clarify is that there are actually two
policy issues here well, three. One is your income
amputation policy, and some states formally put that
in their guidelines. And we had a long discussion
about that last time, whether 40 hours is appropriate
for low wage earners.

And the data is definitive at the federal level, the federal Bureau of Labor Statistics, that New Mexico's average hours worked is 35 hours. So there are some states, like South Dakota, that officially put that in their guidelines. It's also something that could be done in practice. You don't need to put it in your guidelines.

The advantage of putting it in the guidelines is it makes everybody aware of it, if there's inadequate income information and income amputation is appropriate, and so it provides more consistency. The disadvantage is that that 35 hours probably isn't appropriate for all industries. We saw some data last time that was national that showed construction is obviously a higher hours per week. And leisure is less, you know, something like 24 or

26, I'm remembering off the top of my head.

2.5

So that's an option, you know, but as far as a policy perspective, it's just for the obligated parent and the obligee when you impute income because the job opportunities, particularly in the service sector, which are -- they don't usually offer 40 hours a week. And we also saw data that there's a lot of turn in those industries, that they don't always work every week of the year, those 52 weeks. So that's one issue is the whole income amputation, whether you want to do something formally or not.

The second is changing up the low income adjustment. That column two is just an update using the same methodology that was used in your existing guidelines, and there was a discussion about whether that was appropriate, particularly since New Mexico has an above average minimum wage. So those order amounts are pretty high.

Oftentimes, when income is imputed, it is because the obligated parent or both the parents have little employment experience, you know, they've been in and out of jail or prison or they're not stably employed. And to come up with that population of 400 -- in the 400 range is high, relative to other

states. I would say, 250, 300.

2.1

2.4

So we looked at some options with the self-support reserve, and I see already that Judge Martin put some stuff on the SNAP, and we looked at some of -- things that were -- made sense. We looked at the option in the third column, updating the self-support reserve times FPG times the price parity because that's consistent with the child support schedule. It's based on economic -- the one that we proposed, the economic data on child rearing costs, which is national data, and multiplied it by New Mexico's price parity. So this is a consistent methodology.

But we also recognize that there's some limitations to the federal poverty measure. And this has been batted around in national circles for probably 20 some years that it probably understates poverty. So some states use higher than the federal poverty level, and one barometer that they use, they say, well, okay, if we have these programs, assistance programs that -- for SNAP, for instance, the income eligibility for a single adult would be 130 percent on the poverty, so that's why we looked at that. And that, obviously, seemed like a high amount, so that's why we looked at with the 1200.

1	So the question, you know, when we were
2	trying to look at it from we know that New Mexico is
3	probably going to continue to impute at the state
4	minimum wage, so what are the order amounts at those
5	incomes, and are they reasonable for the population
6	that's being served? So that's the second issue, is
7	the self-support reserve amount and whether you want
8	to change methodologies.
9	And the third is using the shaded area
10	to protect that self-support reserve when both parents
11	have income. So that was a lot, but I just wanted to
12	separate those three issues.
13	MS. MCCRACKEN: Judge Martin, did she
14	explain the SNAP issue that you raised or are you
15	still I can see your concern on that for sure?
16	MR. MARTIN: Sure. My I would like
17	Dr. Venohr to explain that a little further because it
18	seems to be a pretty big jump. Especially when you
19	get over the about the \$1500 amount, you know, it
20	goes up you know, it goes down substantially right
21	about that mark, and then keeps going down, and it
22	doesn't really reach parity until you're almost at the
23	bottom of the blue shaded area.
24	And my concern is that, you know, yeah,
25	you might get better payment at \$89 a month, but is

1	that truly a sufficient amount to support, you know,
2	two children on?
3	DR. VENOHR: That's definitely a valid
4	concern, and the self-support reserve, it definitely
5	prioritizes the obligated parent's self-sufficiency or
6	subsistence needs. The perspective is, in the federal
7	rule changes in 2016, that was the first time the feds
8	required states to consider the basic subsistence
9	needs of the obligated parent. And I agree that,
10	mathematically, it's there's just not enough income
11	to go around.
12	And the federal government justified
13	they also said that you consider the subsistence needs
14	of the custodial parent when providing for that
15	consideration. And their justification was it's
16	better to get consistent payment. And they cited a
17	lot of studies that show, if the orders are set too
18	high that the obligated parent is more likely to not
19	work in the formal economy, more likely to drop out,
20	in the sense of engagement with the children.
21	But that is it's a very, very
22	difficult issue to figure out where is the right
23	point, and that's why we put up three options. We
24	probably could come up with more, but at least it's a
25	talking point.

1	I would probably say that 480 is too
2	high, you know, for somebody that has income imputed
3	that's marginally employed, but I do see I think
4	your point about 89 not being sufficient to, if paid
5	in full, to really adequately make a difference to
6	that family's life, is a concern. So I mean, these
7	are exactly why we came up with a range to discuss.
8	MR. MARTIN: Sure. And then inform me
9	again, the self-sustaining reserve difference between
10	1037 and 1200, where did those numbers come from
11	again?
12	DR. VENOHR: The 1037, and the current
13	federal poverty level is 1,133, and that was
14	multiplied by New Mexico's price parity. So New
15	Mexico's price parity is about 96 percent, 93. I
16	should have double checked that before I got on the
17	call. Sorry about that. And then what was the other
18	one you wanted to ask about?
19	MR. MARTIN: And the next column, which
20	is the 1200. How
21	DR. VENOHR: Oh, that was arbitrary.
22	I when I saw that 14 when I saw that last
23	column, I thought I originally started with
24	Medicaid eligibility, which is even higher than SNAP.
25	It's something like 133 percent. So I looked at SNAP

1	eligibility, which is 130 percent of the poverty
2	level, so 130 times 1133 is how I got that. And, I
3	thought, you know, that's a major drop to go down to
4	89, so let's look at something in between.
5	And I think a good starting point is
6	whether the commission members believe that the
7	self-support reserve should apply to somebody that has
8	income imputed, particularly knowing that the
9	circumstances when income is imputed, you know that
10	these parents what I see in other states, and, you
11	know, you all are in New Mexico, so you have a better
12	experience, is that those situations where income is
13	imputed, it's usually those parents that don't have a
14	stable employment history.
15	It might be because they were on and
16	off TANF. It might be because they were incarcerated.
17	It might be because they had some substance abuse
18	issues. So that might be a way to frame it,
19	whether what you think the order amount should be
20	appropriate for somebody in that circumstance.
21	MR. MARTIN: Okay. All right. Thank
22	you. I think that answers my questions, or at least
23	my concern so far. We'll see if you can stir up more
24	concern. Thank you.
25	MS. MCCRACKEN: Good question. I think

1	we've all had that question, so great. Anyone else on
2	the commission that has questions or thoughts about
3	this right now? We can, before we move to public
4	comment.
5	Okay. I'm going to open it up for
6	public comment. Are there any members of the public
7	that are participating with us today that would like
8	to provide any input to this process?
9	I know we had one that was submitted,
10	but I also understand that the person who submitted it
11	is also on, so I wanted to give that individual an
12	opportunity to ask the question, otherwise we can
13	read or not provide an opportunity to provide
14	their input, or we can read the input. I don't know
15	if that is wanted right now.
16	Okay. Since we did receive public
17	input written, I think, Jill, we should read that. So
18	can you and I'm sorry. Can you please read that
19	one?
20	MS. GOODMACHER: Absolutely. I'll read
21	this now. This person states her name. "I am a
22	resident of Farmington, New Mexico. I am asking that
23	if the choice has to be made to lower the guideline or
24	keep the guideline the same, that they be kept the
25	same, and if the non-custodial parent be awarded a

1	reserve, that a custodial parent should also be
2	rewarded the same.
3	"After reading the transcript from the
4	last hearing in August 2022, I saw it quoted that,
5	'There is a correlation between high support orders
6	and contact with their children.' I would like to
7	challenge that notion by telling you my own story from
8	two different perspectives.
9	"In my parents' case," and she cites
10	the case, which is closed, "the custodial parent has
11	left was left with three children under the age of
12	ten. The non-custodial parent didn't see the children
13	for a whole year before a CSED case was opened. After
14	the non-custodial parent obtained a minimum wage
15	order, the and worked a job under the table until
16	the case was opened. After the non-custodial"
17	sorry "until the youngest child was 18 and the
18	obligation would stay at the minimum. Still never saw
19	his children.
20	"My personal case, I told my son's
21	father that I would not ask for help through CSED as
22	long as he paid the active role in my son's life and
23	helped with things he needed. He hasn't tried to see
24	my four year old son, nor has he seen him since he was
25	six months old, and has time and time again tried to

	get out of paying the court ordered amount.
2	"He voluntarily quit his job in
3	February 2022 and claims to be unemployed, but in
4	March of 2022, he posted on a local car dealership
5	page that he purchased a new 2022 Jeep Wagoneer and
6	has since paid \$100 on sporadic months. I was told
7	that he could pay \$100 a month for the next 18 years
8	if he wanted to with no repercussions because that is
9	the minimum requirement in both of these because
0	that is the minimum requirement in both of these cases
.1	in regard to child support.
_2	"On paper, both of these non-custodial
_3	parents are considered low income, " quote unquote.
4	"And even with them being 'low income,' and not being
_5	required to support their children to the fullest
-6	extent to their possibility, they still didn't and
.7	don't contact or play an active role in their
-8	children's life.
_9	"With permission of the custodial
20	parents, I have two more examples where the
21	non-custodial parent is considered low income, ordered
22	to pay a miniscule amount, and is not or has not
23	active or is not actively in their children's
24	lives." These cases cited, the case numbers, CSED
25	case number.

1	"My point is, lowering" excuse me?
2	MS. MCCRACKEN: I just said okay.
3	Sorry. I thought you were done.
4	MS. GOODMACHER: No. "My point is,
5	lowering the guidelines and creating a reserve is not
6	going to encourage non-custodial parents to play an
7	active role. It's going to take from financial
8	security of the children. In my opinion, that more
9	non-custodial parents would be inclined to pay their
10	full court ordered child support if the custodial
11	parent would have to be accountable where the money is
12	spent and if it is contributing to the children,
13	children's support.
14	"Child Support Enforcement is about the
15	children. It is not to weigh on either on the side
16	of either parent. Thank you for your time."
17	MS. MCCRACKEN: Thank you for
18	submitting that. Okay. That's great. Thank you very
19	much. Thank you for reading that, Jill.
20	Okay. Any further public comment? All
21	right. Then we are going to move on. Now, these are
22	recommendations that, based on the different options
23	we have, we have lots of options to consider here, and
24	these were just some that, I think, we put together as
25	a group to keep the conversation going, and, clearly,

something else can come out of this.

2.1

2.4

But we can recommend to make no changes to the existing guideline schedule that we have. We can recommend to make no changes to the existing guideline schedule, except add the shaded area, the SSR. We can recommend to update guideline schedule based on economic data that Dr. Venohr has provided and use the current method for low income adjustment. That's column two without the shaded area.

Recommend to update guideline schedule based on economic data and add the shaded area, the SSR. And then the three options with the SSR, recommend to update guideline schedule based on economic data and add the shaded SSR at the Federal Poverty Guidelines times New Mexico price parity, column three. In between the Federal Poverty Guidelines and SNAP eligibility, that's column four. And at SNAP eligibility is column five.

And then there is the issue of local actual hours worked, and we can recommend that HSD complete manual review of local hours worked, and for purpose of imputing minimum wage as an actual income and an ability to pay, and adjust accordingly through policy. So those are some considerations.

I am going to go to, let's see, Blas

1	had a question. "Would it be possible to renumber the
2	spreadsheet so numbers do not overlap at high and low
3	ends?" Oh, you're asking about the \$0.99, basically;
4	correct, Blas?
5	MR. VILLANEUVA: Yeah, that's right.
6	Yes.
7	MS. MCCRACKEN: And I hear what you're
8	saying, so we do it goes zero to 1,000, 1,000 to
9	1,050, so we want to go zero to 1,000 999, or we
L O	need to narrow that down. And we mentioned that the
L1	last time. I didn't ask Jane to redo that this time,
L2	but we would need to do that.
L3	MR. VILLANEUVA: No problem, it's just
L4	been a lingering thing. It doesn't come up often, but
L5	especially when you're imputing, you can come across
L6	areas that are going to get hit on more commonly, you
L7	know, and it might be useful to get rid of that
L8	question.
L9	MS. MCCRACKEN: Right. We have to do
20	that. I just we just didn't ask for that to be
21	done for this, but definitely. Okay. There's a
22	couple of things I want to say about what our options
23	are here. And meeting with all of our county
24	directors and regional operations managers over
25	since they've been listening and participating in

these meetings as well.

2.1

2.4

A few things just to mention is, we are starting to see results from the changes that we made in 2021 by updating the guideline schedule at that time and focusing on ability to pay an actual income. And we are seeing some cases that, they are imputing at lower than 40 hours per week if that is the -- you know, we have proof that that is what is happening in that case, in that situation. So those are some of the things that we are starting to recognize.

And I am, as I mentioned earlier in this presentation on slide -- I'm going to go back to it real quick, but Exhibit 10 from Dr. Venohr's report, where we are starting to see some improvements here with newly established orders with payments, and 73 percent of those payments being made. So that is just the work that we've been doing by actually using actual income, ability to pay, and, of course, hopefully arrears we'll start seeing lower as well.

And then, further, in Exhibit 11, I didn't add it to it because I think the sample might have been too small, and there might be some concerns with it, but in Exhibit 11, it also mentions our modifications, and we're seeing a higher increase in people making their -- those payments when the case is

1 modified as well. 2 So also, all of the efforts that we're 3 doing with STEPUp!, we have an arrears management program that we're still working on to try to reduce 4 5 arrears for some of these cases, so a lot of the work 6 that we're doing, I think we're starting to see So I wanted to make sure that I shared improvements. 8 that with the commission and in our considerations as 9 we look to what we have before us. 10 MS. GOODMACHER: Hi, Betina, Johnny 11 Wilson from Fathers New Mexico left our -- posted a 12 I am not sure if Johnny wanted to say this comment. 13 out loud, or if he would like for us to read it. 14 MR. WILSON: I'm fine just reading it, 15 if you like. I just wanted to reference the comments 16 made, and I respect the personal experiences that were 17 articulated. The facts related, I don't believe, can 18 really be generally applicable, however. And as a 19 professional working with families, I really want to 20 point out that, you know, we have a -- we have large 2.1 numbers of mostly pairs, not all, that are in the 22 system. 23 And just to speak specifically to 2.4 numbers, I agree with, I think the judge made the

Page 36

comment, 89 might be too low, and I actually agree

25

1	with that comment. However, I do think that the
2	general movement of that end of the scale, if that's
3	an appropriate way to articulate it, will bring up
4	payments, and I think the data is supporting that. So
5	sorry. I didn't read it, but I hope that yeah, if
6	that's not clear, I apologize.
7	MS. MCCRACKEN: Thank you. I
8	understand what you're saying. Okay. So, again,
9	these are the options that we have, and I guess I want
LO	to hear from commission members to see what we're
L1	thinking.
L2	MR. MARTIN: Okay. Let me try.
L3	MS. MCCRACKEN: Okay.
L4	MR. MARTIN: I'd like I want to try
L 5	to break it up into bite-size pieces, and then request
L6	a vote on each of them. So I'd like to start with the
L7	\$5 medical support, because in light of the new
L8	guidance, I believe that it would be appropriate to
L9	eliminate the \$5 minimum medical support in these
20	orders. So at this time, I'd make a motion that, as
21	part of the guideline update, we eliminate the
22	mandatory \$5 medical support order.
23	MR. WILSON: Johnny Wilson will second.
24	MS. MCCRACKEN: The one thing I do want
25	to say is that it the \$5 medical mandatory support

1	is separate from the guidelines, and it is in where
2	is it? Sorry. It's the Mandatory Medical Support
3	Act, and there is we are looking at that of
4	eliminating cash medical support for Medicaid, so it's
5	separate from the guideline, so I just wanted to
6	mention that.
7	MR. MARTIN: Thank you for the
8	clarification. So then, I would like to amend my
9	motion that we recommend an amendment to the Mandatory
L O	Medical Support Act to eliminate the \$5 medical
L1	support order.
L2	MS. MCCRACKEN: Okay. Johnny, you
L3	still second that one?
L4	MR. WILSON: I will second that amended
L5	motion.
L6	MS. MCCRACKEN: Okay. And we have
L7	questions on it. Hearing Officer Klump?
L8	MR. KLUMP: Thank you. I support the
L9	effort to eliminate the cash medical provision, but I
20	do have a question. I guess it's more of an internal
21	question for the department. You have a lot of cash
22	medical judgements out there, so you, as an agency,
23	need to be thinking if you're going to consider a rule
24	change and zero out those balances, or if somehow you
25	want to consider transferring those balances over to

	the custodial parent's household.
2	Because anything going forward, we can
3	mitigate the growth of it, but you have over ten
4	years' worth of cases where these have been these
5	judgements have been accruing, so you need to put some
6	thought into what you do with that money. So, again,
7	that was more of a comment than anything.
8	MS. MCCRACKEN: I appreciate it. It's
9	like you've done this job, Stephen, so yes, that is
10	definitely something that we'll have to do, and been
11	in conversations with Kari and what we might be able
12	to do. We'd have to, you know, look at our case load
13	and see what we would where we would go with that.
14	Do we stop it going forward? Do we end all cases that
15	we have currently?
16	And I think we can work on that
17	internally for sure. But I appreciate your thought on
18	that. Guidance, more than anything. Thank you.
19	Any other questions regarding this
20	particular motion? Okay. I think I would like to
21	just ask if there are any commission members who
22	oppose eliminating the cash medical support from the
23	Mandatory Medical Support Act?
24	Seeing no opposition, I think we can
25	keep going. Judge Martin?

1	MR. MARTIN: Okay.
2	MS. MCCRACKEN: Thank you.
3	MR. MARTIN: So the next one I want to
4	talk about is the imputation. And so I believe it is
5	the best practice, in my opinion, that we include a
6	policy decision in the guidelines about the
7	imputation. I don't think that 40 hours a week is
8	appropriate. Given the information that was provided,
9	I would make a motion that we provide guidance to the
10	hearing officers that, if they're imputing minimum
11	wage based upon unemployment or underemployment, that
12	they impute 35 hours per week instead of 40.
13	And I believe that would be across all
14	industries, not I don't think it's appropriate to
15	select out industries because of the difficulty in
16	identifying which industry, so it would be just an
17	imputation of 35 hours, based on minimum wage.
18	MS. GONZALEZ: This is Teague Gonzalez.
19	I second that.
20	MS. MCCRACKEN: Okay. Do we have any
21	questions, or comments, or concerns about that? And
22	to clarify, what you're asking, it's a motion to
23	provide guidance to the hearing officers that, if
24	imputing minimum wage, impute minimum wage with 35
25	hours per week?

1	MR. MARTIN: Correct.
2	MS. MCCRACKEN: Okay. We have a
3	comment from "Not a commission member, but what
4	about people with proven ability to work 40 hours in
5	the past?" I would guess in that or I don't want
6	to guess. In that particular hearing, would that come
7	up at that time, and then the hearing officer would
8	take that into consideration?
9	MR. MARTIN: The way I've phrased my
10	motion, it's that the guidance is to the hearing
11	officer, it's not required that the hearing officer
12	only impute 40 hours, but the guidance would be to
13	impute 35 instead of 40. And if there's evidence, I
14	believe the hearing officer can take that into
15	consideration.
16	MS. MCCRACKEN: Okay. Hearing Officer
17	Klump?
18	MR. KLUMP: Thank you. I guess I have
19	a concern about that from a variety of perspectives,
20	Judge. First of all, if we put it in a statute, what
21	is our Court of Appeals likely to do with that, if an
22	issue goes up? And, of course, that's speculative.
23	But I also have perhaps a better proposal.
24	Rather than saying that the hearing
25	officer should determine income based on 35 hours a

1	week for imputation, maybe a better solution is to
2	move that consideration to grounds for a downward
3	or grounds for a deviation for the court to
4	articulate, calculated at 40 hours, and then broaden
5	the discretion, perhaps, for the hearing officers.
6	Because we have to prepare the
7	worksheet and the way the income guidelines are broken
8	out in the \$50 brackets, the five hours, we're
9	probably talking about a change to one grid level up
10	or down, essentially. I'm rounding off the numbers.
11	So while I'm not opposed to where your end game is,
12	I'm thinking, how do we structurally incorporate it to
13	move a mass volume of cases?
14	And I'm wondering if moving it to the
15	deviation considerations might be more appropriate,
16	and then the court, through AOC, could do some
17	outreach and judicial education for family law judges
18	and hearing officers. But you're obviously more
19	experienced on the judicial side, so I'd like to hear
20	from you, Judge.
21	MR. MARTIN: Sure. My concern with
22	doing it as a deviation from the guidelines is, you
23	know, disparity. You're going to have different
24	judges. There are some judges that think the
25	quidelines are, you know, lockstep, and deviating from

1	them is absolutely not going to take any traction.
2	Then you might I don't know if
3	there's any judges that think this way, but there
4	might be judges who think that, "Oh, well, if we can
5	deviate for this, we can deviate for all sorts of
6	other things." And I'm not sure I'm comfortable
7	opening up deviations for this type of analysis.
8	I think, as far as your concern about
9	the Court of Appeals view, if the policy of the
10	legislature, being the policy makers, decide that
11	imputing 35 hours in lieu of 40 is appropriate for
12	purposes of the guideline calculation, then the policy
13	decisions made by the legislature and the guidelines
14	incorporate that policy decision.
14 15	incorporate that policy decision. So it wouldn't be a deviation,
15	So it wouldn't be a deviation,
15 16	So it wouldn't be a deviation, necessarily. It's a and then, to your point and to
15 16 17	So it wouldn't be a deviation, necessarily. It's a and then, to your point and to the comment's point, if somebody can provide proof
15 16 17	So it wouldn't be a deviation, necessarily. It's a and then, to your point and to the comment's point, if somebody can provide proof that they've worked 40 hours, and they're capable of
15 16 17 18	So it wouldn't be a deviation, necessarily. It's a and then, to your point and to the comment's point, if somebody can provide proof that they've worked 40 hours, and they're capable of working 40 instead of the 35, then the commissioner
15 16 17 18 19	So it wouldn't be a deviation, necessarily. It's a and then, to your point and to the comment's point, if somebody can provide proof that they've worked 40 hours, and they're capable of working 40 instead of the 35, then the commissioner could make the deviation. And like you say, it would
15 16 17 18 19 20	So it wouldn't be a deviation, necessarily. It's a and then, to your point and to the comment's point, if somebody can provide proof that they've worked 40 hours, and they're capable of working 40 instead of the 35, then the commissioner could make the deviation. And like you say, it would be a deviation upward one grid, as opposed to
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	So it wouldn't be a deviation, necessarily. It's a and then, to your point and to the comment's point, if somebody can provide proof that they've worked 40 hours, and they're capable of working 40 instead of the 35, then the commissioner could make the deviation. And like you say, it would be a deviation upward one grid, as opposed to deviating the amount of hours.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	So it wouldn't be a deviation, necessarily. It's a and then, to your point and to the comment's point, if somebody can provide proof that they've worked 40 hours, and they're capable of working 40 instead of the 35, then the commissioner could make the deviation. And like you say, it would be a deviation upward one grid, as opposed to deviating the amount of hours. MS. MCCRACKEN: Okay. We also have

1	this should not be codified." Wanda, do you want to
2	expand upon that? Wanda, are you with us? Oh, she's
3	on muted. And you just have to click the unmute
4	button.
5	In the meanwhile, I've been texting
6	with Senator Sedillo Lopez, who is not able to unmute
7	herself, and I think she wanted to just say or she
8	agrees with the judge using it as guidance. So I can
9	pass that on.
10	And I don't Wanda she said she's
11	unmuted. But you can't speak Wanda? Or is that all
12	you wanted to say? Do you want to continue on that?
13	And then Johnny added, "I think that we
14	need explicit guidance that recognizes the
15	unpredictable nature of many modern employment
16	situations."
17	Wanda wanted to continue, but she
18	can't we can't hear her. I don't know why we can't
19	unmute her. Jill, is that something you can do?
20	MS. GOODMACHER: I've unmuted everyone
21	briefly.
22	MS. SEDILLO LOPEZ: Testing. Am I
23	unmuted?
24	MS. MCCRACKEN: You are, yes. Thank
25	you, Senator.

1	MS. SEDILLO LOPEZ: Okay. Great.
2	Thank you.
3	MS. MCCRACKEN: Okay. Did you want
4	to I mentioned what you texted me, but did you want
5	to add anything further to that?
6	MS. SEDILLO LOPEZ: I think I just
7	wanted to agree with the judge to give guidance
8	because and to have consistency across the state.
9	I've been kind of testing, since our last meeting,
LO	I've been in different hearings and with different
L1	agencies asking about this 35 hours notion, whether
L2	people worked only 35 hours. And all of the agencies,
L3	yes, that's what they're finding.
L4	New Mexico's economy is becoming more
L5	and more of a gig economy where people might work,
L6	like, say, on a production crew for Better Call Saul
L7	for a while, for part of the year, and then they're
L8	not working, but they the average over the course
L9	of a year is 30 to 35 when you average it out. And I
20	think that we need to recognize that reality and give
21	that guidance to the hearing officers. So I agree
22	with the judge's approach.
23	MS. MCCRACKEN: Okay. Thank you.
24	Wanda added that "Please look at the income definition
25	at 40-4-11.1C1, actual gross income. This section of

1	the statue would have to be changed, so this is not
2	just a policy change." Okay.
3	And I think, in addition, it appears to
4	me that some of our own cases, we are considering
5	fewer than 40 hours a week when it's obvious to us.
6	So I did want to just mention that as well. But with
7	all of the conversation going on, Stephen has another
8	thought. I would like to hear it, Stephen, go right
9	ahead.
LO	MR. KLUMP: Thank you. I'm just
L1	thinking out loud here. What if we don't tinker with
L2	the imputation of hours worked, but we have a more
L3	robust conversation on an appropriate self-sufficiency
L4	reserve or self-support reserve? I think that
L5	because that would put everyone on a both parties
L6	in the case on the same playing field, but then you'd
L7	have the self-sufficient or self-support reserve that
L8	might mitigate some of the consequences to the payor.
L9	And remember, we're talking about
20	imputing people here, we're not talking about actual
21	work history, so I think maybe that might be a better
22	solution and have fewer moving pieces that we'd have
23	to reconcile.
24	MS. MCCRACKEN: Okay. So would we want
25	to table the motion at this time, and then go to SSR?

1	Is that what you're recommending, and is that
2	something that is that where we want to go?
3	MS. GONZALEZ: This is Teague, I
4	continue to second the motion, so I believe the motion
5	is still live.
6	MR. MARTIN: Yeah, and my response
7	would be, you know, couple-fold. One, Dr. Venohr, you
8	know, said that some states incorporate this type of a
9	rule in their guideline by the policy. And then, I
10	think we ought to remember that when we're imputing
11	income, we're imputing both to the custodial parent
12	and to the non-custodial parent. So the custodial
13	parent could be unemployed, and if we're over-imputing
14	income to the custodial parent, we're going to come up
15	with the wrong guideline amount.
16	So that's why I'm more inclined to say
17	we can have you know, if we want to be consistent
18	and fair, we have to impute minimum wage at some point
19	to both the custodial and the non-custodial parent.
20	And I think simply just moving them to the
21	self-sustaining reserve, might unfairly impact the
22	custodial parent.
23	MS. BULMAN: Betina, this is Shannon
24	Bulman. May I make a comment?
25	MS. MCCRACKEN: Please. Thank you.

1	MS. BULMAN: Well, first of all, I
2	think most of the judges and hearing officers aren't
3	going to be aware of the average 35 hours worked by
4	individuals at the low income jobs, so I think it's
5	useful, perhaps, to add that. And when I look at the
6	statute, if we look at 40-4-11.1D2, it says, "Minimum
7	wage may be imputed if a parent has no recent
8	employment or earnings history and that parent has a
9	capacity to earn minimum wage. The minimum wage to be
10	imputed to that parent is the prevailing minimum wage
11	in the locality where that parent resides."
12	And perhaps, we could put discretionary
13	language in there, or recommend discretionary
14	language, and that might be based on Department of
15	Labor information or statistics, full-time minimum
16	wage may be imputed at 35 using 35 hours per week
17	or at 35 hours per week.
18	That puts the hearing officers on
19	notice that this could be an appropriate imputation,
20	but it's clearly discretionary, so I think it with
21	Stephen's concern, which I think is valid, that we're
22	not asking for appeals on these child support
23	calculations. It's a clearly discretionary factor.
24	MS. SEDILLO LOPEZ: May I say
25	something? I think we need to remember that when

1	we're imputing income, we're dealing with fiction.
2	We're not you know what I mean? We're just saying
3	this person is not working for whatever reason, and we
4	need to access child support based on what they could
5	be doing. And if most people, the best they can do at
6	that income level, the best they can do is the 35 hour
7	a week job, I think we need to make that clear policy
8	statement reflecting the realities of working people's
9	economics in New Mexico.
10	MR. GONZALEZ: I'm just going to why
11	I seconded the motion and why I think it should be
12	"shall be imputed," is to remove the discretion. The
13	reason I support this motion is because it eliminates
14	the wide variation you could have from the number of
15	hearing officers that would be hearing all of these
16	cases.
17	You know, the evidence that evaluative
18	judgement or predictive judgement is a sight of bias
19	is so strong in the literature, and I think that this
20	would be a very strong move and show that the
21	department is committed to eliminating the bias or
22	wide range of judgement here. I still continue to
23	support the motion as articulated.
24	MS. MCCRACKEN: Okay. So the and I
25	apologize, because I think when you amended the

motion, Ms. Gonzalez, I was texting with the senator.
So I have missed what the actual motion on the table
is.
MS. GONZALEZ: I made no amendment. I
continue to second Judge Martin's motion, as I stated.
MS. MCCRACKEN: Okay. I thought maybe
you amended it. So then, it is to provide motion
to provide guidance to hearing officers to if
imputing minimum wage, impute at 35 hours instead of
40?
MR. MARTIN: That's my motion.
MS. MCCRACKEN: Okay. And we have a
second, and we've had conversation. Do we have any
further conversation on it?
It would be nice if we could I don't
know if we're going to get if there are any I
would like to still try to get this by majority, more
than that everyone agrees, there's no opposition,
but I have a feeling we may have some opposition on
this one from the committee members.
So I think what we can do is go to
committee members and see if there's any opposition on
this motion right now. So if there is any opposition
from the committee commission members, if you can
either put it in chat or open your mic?

1	MS. SEDILLO LOPEZ: I just wanted to
2	say, under the Attorney General's guidelines for
3	remote meetings, there's supposed to be a roll call on
4	the vote, just to satisfy the Open Meetings Act.
5	MS. MCCRACKEN: Thank you, Senator.
6	Okay. So we're going to go to the commission, and
7	we're going to ask yea or nay on each member. Okay?
8	Deputy Secretary Armijo?
9	MS. ARMIJO: You know, I think I'm
10	going to abstain from voting and just take the
11	recommendation made by the commission. Thanks.
12	MS. MCCRACKEN: Okay. I'm going to
13	skip, and I'll come back to me, since I'm Wanda,
14	I'd like to go to you next.
15	MS. MARTINEZ: Okay. And Wanda's in
16	chat. "This is not addressed to recommendations to
17	guidelines. I oppose for purposes of what the purpose
18	of the guidelines review committee is for." So we
19	have someone who's against it.
20	Senator Sedillo Lopez?
21	MS. SEDILLO LOPEZ: Yes, I support it.
22	MS. MCCRACKEN: Okay. Gina DeBlassie?
23	I see your mic is open, Gina, but we can't hear you.
24	That's odd. I'm going on record, I'm not a fan of
25	GoTo Meeting.

1	MS. GONZALEZ: If she's able to chat,
2	could she chat her
3	MS. MCCRACKEN: Yeah, I think that's
4	what maybe she's trying to do. I'm going to come back
5	to Gina.
6	Teague Gonzalez?
7	MS. GONZALEZ: I support the motion.
8	Yea.
9	MS. MCCRACKEN: Support. Johnny
10	Wilson?
11	MR. WILSON: I support.
12	MS. MCCRACKEN: Judge Bulman?
13	MS. BULMAN: I support.
14	MS. MCCRACKEN: Judge Martin?
15	MR. MARTIN: I support.
16	MS. MCCRACKEN: Judge Kallunki? Did we
17	have Judge Kallunki join us? We never did. Okay.
18	And Hearing Officer Klump?
19	MR. KLUMP: In the interest of building
20	consensus, I'll support it, but I still have concerns.
21	But my vote is, I'll support the motion.
22	MS. MCCRACKEN: Okay. Thank you. And
23	Gina is going to abstain, new to the commission. I
24	understand that. And I am going to support it myself.
25	Okay? Thank you.

1	Okay. Now, the guideline schedule and
2	our options. I appreciate Judge Martin leading us
3	through this, and I don't know if you want to dive
4	into this one as well?
5	MR. MARTIN: Sure.
6	MS. MCCRACKEN: Okay.
7	MR. MARTIN: I will dive into this one
8	as well.
9	MS. MCCRACKEN: Okay.
10	MR. MARTIN: So I would make a motion
11	that we update the guidelines to include the shaded
12	area for a self-sustaining reserve. I would I'm
13	going to my motion is going to be to go between the
14	Federal Poverty Guidelines and the SNAP eligibility,
15	and using column four because including a
16	self-sustaining reserve at that level will, in my
17	opinion, encourage more non-custodial parent payments.
18	We've already seen, with the updated
19	guidelines, an increase in payments. And I am
20	concerned that if we go with the column five, it would
21	be too low, and if we use column three, it's too high,
22	so I'm trying to use Dr. Venohr's, it's just right, of
23	column four.
24	MS. MCCRACKEN: Okay.
25	MS. SEDILLO LOPEZ: I'll second that.

1	MS. MCCRACKEN: And that was Senator?
2	Thank you. Okay. So basically, what you're looking
3	for is this one right here, commission I mean,
4	sorry. A recommendation 5-2. I don't know why I
5	didn't put A, B, C. Sorry guys. And column four is
6	what's up on the table right now.
7	MR. MARTIN: Yes, that's my motion and
8	recommendation.
9	MS. MCCRACKEN: Okay. Input, comments,
LO	concerns?
L1	MS. BULMAN: This is Shannon Bulman. I
L2	am really concerned that that's too extreme. I mean,
L3	that \$200 to the parent who's raising two children is
L4	huge. And I recognize the policy considerations
L 5	behind reducing this and making it more collectable,
L6	but, you know, from assuming it's a mother or a
L7	father, I have a lot of fathers who are custodial
L8	parents these days, that's quite a huge decrease in
L9	their monthly budget.
20	And I would like to recommend that we
21	go with column three, which is the based on the FPG
22	times price parity. I'm not making a motion, I'm just
23	contributing to the conversation right now.
24	MS. MCCRACKEN: Okay.
25	MR. WILSON: And I'd just like to make

1	a point that I think it's really unfortunate that we
2	cannot weigh or this schedule doesn't give us an
3	opportunity to weigh considerations with respect to
4	the amount of time children are at one of the two
5	residences, which is where costs are incurred. That's
6	all I have to say.
7	MS. MCCRACKEN: Okay.
8	MS. SEDILLO LOPEZ: And I do find my
9	understanding is, is the kids will be eligible for
10	more support from the state than the non-custodial
11	parent. And so I think this sells the sufficiency
12	idea for the non-custodial parent that's really
13	important.
14	And then, we need to connect the kids
15	to all of the benefits that they're eligible for, you
16	know, and kind of seal in that gap with as much state
17	support as we can in all areas. I mean, because when
18	you're dealing with people in this income level,
19	there's just not enough money to go around. And so I
20	think it behooves our state to fill in that gap.
21	MS. MCCRACKEN: And I think that is the
22	idea behind it, that there are programs available for
23	families at that level.
24	I do want to speak up, and I think I
25	actually support Judge Bulman in thinking that that

1	column four sorry column four, which is under
2	consideration here, I appreciate that there is an in
3	between because the SSR at SNAP eligibility, I think,
4	is way too low.
5	And I'm still concerned, actually, with
6	the in between, and I, as I mentioned earlier, I do
7	believe that in the Child Support Enforcement
8	Division, we are starting to see, you know, getting
9	orders at ability to pay and actual hours worked. And
10	we're starting to see some increase in current
11	support, so I'm hoping that that we can build upon
12	that and continue to get the current support in at the
13	levels that we have over here.
14	So I don't want to go this far, but I'm
15	wondering whether or not I think the SSR at Federal
16	Poverty Guideline is probably something that we can
17	work with in the Child Support Enforcement Division,
18	along with all of the other programs that we have
19	right now. For example, the SNAP program, or if
20	there's arrears owed, that we look at the arrears
21	management program and try to help them get the
22	current support coming in. So I just wanted to add
23	that.
24	MS. SEDILLO LOPEZ: I reviewed them
25	before this call, but now I don't have access to them

1	where I am, so could somebody explain more concretely
2	the difference? I apologize. If I had the charts, I
3	wouldn't need this, but I don't have the charts in
4	front of me.
5	MS. MCCRACKEN: Sure. You want me to
6	read the difference between those sorry. What did
7	I just do?
8	MS. SEDILLO LOPEZ: Yes, between what
9	you're the concrete difference between what you're
10	proposing, you know, because I would be able to see it
11	on the chart, but I can't.
12	MS. MCCRACKEN: Sure. So this is an
13	assumption of earnings at state minimum wage, so we're
14	imputing at minimum wage at 35 hours per week. So if
15	we were to do that
16	MS. SEDILLO LOPEZ: Yes.
17	MS. MCCRACKEN: Okay. For a family of
18	two at the Federal Poverty Guideline level, that
19	monthly obligation would be \$415. For in between
20	Federal Poverty Guidelines and SNAP eligibility, that
21	monthly obligation would be \$267. And at column five,
22	which is SSR at SNAP eligibility, would be \$89. And
23	those are all examples for family of two.
24	So at, again, Federal Poverty Level
25	would be 415, in between would be 267, and at SNAP

1	eligibility it would be 89. I don't think I'm
2	going to stop saying SNAP eligibility because I don't
3	think anyone is supporting that one right now.
4	MS. SEDILLO LOPEZ: Would you remind me
5	what the custodial parent would be earning if she had
6	to pay \$200? I mean, I supported the middle ground
7	because I was thinking that that would be a
8	sufficient you know, it would allow the parent
9	to
10	MS. MCCRACKEN: Well, under the SSR,
11	under the shaded area, we are making and Jane,
12	again, correct me if I'm wrong here but we are
13	making the assumption, because it's in the SSR, the
14	custodial parent's earnings are at zero.
15	MS. SEDILLO LOPEZ: Oh, so this is just
16	accruing? Okay. Yeah, I think
17	MS. MCCRACKEN: This would be the
18	monthly obligation.
19	MS. SEDILLO LOPEZ: That is accruing?
20	MS. MCCRACKEN: Not this is the
21	monthly obligation that the NCP would be required to
22	pay on their court order.
23	MS. SEDILLO LOPEZ: Yes, but how much
24	is the individual earning? How much is the
25	individual is it 1500 that they're earning? I just

1	want to know the percentage
2	MS. MCCRACKEN: Sorry. It's an
3	assumption that the NCP it's based on the NCP's
4	income at 17.50.
5	MS. SEDILLO LOPEZ: Okay. Yeah, then I
6	understand your point, and that's a really good one,
7	but I think if you're earning 17.50, you ought to be
8	able to pay \$200 towards your kids. But, you know, if
9	people go with the lower one, I don't have a problem
10	with that, either the lower one or the middle way.
11	You know, I was just thinking of the
12	flow of income, and I think you can still live on 1500
13	which, I mean, the truth is that very few people
14	can live on any of that, but if you're getting
15	well, and when you're talking about custodial parents,
16	a lot of times I mean, non-custodial parents, a lot
17	of times, non-custodial parents are not eligible for
18	other support, but the kids and the custodial parent
19	are.
20	MS. MCCRACKEN: Right. And I just want
21	to clarify, Senator, so the at Federal Poverty
22	Guideline, that's 415, 267 is at in between Federal
23	Poverty Guideline, and 89 is the SNAP eligibility. So
24	the one I'm wondering if we move to is at Federal
25	Poverty Guideline plus times New Mexico price

parity, which, in that example, would be 415 for two
children. And that's at an NCP making 17.50.
MS. SEDILLO LOPEZ: Okay. I support
either lower or the middle one that the judge
proposed. I don't have a problem with either of
those.
MS. MCCRACKEN: I hear you. Thank you.
MS. BULMAN: And this is Shannon Bulman
again. I'm just looking online. It says that the
average payment for TANF is 335 a month. This zero
if we're looking at this fact scenario, we have a
custodial parent who's getting about 335 a month, so
we're looking at whether that custodial parent gets
\$415 potentially from the non-custodial parent or \$150
less at 267.
So we're still you know, the
custodial parent really, unless the TANF program is
changed substantially, still isn't likely to be in a
position with the 415 a month, you know, to barely get
by under these circumstances. That's just a thought
from this end.
MS. MCCRACKEN: Okay. So with that
information, Judge, you're still more in support of
the SSR at Federal Poverty Guideline versus in
between?

1	MS. BULMAN: Are you asking me or Judge
2	Martin?
3	MS. MCCRACKEN: Yes.
4	MS. BULMAN: Oh, I would make a motion
5	to amend Judge Martin's motion. I don't know if
6	that's proper procedure, but to adopt the column three
7	calculation, which is the FPG times price parity. And
8	I recognize, Judge Martin, maybe that's not the proper
9	procedure.
10	MR. MARTIN: Sure. Yeah, it would
11	be it's not a friendly amendment, so I'm not
12	inclined to amend my motion. I want to I'd like to
13	see how the commission feels about the in between.
14	Because you're right, it's a difference of about \$148
15	per month to the custodial parent. And when you're
16	talking minimum wage or, you know, you're down there
17	at the poverty level, \$148 month is a significant
18	amount.
19	My concern, and the reason I'm I
20	made a motion that we adopt the in between is that if
21	the payor is, you know, at too low, they will make no
22	payment. And I believe that the shaded area, the
23	purpose of that self-sustaining reserve, is to
24	encourage more payment. And that \$148 difference
25	might make the difference between, you know, paying

1	something versus paying nothing.
2	MS. MCCRACKEN: And then just building
3	arrears in the behind it.
4	MS. GONZALEZ: I just wanted to I'm
5	sorry. I'm just in support of what Judge Martin just
6	said. It's that balance between wanting to make sure
7	there's payment, and then wanting to encourage
8	payments between payor and the children. But I also,
9	on the other hand, with wanting to make sure that the
10	custodial parent has enough funds to support the
11	children.
12	But I really do feel like it's that
13	quandary that Judge Martin just stated is gets at
14	the heart of why I support his motion. It's we want
15	them to pay and not go into arrears so often or so
16	frequently. Thank you.
17	MS. MCCRACKEN: I appreciate that
18	input, too. Can I ask Dr. Venohr a question? And
19	Jane, I'm sorry. In your original draft report that
20	you submitted, I believe you compared New Mexico's
21	current or existing child support guideline schedule
22	and we're it's higher than some of the surrounding
23	states. Would you happen to have like some of that
24	information at the top of your head?
25	DR. VENOHR: I think the big difference

Τ	is, you know, your surrounding states, which would be
2	Arizona, Colorado, and Texas, and then, arguably, you
3	could include Utah, depending on how you look at that,
4	is that your Arizona and Colorado have some of the
5	more generous self-support reserves in the nation.
6	Colorado's cost of living is slightly
7	higher. We're at 104 percent price parity, so we're
8	on the higher end. Arizona is pretty flat. So
9	there's a justification in Colorado for our
10	self-support reserve, which is about 1500 a month.
11	And then in Arizona, it's 80 percent of their
12	full-time minimum wage, and it ends up being closer to
13	about 1600 a month. And Arizona's price parity is
14	just slightly above slightly below 100 percent.
15	Now, Texas doesn't have a low income
16	adjustment. They just have a flat percentage of net
17	income as their guidelines. It's 20 percent for one
18	child, and I think it's 25 percent for two children.
19	And then they treat their to meet the federal
20	requirement to provide for the subsistence needs of
21	the obligated parents and at the state's discretion,
22	the custodial parent, they have a deviation factor.
23	Utah has a low income table, so what
24	I'm saying is that the neighboring states are probably
25	going to be less, but it's largely because you have

1	Arizona and Colorado that have high self-support
2	reserves, and then Texas that has a different
3	guidelines formula.
4	And Betina, tell me if I didn't answer
5	that as you expected, as far as the information I'm
6	sharing.
7	MS. MCCRACKEN: You probably exceeded
8	it. But I mean, I think that the bottom line is, is
9	that New Mexico's schedule, guideline schedule, in
10	general is a little bit higher than our surrounding
11	states.
12	DR. VENOHR: And I think the big issue
13	in New Mexico, I mean, you know, it says a lot about
14	the New Mexico leadership, is that you have a high
15	minimum wage relative to other states. I mean, you
16	have the same minimum wage of Maryland, and Maryland
17	is a high income state. It's usually in the top
18	three, depending on how we slice and dice our
19	definition of income.
20	And so the challenge really is, is are
21	their job opportunities at that minimum wage for
22	somebody where income amputation is appropriate, where
23	there isn't a history. Like, if there is a history of
24	40 hours a week, of course, a judge or a referee is
25	going to use that 40 hours a week.

1	But it's, you know, those and then
2	New Mexico has above average unemployment rate. And,
3	you know, the economy in some of the areas in New
4	Mexico isn't that great. Granted, there is some
5	underground work, you know, and that's kind of a
6	challenge. But yeah, I think just to really bring
7	those order amounts, you do have to expand those
8	self-support reserves somehow to get them at a
9	reasonable amount.
10	And that's with respect to the federal
11	position, which is the federal position that came out
12	in 2016, is consider the subsistence needs of the
13	obligated parent and at the discretion of the
14	obligees, and they cited a bunch of studies,
15	including, you know, stuff about driver's license
16	suspension.
17	Let's get the order right at the front
18	end so we're not suspending the driver's license,
19	we're not taking enforcement actions, jailing for
20	non-payment, and make them more payable so that the
21	custodial to the benefit of the family. And I do
22	respect that there was some public testimony earlier
23	about some case circumstances that they didn't realize
24	increased parent time sharing.

And it's hard to generalize, but

25

1	unfortunately, these are guidelines, and I mean, it's
2	not unfortunate. They are incredible presumptive
3	guidelines, and there's still some discretion. So
4	I'll stop there. I talked too much, and I apologize.
5	MS. MCCRACKEN: No, I think that was
6	helpful, I believe. I have to remember that when
7	I'm just remember that, that New Mexico, where we
8	are in the state, and if our guideline schedule is
9	higher than others, maybe there's something we need to
10	do about that. And that's why we go through this
11	quadrennial review on a regular every four years,
12	hence quadrennial.
13	Okay. Any more comments with the
14	current motion that we have on the table? Are we
15	ready to vote on the motion? It looks like we are.
16	Okay. So Senator, thank you for
17	letting me know that with online, we need to ask all
18	of the commission members. Yes?
19	MS. GONZALEZ: Yeah, point of order,
20	then, we would need to do the vote for the \$5 Medical
21	Support Rule that we took earlier. We didn't do a
22	roll call vote for that one.
23	MS. MCCRACKEN: Okay. Thank you.
24	We'll go back. Okay. So I'm going to let's see,
25	the motion is to update the schedule to include the

1	shaded area for the SSR between the Federal Poverty
2	Guideline and the SNAP, which is column four.
3	Correct?
4	MR. MARTIN: Yes, ma'am.
5	MS. MCCRACKEN: Okay. Thank you. So
6	I'm going to start at the top again. Deputy Secretary
7	Armijo?
8	MS. ARMIJO: Thanks. I am going to
9	abstain again in the interest of taking the
10	committee's consensus recommendation. Thank you.
11	MS. MCCRACKEN: Thank you. Wanda? I
12	think you're going to have to go in chat, Wanda.
13	Wanda agrees with the motion. And I see that Gina
14	abstains.
15	Senator Sedillo Lopez?
16	MS. SEDILLO LOPEZ: I vote yes, I
17	support the motion.
18	MS. MCCRACKEN: Thank you. Teague
19	Gonzalez?
20	MS. GONZALEZ: I support the motion.
21	MS. MCCRACKEN: Johnny Wilson?
22	MR. Wilson: Support.
23	MS. MCCRACKEN: Judge Bulman?
24	MS. BULMAN: Well, with all due
25	respect, I vote nay.
	Daga 67
	Page 67

1	MS. MCCRACKEN: Okay. Judge Martin?
2	MR. MARTIN: I vote yes.
3	MS. MCCRACKEN: And Judge Kallunki is
4	not with us.
5	And Hearing Officer Klump?
6	MR. KLUMP: I support the motion.
7	MS. MCCRACKEN: Okay. And I support
8	the motion as well.
9	Okay. That is I think that is our
10	job for oh, sorry. We have to go back. Thank you,
11	Teague. And why did I move back? Because we're going
12	to go back. Well, did we have anyone abstaining? We
13	had Jill, you're going to have to help me out. I'm
14	hoping that you have the motion on the \$5 medical
15	support.
16	MS. GOODMACHER: Give me a minute to go
17	through my notes.
18	MS. MCCRACKEN: I have it here, I know.
19	MS. GOODMACHER: The motion presented
20	by Judge Martin and seconded by Ms. Gonzalez is they
21	recommend removing the \$5 medical support. Judge
22	Martin, can you rephrase can you restate that for
23	us, please?
24	MR. MARTIN: I believe I amended my
25	motion to amend the mandatory Medical Support Act to

1	eliminate the \$5 per month minimum order. Because
2	it's not part of the guidelines. It's part of the
3	Mandatory Medical Support Act.
4	MS. MCCRACKEN: Great. You are
5	absolutely correct.
6	Okay. Deputy Secretary Armijo?
7	MS. ARMIJO: I support that
8	recommendation. Thanks.
9	MS. MCCRACKEN: Okay. Wanda Martinez?
10	Supports. Senator Sedillo Lopez?
11	MS. SEDILLO LOPEZ: Yes, I support.
12	MS. MCCRACKEN: Gina DeBlassie? Let me
13	see, is that one okay. Agree with the motion.
14	Awesome.
15	Teague Gonzalez?
16	MS. GONZALEZ: I think Gina abstained,
17	it says in the chat, but I support.
18	MS. MCCRACKEN: Oh, abstain. Sorry.
19	Thank you. Abstain, thank you. Thank you, Teague.
20	Johnny Wilson?
21	MR. WILSON: Support.
22	MS. MCCRACKEN: Judge Bulman?
23	MS. BULMAN: I support.
24	MS. MCCRACKEN: Judge Martin?
25	MR. MARTIN: I support.
	Page 69

1	MS. MCCRACKEN: No Judge Kallunki.
2	Hearing Officer Klump?
3	MR. KLUMP: I support it.
4	MS. MCCRACKEN: Thank you. And I
5	support as well.
6	Okay. Great. We have all of our T's
7	crossed and I's dotted, it appears. So unless there
8	are any other discussion or motions that someone wants
9	to entertain, I think the commission's work is done.
10	I do want to hand it over to Deputy Secretary Armijo
11	to talk about some of the things that we have under
12	discussion, which you guys brought up as well.
13	MS. ARMIJO: Okay. Thank you, Betina.
14	I just wanted to sorry. I'm getting some
15	background noise. If you're not talking, can you go
16	on mute, please? I'm not sure what that is. Okay.
17	Thanks.
18	So we talked about this last time.
19	There's actually a couple of ideas that the department
20	is thinking about for the legislative session, both of
21	which have either have been discussed in this
22	commission, and so we just wanted to raise them here
23	for awareness and see you know, sort of take a
24	temperature check to see if there's any objection.
25	The first one, you all just voted on,

Τ	so I don't think I'm going to hear any concern about
2	this one, but the agency is at this time sort of
3	contemplating an amendment to that Mandatory Medical
4	Support Act so that we can eliminate the charge, that
5	\$5 fee that we're charging to non-custodial parents
6	who have their kids on Medicaid.
7	And so you all just took a vote on
8	that, but that would be a piece of legislation that
9	would need to be run through in the 2023 session. So
10	I'll pause there, see if there are any thoughts in
11	addition to what was just discussed, any concerns?
12	MR. MARTIN: I think it came up
13	earlier, but the HSD is going to have to come up with
14	some sort of a policy decision on how to deal with the
15	arrears that have accumulated on these \$5 orders. If
16	there are arrears, you know, what are you going to do?
17	Are you going to continue collection
18	efforts, or are you going to seek some sort of
19	judicial approval to waive them? You're going to have
20	to, I guess figure out, from an agency perspective,
21	how to handle those that are either not collected or
22	uncollectable.
23	MS. ARMIJO: Yeah, exactly. So that's
24	definitely something that we're talking about. I
25	appreciate the point. I think that was a really great

1	question, and so we'll stay tuned on that. I think
2	the agency will be putting forth some additional
3	options for consideration.
4	The other piece of legislation we
5	talked about at our first meeting, this is just the
6	suggestion that we're making is to take the actual
7	guideline amounts, take them out of the statute, and
8	put those in regulation. The regulation goes through
9	a full promulgation.
10	We would continue, of course, to have
11	this quadrennial commission meet to update the
12	guidelines, we would follow all of the same
13	requirements that we follow today, but we would remove
14	those actual dollar figures from the statute and put
15	them in our administrative code with the intention,
16	then, of removing the guidelines from what is a
17	legislative process and more of a regulatory process.
18	And so we talked about this last time.
19	There seemed to be general support, but just wanted to
20	raise it again as we kind of look to flesh that out,
21	idea out further and what that would like. So wanted
22	to also find out if there area any concerns after you
23	all have had a chance to think more on that one?
24	MS. SEDILLO LOPEZ: I just wanted to
25	say, I think it's a good idea because our sessions are

1	so short, and there's so, you know well, you know,
2	you've been through it. It's such a heavy lift, and I
3	think given economic situations, given the changing
4	dynamics over time, agencies have much more
5	flexibility. So I think it's a great idea.
6	And just, by the way, if you need if
7	you haven't already identified someone to run the
8	legislation, I would be happy to do it.
9	MS. ARMIJO: That's awesome. Thank
LO	you. I will be reaching out to talk to you about
L1	that, Senator. Okay. Thank you. Any other any
L2	concerns?
L3	MR. MARTIN: Sure. I do have a
L4	concern.
L5	MS. ARMIJO: Okay.
L6	MR. MARTIN: And it's probably just
L7	more of a practical concern. It's so easy for, you
L8	know, either custodial parents or non-custodial
L9	parents to look up a statute and figure this out. And
20	looking for regulations online is much more of a
21	challenge. And trying to you know, if
22	self-represented parties are trying to figure out on
23	their own what their obligations are and how to come
24	up with it, trying to do that research is much more
25	challenging when you're talking about regulations as

1	opposed to a statute. Of course, we keep the
2	statutory volumes here at the courthouse they can look
3	at, but they can look it up easier online.
4	That's a concern. If it's more
5	accessible, sure, I think you're right that amendment
6	by administrative rule is more convenient, but we need
7	to keep the self-represented parties in mind when
8	we're adjusting these guidelines.
9	MS. ARMIJO: Okay. That's great
10	feedback. So accessibility or making sure that it's
11	even more accessible or at least as accessible as it
12	is today. Good feedback.
13	Anything else?
14	MS. MCCRACKEN: It doesn't sound like
15	it.
16	MS. ARMIJO: Okay. So thank you for
17	that. We'll go ahead and you all may see some of
18	these flesh out more as we head into the coming
19	months, and so we'll be happy to communicate with all
20	of you in terms of like where those two initiatives
21	are headed and any operational considerations that the
22	agency has to undertake for those. So we'll keep you
23	all in the communication loop on that and appreciate
24	everybody's input today.
25	Back to you, Betina.

1	MS. MCCRACKEN: Thank you, Kari. I
2	just want to thank everyone for their participation.
3	We had great representation, and I thank Judge Martin
4	for taking the lead on some of those motions. I
5	thought was very, very helpful, so I appreciate that.
6	And I look forward to us moving forward
7	with finalizing the report. Dr. Jane Venohr has until
8	the end of October to complete that and turn that back
9	around to us, so it will be you'll get that when
10	that is all complete as well.
11	But thank you all, and I guess I just
12	need a motion to adjourn and a second. I guess I can
13	motion
14	MR. MARTIN: I make a motion to
	MR. MARTIN: I make a motion to adjourn.
15	
15 16	adjourn.
15 16 17	adjourn. MS. MCCRACKEN: We've got a first and a
15 16 17 18	adjourn. MS. MCCRACKEN: We've got a first and a second, I think. All in favor or no one anyone
15 16 17 18	adjourn. MS. MCCRACKEN: We've got a first and a second, I think. All in favor or no one anyone opposed? How's that? Can we do that, Senator, this
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	adjourn. MS. MCCRACKEN: We've got a first and a second, I think. All in favor or no one anyone opposed? How's that? Can we do that, Senator, this time?
15 16 17 18 19	adjourn. MS. MCCRACKEN: We've got a first and a second, I think. All in favor or no one anyone opposed? How's that? Can we do that, Senator, this time? MS. SEDILLO LOPEZ: I think that's okay
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	adjourn. MS. MCCRACKEN: We've got a first and a second, I think. All in favor or no one anyone opposed? How's that? Can we do that, Senator, this time? MS. SEDILLO LOPEZ: I think that's okay for motion to adjourn.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	adjourn. MS. MCCRACKEN: We've got a first and a second, I think. All in favor or no one anyone opposed? How's that? Can we do that, Senator, this time? MS. SEDILLO LOPEZ: I think that's okay for motion to adjourn. MS. MCCRACKEN: Thank you. I
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	adjourn. MS. MCCRACKEN: We've got a first and a second, I think. All in favor or no one anyone opposed? How's that? Can we do that, Senator, this time? MS. SEDILLO LOPEZ: I think that's okay for motion to adjourn. MS. MCCRACKEN: Thank you. I appreciate it. Okay. Well, I appreciate everyone's

Page 75

1	terrific day.
2	MR. MARTIN: Thank you very much. I
3	appreciate everybody. Have a nice day.
4	MS. MCCRACKEN: Thank you.
5	MS. SEDILLO LOPEZ: Thank you,
6	everyone.
7	THE REPORTER: Going off the record at
8	11:48 p.m. [sic]
9	(Whereupon, the meeting concluded at
10	11:48 a.m.)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 76

1 CERTIFICATE OF DEPOSITION OFFICER 2 I, BRETT TORRENCE, the officer before whom 3 the foregoing proceedings were taken, do hereby certify that any witness(es) in the foregoing 4 5 proceedings, prior to testifying, were duly sworn; that the proceedings were recorded by me and 6 thereafter reduced to typewriting by a qualified transcriptionist; that said digital audio recording of 8 9 said proceedings are a true and accurate record to the 10 best of my knowledge, skills, and ability; that I am 11 neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this was taken; 12 13 and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any counsel or attorney employed by the parties 14 15 hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the 16 outcome of this action. But Jones 17 BRETT TORRENCE Notary Public in and for the 18 19 State of New Mexico 20 21 2.2 23

Page 77

2.4

25

1 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER 2 I, STACEY NEBEL, do hereby certify that this 3 transcript was prepared from the digital audio recording of the foregoing proceeding, that said 4 5 transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skills, and 6 7 ability; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in 8 9 which this was taken; and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any counsel or attorney 10 11 employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of this action. 12 Hacey Lyn Nebel 13 14 15 STACEY NEBEL 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 2.5

Page 78

0	17 15:23	26372 77:16	48 10:11
	17.50 59:7	267 21:15 57:21	480 21:6 27:1
0 20:18	17.50. 59:4 60:2	57:25 59:22	5
0.99 34:3	18 30:17 31:7	60:15	
1	2	26963 78:14	5 7:18 17:3,4
1 16:13		3	37:17,19,22,25
1,000 34:8,8,9	2 1:2		38:10 66:20
1,050 34:9	2,260 11:25	3 15:25 16:14	68:14,21 69:1
1,133 27:13	20 11:22 24:17	30 45:19	71:5,15
1,250 19:8	63:17	30.1 16:24	5-2 54:4
1,744 20:17	200 54:13 58:6	300 24:1	50 19:20,22 42:8
10 9:11 16:13	59:8	335 60:10,12	52 23:9
35:13	2009 17:5	34.1 17:1	5425388 1:17
100 31:6,7 63:14	2016 17:12 26:7	34.6 16:24	55 16:15
1037 27:10,12	65:12	35 20:12,16,25	57 17:6
104 63:7	2017 9:17 14:24	22:12,21 40:12	6
10:01 1:7	2018 18:16	40:17,24 41:13	60 15:22 19:9,13
11 35:20,23	2019 10:7	41:25 43:11,19	62 9:17
11.50 20:12,16	2020 9:16 10:8	45:11,12,19 48:3	663 19:22
1133 28:2	11:13,14,15,22	48:16,16,17 49:6	67 9:15
11:48 76:8,10	14:2	50:9 57:14	69 11:23
12 15:25	2021 8:19 9:2,3	4	7
1200 24:25 27:10	12:3 14:3 16:3	40 20:11 22:8	-
27:20	16:12 35:4	23:7 35:7 40:7	70 3:4
1250 19:10,11,12	2022 1:6 11:23	40:12 41:4,12,13	73 9:8,13 35:16
19:18	12:10 15:21	42:4 43:11,18,19	75 19:9,14
13 1:6	30:4 31:3,4,5	46:5 50:10	8
130 24:22 28:1,2	2023 10:16 13:18	64:24,25	8 3:3
130.3 15:22	71:9	40-4-11.1c1	80 63:11
133 27:25	21 8:18	45:25	87501 1:11
14 27:22	22 10:13	40-4-11.1d2 48:6	89 21:17 25:25
140 8:20	24 22:25	400 23:25,25	27:4 28:4 36:25
148 61:14,17,24	25 63:18	415 21:10 57:19	57:22 58:1
150 60:14	25.9 16:25	57:25 59:22	59:23
1500 00:14 1500 25:19 58:25	250 24:1	60:1,14,19	9
59:12 63:10	2500 19:18,19	436 19:20,20	-
1600 63:13	26 23:1	468 21:1	93 27:15
1000 03.13		700 21.1	96 27:15

[999 - approve]

999 34:9	51:4 68:25 69:3	adult 24:22	64:22
a	71:4	advantage 22:17	analysis 43:7
	acting 2:3 5:24	age 30:11	ancestral 5:1
a.m. 1:7 76:10	action 7:14,16	agencies 45:11	announcements
ability 8:21 9:5	8:14 15:2 18:1	45:12 73:4	10:22
18:3 33:23 35:5	77:12,16 78:8,12	agency 7:24	annually 12:17
35:18 41:4 56:9	actions 14:12,18	38:22 71:2,20	answer 64:4
77:10 78:7	14:23 65:19	72:2 74:22	answers 7:15
able 4:16 16:20	active 30:22	agenda 5:16,17	18:2 28:22
39:11 44:6 52:1	31:17,23 32:7	5:19 7:13 8:1,2	antoinette 2:7
57:10 59:8	actively 31:23	agree 26:9 36:24	6:6
absolutely 29:20	actual 7:17 8:21	36:25 45:7,21	anytime 16:4
43:1 69:5	8:24 9:5 13:6	69:13	aoc 42:16
abstain 51:10 52:23 67:9	16:19 33:20,22	agrees 44:8	apache 5:2
69:18,19	35:5,18 45:25	50:18 67:13	apologize 37:6
abstained 69:16	46:20 50:2 56:9	ahead 4:10 46:9	49:25 57:2 66:4
abstaining 68:12	72:6,14	74:17	appeals 41:21
abstains 67:14	add 33:5,11,14	allow 12:22 17:7	43:9 48:22
abuse 28:17	35:21 45:5 48:5	58:8	appear 4:3
access 5:14 49:4	56:22	allows 4:4	appears 46:3
56:25	added 44:13	amend 38:8 61:5	70:7
accessibility	45:24	61:12 68:25	applicable 36:18
74:10	addition 46:3	amended 17:6	apply 28:7
accessible 74:5	71:11	38:14 49:25	appreciate 39:8
74:11,11	additional 72:2	50:7 68:24	39:17 53:2 56:2
accountable	addressed 51:16	amendment 38:9	
32:11	adequately 27:5	50:4 61:11 71:3	74:23 75:5,23,23
accruing 39:5	adjourn 75:12	74:5	76:3
58:16,19	75:15,21	amount 19:21	approach 45:22
accumulated	adjust 33:23	24:24 25:7,19	appropriate
71:15	adjusting 74:8	26:1 28:19 31:1	22:8,20,22 23:17
accurate 77:9	adjustment 21:5	31:22 43:22	28:20 37:3,18
78:5	23:14 33:8	47:15 55:4	40:8,14 42:15
acknowledging	63:16	61:18 65:9	43:11 46:13
4:25	administrative	amounts 23:19	48:19 64:22
act 17:7,14 38:3	12:6 72:15 74:6	25:4 65:7 72:7	approval 71:19
38:10 39:23	adopt 61:6,20	amputation 22:6	approve 5:16 8:2
		22:20 23:11	8:4

[approving - build]

approving 5:19	assumed 20:9,10	68:10,11,12	betina 1:5 2:3
arbitrary 27:21	assumes 18:12	74:25 75:8	3:3 4:18 5:24
area 18:10,10	18:14	background	36:10 47:23
19:15 20:21	assuming 54:16	70:15	64:4 70:13
25:9,23 33:5,9	assumption 19:7	balance 62:6	74:25
33:11 53:12	20:15 57:13	balances 38:24	better 25:25
58:11 61:22	58:13 59:3	38:25	26:16 28:11
67:1 72:22	assumptions	barely 60:19	41:23 42:1
areas 34:16	20:10	barometer 24:19	45:16 46:21
55:17 65:3	attendees 2:2	based 9:5 21:2	beyond 9:6
arguably 63:2	attention 4:8	24:9 32:22 33:7	bias 49:18,21
arizona 63:2,4,8	6:15,16,20 7:6	33:11,13 40:11	big 13:14 25:18
63:11 64:1	75:24	40:17 41:25	62:25 64:12
arizona's 63:13	attorney 51:2	48:14 49:4	bill 8:18,20 17:6
armijo 2:5 3:4	77:14 78:10	54:21 59:3	bit 64:10
5:20,22 7:23	audio 77:8 78:3	basic 26:8	bite 37:15
51:8,9 67:7,8	august 11:23	basically 34:3	blas 2:15 33:25
69:6,7 70:10,13	30:4	54:2	34:4
71:23 73:9,15	automate 10:16	batted 24:16	blew 20:22
74:9,16	available 4:4	becoming 45:14	blue 18:10 25:23
arrears 8:24 9:7	17:8 55:22	began 10:7	bottom 25:23
35:19 36:3,5	average 13:22	11:13	64:8
56:20,20 62:3,15	16:21,24 22:12	behooves 55:20	brackets 42:8
71:15,16	23:18 45:18,19	believe 28:6	break 16:23
arrested 15:7,8	48:3 60:10 65:2	36:17 37:18	37:15
articulate 37:3	awarded 29:25	40:4,13 41:14	brett 1:16 77:2
42:4	aware 22:18	43:25 47:4 56:7	77:17
articulated	48:3	61:22 62:20	briefly 44:21
36:17 49:23	awareness 70:23	66:6 68:24	bring 37:3 65:6
asked 16:19 18:2	awesome 69:14	bench 14:25	broaden 42:4
asking 29:22	73:9	15:1,3,6,12,13	broderick 2:11
34:3 40:22	b	15:19	6:21
45:11 48:22	b 54:5	benefit 65:21	broken 42:7
61:1	back 8:22 9:6,17	benefits 14:2	brought 17:5
assistance 12:13	16:20 18:2	55:15	70:12
24:20	35:12 51:13	best 40:5 49:5,6	budget 54:19
assume 18:17	52:4 66:24	77:10 78:6	build 56:11
19:11 20:17			

[building - coming]

huilding 52.10	26.5 20.4 14	checked 27:16	clear 37:6 49:7
building 52:19 62:2	36:5 39:4,14 42:13 46:4		
bulman 2:11		child 5:25 7:16	clearly 32:25
	49:16	8:15,17,20 9:4	48:20,23
6:23,23 47:23,24	cash 17:7,9,17	9:19 10:18	click 44:3
48:1 52:12,13	38:4,19,21 39:22	11:10 12:13,19	closed 30:10
54:11,11 55:25	cause 15:1	13:7,22,22 15:14	closely 6:15
60:8,8 61:1,4	center 2:9 6:13	17:13 19:9,13,19	closer 63:12
67:23,24 69:22	certificate 77:1	22:3 24:8,10	code 72:15
69:23	78:1	30:17 31:11	codified 44:1
bunch 65:14	certify 77:4 78:2	32:10,14 48:22	collectable 54:15
bureau 22:11	challenge 30:7	49:4 56:7,17	collected 14:7
button 44:4	64:20 65:6	62:21 63:18	15:22 71:21
c	73:21	children 17:11	collection 71:17
c 2:1 3:1 4:1 54:5	challenging	17:18 18:18	colorado 63:2,4
calculated 42:4	73:25	19:14,22 20:19	63:9 64:1
calculation	chance 72:23	20:20,24 26:2,20	colorado's 63:6
43:12 61:7	change 12:16	30:6,11,12,19	column 20:20
calculations	13:14 14:5 25:8	31:15 32:8,12,15	21:6,8,11,12
48:23	38:24 42:9 46:2	54:13 55:4 60:2	23:14 24:6
calendar 9:16	changed 12:12	62:8,11 63:18	27:19,23 33:9,16
13:5	46:1 60:18	children's 31:18	33:17,18 53:15
call 5:18,20 8:22	changes 8:21	31:23 32:13	53:20,21,23 54:5
27:17 45:16	12:18 13:16	choice 29:23	54:21 56:1,1
51:3 56:25	26:7 33:2,4 35:3	circles 24:16	57:21 61:6 67:2
66:22	changing 23:13	circumstance	come 15:6 19:18
	73:3	28:20	23:24 26:24
cameras 18:4	charge 71:4	circumstances	27:10 33:1
capable 43:18	charging 71:5	28:9 60:20	34:14,15 41:6
capacity 48:9	chart 14:9,24	65:23	47:14 51:13
car 31:4	16:3 20:22	cited 26:16	52:4 71:13
care 17:15,17	57:11	31:24 65:14	73:23
case 7:7 30:9,10	charts 57:2,3	cites 30:9	comes 13:21,24
30:13,16,20	chat 4:6 18:5	claims 31:3	comfortable
31:24,25 35:9,25	50:25 51:16	clarification	43:6
39:12 46:16	52:1,2 67:12	38:8	coming 14:1,3
65:23	69:17	clarify 21:25	15:19 16:6
cases 14:14,16	check 70:24	22:4 40:22	56:22 74:18
31:10,24 35:6	70.21	59:21	20.22 / 1.10
		37.21	

[comment - cses]

comment 21:19	compared 62:20	considerations	correct 5:21
29:4,6 32:20	compensation	33:24 36:8	34:4 41:1 58:12
36:12,25 37:1	16:1	42:15 54:14	67:3 69:5
39:7 41:3 43:24	compensations	55:3 74:21	correlation 30:5
47:24	16:12	considered	cost 63:6
comment's	complete 10:12	31:13,21	costs 24:10 55:5
43:17	33:21 75:8,10	considering 46:4	counsel 77:11,14
comments 21:23	complicated	considers 18:11	78:7,10
36:15 40:21	75:25	consistency	count 11:21
54:9 66:13	concern 20:4	22:21 45:8	counties 20:14
commission 1:2	25:15,24 26:4	consistent 14:4	county 34:23
7:23 18:16 28:6	27:6 28:23,24	24:8,12 26:16	couple 34:22
29:2 36:8 37:10	41:19 42:21	47:17	47:7 70:19
39:21 41:3	43:8 48:21	construction	course 35:18
43:24 50:24	61:19 71:1	22:24	41:22 45:18
51:6,11 52:23	73:14,17 74:4	consuming	64:24 72:10
54:3 61:13	concerned 53:20	10:20	74:1
66:18 70:22	54:12 56:5	contact 30:6	court 14:16,16
72:11	concerns 35:22	31:17	14:20 18:22,24
commission's	40:21 52:20	contemplating	31:1 32:10
70:9	54:10 71:11	71:3	41:21 42:3,16
commissioner	72:22 73:12	continue 25:3	43:9,25 58:22
43:19	concluded 76:9	44:12,17 47:4	courthouse 74:2
committed 49:21	concrete 57:9	49:22 50:5	courts 12:2,3,5,7
committee 50:20	concretely 57:1	56:12 71:17	cover 11:16
50:22,24 51:18	confusing 21:20	72:10	coverage 17:15
committee's	connect 55:14	continues 15:17	17:17
67:10	consensus 52:20	continuing 9:19	covered 17:18
commonly 34:16	67:10	contribute 5:4	cp 18:17
communicate	consequences	contributing	cp's 18:14 20:18
5:14 74:19	46:18	32:12 54:23	creating 32:5
communicating	consider 20:7	convenient 74:6	credit 11:17
13:10,13	26:8,13 32:23	conversation	crew 45:16
communication	38:23,25 65:12	32:25 46:7,13	crossed 70:7
12:5 74:23	consideration	50:13,14 54:23	csed 1:1 2:3 7:15
communities 5:4	26:15 41:8,15	conversations	30:13,21 31:24
5:11	42:2 56:2 72:3	39:11	cses 12:23

[current - dra]

current 9:14	day 76:1,3	depends 15:9	discretion 42:5
19:16 20:13	days 54:18	deposition 77:1	43:25 49:12
27:12 33:8	deal 71:14	deputy 2:5 7:23	63:21 65:13
56:10,12,22	dealership 31:4	51:8 67:6 69:6	66:3
62:21 66:14	dealing 49:1	70:10	discretionary
currently 39:15	55:18	design 5:8	48:12,13,20,23
custodial 9:25	debit 11:17	despite 14:3	discuss 27:7
10:3 11:13,18	deblassie 2:8	detailed 16:21	discussed 70:21
19:10,12,20	6:10 51:22	details 75:24	71:11
26:14 29:25	69:12	determine 41:25	discussion 7:25
30:1,10,12,14,16	december 9:12	development	22:7 23:16 70:8
31:12,19,21 32:6	decide 43:10	9:24	70:12
32:9,10 39:1	decision 7:22	deviate 43:5,5	disparity 42:23
47:11,12,12,14	40:6 43:14	deviating 42:25	distribution
47:19,19,22	71:14	43:22	13:16,17,18 14:5
53:17 54:17	decisions 43:13	deviation 42:3	dive 53:3,7
55:10,12 58:5,14	decrease 15:17	42:15,22 43:15	division 56:8,17
59:15,16,17,18	54:18	43:20,21 63:22	document 13:1,3
60:12,13,14,17	decreased 14:16	deviations 43:7	documents
61:15 62:10	decreases 15:16	dice 64:18	13:11
63:22 65:21	definitely 14:15	difference 27:5,9	doing 8:16 10:14
71:5 73:18,18	21:25 26:3,4	57:2,6,9 61:14	35:17 36:3,6
custodians 18:13	34:21 39:10	61:24,25 62:25	42:22 49:5
customer 13:10	71:24	different 20:6	dollar 72:14
customers 13:8	definition 45:24	30:8 32:22	dollars 19:13
13:12	64:19	42:23 45:10,10	dotted 70:7
d	definitive 22:10	64:2	double 27:16
d 4:1	deliver 5:8	difficult 6:9	doubt 10:9 21:21
dakota 22:13	department 4:25	26:22	downward 42:2
data 7:18 9:8,11	5:7,13 8:17 9:1	difficulty 40:15	dr 2:14 9:10
9:12,16 11:15	9:23 10:3,5,19	digital 77:8 78:3	21:3,13 22:1,2
12:10,15 16:24	16:22 38:21	dine 5:2 10:24	25:17 26:3
18:21 21:3	48:14 49:21	directly 4:9	27:12,21 33:7
22:10,23 23:7	70:19	15:14	35:13 47:7
24:10,11 33:7,11	dependents	director 2:3 5:25	53:22 62:18,25
33:14 37:4	13:24	directors 34:24	64:12 75:7
	depending 63:3	disadvantage	dra 13:17
	64:18	22:21	

[draft - explicit]

draft 62:19	15.11 15 65.2	omployee 77.12	ovolvotina 12.17
driven 12:10	45:14,15 65:3 edm 13:3	employee 77:13 78:10	evaluating 12:17 evaluative 49:17
driver's 65:15	education 42:17	employers 13:9	everybody 4:17
65:18	effect 9:3	13:12	6:24 22:18 76:3
driving 6:9	effective 13:18	employing 9:22	everybody's
drop 26:19 28:3	effectively 5:14	employment	74:24
dropped 6:19	efficiency 17:13	18:22 19:1	everyone's 75:23
due 67:24	efficient 12:4	23:22 28:14	evidence 41:13
duly 77:5	effort 38:19	44:15 48:8	49:17
dynamics 73:4	efforts 7:15 8:15	encourage 32:6	exactly 27:7
e	9:20 11:11	53:17 61:24	71:23
e 2:1,1 3:1 4:1,1	12:12,23 14:11	62:7	example 16:7
12:1,1,3	18:1 36:2 71:18	encourages	19:2,17 20:14
earlier 6:7,19	either 4:6 15:5	18:22	56:19 60:1
16:2 35:11 56:6	32:15,16 50:25	ends 34:3 63:12	examples 20:19
65:22 66:21	59:10 60:4,5	enforcement	31:20 57:23
71:13	70:21 71:21	7:16 10:18	exceeded 64:7
early 9:8,12,18	73:18	12:19 14:12,18	excuse 32:1
earn 48:9	electronic 13:1,3	14:23 15:2	exhibit 9:11
earners 22:9	eligibility 21:13	17:13 32:14	35:13,20,23
earning 58:5,24	21:14,16 24:22	56:7,17 65:19	existing 19:17
58:25 59:7	27:24 28:1	engagement	20:23 23:16
earnings 20:25	33:17,18 53:14	26:20	33:3,4 62:21
48:8 57:13	56:3 57:20,22	english 10:23	expand 44:2
58:14	58:1,2 59:23	11:2	65:7
	eligible 55:9,15	entertain 70:9	expect 13:23
earns 19:8,17	59:17	equates 18:10	expected 64:5
easier 5:14 13:11	eliminate 37:19	es 77:4	experience 23:22
74:3	37:21 38:10,19	especially 25:18	28:12
easy 73:17	69:1 71:4	34:15	experienced
economic 21:3	eliminates 49:13	essentially 42:10	42:19
24:9,10 33:7,11	eliminating	established 9:13	experiences
33:14 73:3	17:22 38:4	35:15	36:16
economics 49:9	39:22 49:21	establishing	explain 25:14,17
economist 2:14	employed 23:24	14:19,20	57:1
22:2	27:3 77:11,14	establishment	explained 21:22
economy 18:23	78:8,11	14:8,14	explicit 44:14
18:23 26:19	70.0,11	17.0,17	сариси тт.1т

[exploring - give]

extent 31:16 extreme 54:12 extremely 12:22 fact 60:11 fact of 0:11 factor 48:23 63:22 facts 36:17 fair 47:18 fall 18:18 falls 19:14 families 13:16 fall 45:5 20:5 36:19 55:23 family 42:17 57:17,23 65:21 family's 27:6 fan 51:24 far 23:3 28:23 43:8 56:14 64:5 farm 17:2 farmington 29:22 father 30:21 fathers 2:10 36:11 54:17 fathers 2:10 36:11 54:17 fathers 2:10 36:11 54:17 fathers 12:10 31:3 federal 17:9,12 19:3 21:9,1,14 extreme 54:12 59:21,22,24 69:24.7 8:4 59:21,22,24 69:24.7 8:4 15:21 fiscal 10:13,16 15:21 five 21:12 33:18 five 21:12 33:18 five 21:12 33:18 file 63:8,16 file 63:8,16 filesh 72:20 74:18 flexibility 17:13 73:5 flow 59:12 flow 59:14 flow 10:12 flow 59:12 flow 59:12 flow 59:12 flow 59:12 flow 59	exploring 11:16	53:14 56:15	75:16	frequently 62:16
extreme 54:12 extremely 12:22				
extremely 12:22 60:24 63:19 five 21:12 33:18 front 57:4 65:17 fact 60:11 feds 26:7 fee 71:5 fee 72:20 74:18 full 27:5 32:10 48:15 63:12 72:9 facts 36:17 fee 71:5 flat 63:8,16 flesh 72:20 74:18 full 27:5 32:10 48:15 63:12 72:9 facts 36:17 fee 72:12 feed 62:12 flat 63:8,16 flesh 72:20 74:18 flullest 31:15 funding 13:14 funds 62:10 funding 13:14 funds 62:10 funds 62:10 funding 13:14 funds 62:10 funds 62:10 funding 33:14 funds 62:10 funds 62:10 funding 33:14 funds 62:10 funds 62:20 72:21				_
f 65:10,11 67:1 42:8 53:20 full 27:5 32:10 fact 60:11 feeds 26:7 feed 52:2 57:21 full 27:5 32:10 48:15 63:12 72:9 facts 60:11 feed 52:2 feedback 74:10 flesh 72:20 74:18 full 27:5 32:10 48:15 63:12 72:9 facts 60:17 feet 62:12 flesh 72:20 74:18 full 27:5 32:10 48:15 63:12 72:9 facts 36:17 fair 47:18 feel 62:12 flesh 72:20 74:18 full site 31:15 full site site 31:15 full site site 31:15 full site site site 31:15 f				
fact 60:11 feds 26:7 57:21 48:15 63:12 72:9 fact or 48:23 63:22 feed back 74:10 flesh 72:20 74:18 flust 63:8,16 72:9 fullest 31:15 funding 13:14				
factor 48:23 63:22 facts 36:17 fair 47:18 fall 18:18 falls 19:14 families 13:16 14:5,6 20:5 36:19 55:23 family 42:17 57:17,23 65:21 family's 27:6 fan 51:24 far 23:3 28:23 43:8 56:14 64:5 farm 17:2 farmington 29:22 father 30:21 54:17 fathers 2:10 36:11 54:17 fathers 2:10 36:11 54:17 fathers 2:10 36:11 54:17 fathers 12:10 31:3 federal 17:9,12 19:3 21:9,12,14 february 12:10 31:3 federal 17:9,12 19:3 21:9,12,14 22:11,11 24:15 24:18 26:6.12 fficed 62:12 feel 62:12 ffield 50:19 ffices 70:20 fficus 10:21 fficus 99:12 fficus 99:12 fficus 70:20 fficus 10:21 fficus 10:21 fficus 10:21 fficus 19:12 fficus 19:12 fficus 19:12 ffield 12:14 46:16 fill 22:14 46:16 fill 12:1 fill 12:1 fill 12:1 fill 12:1 fill 13:6 fill 55:20 financially 77:15 financially 77:15 ffinancially 77:15 financially 77:15 finalizing 75:7 financially 77:15 finalizing 45:13 fine 36:14 first 13:16 14:5 15:12 26:7 fine 12:1 find 16:20 55:8 72:22 find 16:20 55:8 72:21 find 16:20 55:8 72:22 find 16:20 55:8 72:20 finalizing	_	· ·		
factor 48:25 facts 36:17 fair 47:18 fall 18:18 falls 19:14 families 13:16 14:5,6 20:5 36:19 55:23 family 42:17 57:17,23 65:21 family's 27:6 fan 51:24 far 23:3 28:23 43:8 56:14 64:5 farm 17:2 farmington 29:22 father 30:21 54:17 fathers 2:10 36:11 54:17 fathers 2:10 36:11 54:17 fathers 2:10 36:11 54:17 fathers 2:10 36:11 54:17 fathers 12:10 31:3 federal 17:9,12 19:3 21:9,12,14 22:11,11 24:15 24:18 26:6.12 feel 62:12 74:10 74:12 feel 62:12 feeling 50:19 flow 59:12 flows 59:12 flow 59:12 flows 59:12 flocus 10:21 flocusing 5:12 flows 59:12 flows 59:12 flows 59:12 flocus 10:21 flocusing 5:12 flows 59:12 flows 59:12 flows 59:12 flocus 10:21 flocusing 5:12 flows 59:12 flows 59:12 flows 59:12 flocus 10:21 flocusing 5:12 flocus 10:21 flocus 10:21 flocusing 5:12 flocus 10:21 flocus 10:22 flocus 10:22 flocus 10:23 flocus 10:21 flocus 10:23 flocus 10:21 flocus 10:23 flocus 10:21 flo	fact 60:11			
6s:22 facts 74:12 feel flexibility 17:13 funding 13:14 funding 13:18 funding 13:14 funding 13:14 funding 13:14 funding 13:14 funding 13:14 funding 13:18 funding 13:18 funding 13:18 funding 13:18 funding 13:18 funding 13:19 funding 13:18 funding 13:19 funding 13:19 funding </th <th>factor 48:23</th> <th></th> <th>· ·</th> <th></th>	factor 48:23		· ·	
fair 47:18 feel 62:12 73:5 flow 59:12 focus 10:21 focus 10:2	63:22			
fall 18:18 feeling 50:19 flow 59:12 further 12:18 fall 18:18 feeling 50:19 flow 59:12 further 12:18 families 13:16 fees 11:16 focusing 5:12 16:23 25:17 32:20 35:20 45:5 50:14 47:21 7:13 78:9 45:5 50:14 72:21 77:13 78:9 future 5:2 fold 47:7 fold 47:7 fold 47:3 78:9 future 5:2 g fan 51:24 file 12:1 foregoing 77:3,4 78:9 future 5:2 g farm 17:2 file 12:1 foregoing 77:3,4 78:9 future 5:2 g farmington 29:22 filles 13:6 formally 22:6 23:11 formula 64:3 formula 64:3 forth 72:2 formula 64:3 formula 64:3 formula 64:3 formula 64:3 formula<	facts 36:17		•	_
falls 19:14 feels 61:13 focus 10:21 16:23 25:17 32:20 35:20 45:5 50:14 73:20 35:20 45:5 50:14 72:21 77:13 73:20 35:20 45:5 50:14 72:21 77:13 78:9 72:21 77:13 78:9 78:11 78:4 78:2 78:4 78:2 78:4 78:2 78:11 78:11 78:11 78:11 78:1	fair 47:18			
families 19:14 fees 11:16 focusing 5:12 32:20 35:20 families 13:16 fem 17:14 fees 11:16 fees 11:16 fees 11:16 fees 11:16 fees 17:14 12:12 14:8,18 35:5 72:21 77:13 78:9 72:21 77:13 78:9 72:21 77:13 78:9 72:21 77:13 78:9 72:21 77:13 78:9 72:21 77:13 78:9 72:21 77:13 78:9 72:21 77:13 78:9 72:21 77:13 78:9 72:21 77:13 78:9 72:21 77:13 78:9 72:21 77:13 78:9 72:21 77:13 78:9 72:21 77:13 78:9 72:21 77:13 78:9 72:21 77:13 78:9 72:21 77:13 78:9 72:21 77:13 78:9 72:21 77:13 78:9 72:21 77:34 78:9 72:21 77:34 78:9 72:21 77:34 78:9 72:21 77:34 78:9 72:21 77:34 78:9 72:21 77:34 78:9 72:21 77:34 78:9 72:21 77:34 78:9 72:21 77:34 72:21 77:34 72:21 77:34 <t< th=""><th>fall 18:18</th><th></th><th></th><th></th></t<>	fall 18:18			
families 13:16 fem 17:14 fewer 46:5,22 fewer 46:5,22 fold 47:2177:13 72:21 77:13 family 42:17 57:17,23 65:21 field 12:14 46:16 fold 47:7 fold 47:7 fres fold 47:7 for	falls 19:14			
14:3,6 20:3 36:19 55:23 fewer 46:5,22 35:5 72:21 77:13 family 42:17 57:17,23 65:21 field 12:14 46:16 figure 21:22 follow 72:12,13 future 5:2 fan 51:24 far 23:3 28:23 73:19,22 foregoing 77:3,4 g far 23:3 28:23 73:19,22 figures 72:14 file 12:1 foreget 8:23 formal 18:22 g g farmington 29:22 filles 13:6 filles 13:6 formally 22:6 23:11 general 37:2 64:10 72:19 general 37:2 64:10 72:19 general's 51:2 general's 51:2 generally 36:18 generally 36:18 generally 36:14	families 13:16			
family 42:17 57:17,23 65:21 family's 27:6 fan 51:24 far 23:3 28:23 43:8 56:14 64:5 farm 17:2 farmington 29:22 father 30:21 54:17 fathers 2:10 36:11 54:17 favor 75:17 fe 1:11 20:14 february 12:10 31:3 federal 17:9,12 19:3 21:9,12,14 22:11,11 24:15 24:18 26:6.12 fiction 49:1 field 12:14 46:16 figure 21:22 26:22 71:20 73:19,22 figures 72:14 file 12:1 files 13:6 filling 12:1,3 fillings 14:16 fill 55:20 finalizing 75:7 financially 77:15 four 5:12 21:11 30:24 33:17 56:1,1 66:11 67:2 fourteen 13:2 figure 21:22 26:29 77:3,4 78:9 future 5:2 g 4:1 game 42:11 gap 55:16,20 general 37:2 64:10 72:19 general's 51:2 generally 36:18 generous 63:5 getting 7:17 13:6 16:10,17 56:8 59:14 60:12 70:14 gig 45:15 gina 2:8 6:10,11 51:22,23 52:5,23 67:13 69:12,16 give 29:11 45:7	14:5,6 20:5		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
field 12:14 46:16 figure 21:22 26:22 71:20 73:19,22 farm 17:2 farmington 29:22 father 30:21 54:17 fathers 2:10 36:11 54:17 favor 75:17 fe 1:11 20:14 february 12:10 31:3 federal 17:9,12 19:3 21:9,12,14 22:11,11 24:15 24:18 26:6.12 field 12:14 46:16 figure 21:22 26:22 71:20 78:4 foregoing 77:3,4 78:4 forget 8:23 formal 18:22 26:19 formally 22:6 26:10 72:19 general 37:2 64:10 72:19 general's 51:2 generalize 65:25 getting 7:17 13:6 16:10,17 56:8 59:14 60:12 70:14 gig 45:15 four 5:12 21:11 30:24 33:17 53:15,23 54:5 56:1,1 66:11 67:2 fourteen 13:2 foregoing 77:3,4 78:4 forget 8:23 formal 18:22 26:19 general 37:2 64:10 72:19 general's 51:2 generally 36:18 generous 63:5 getting 7:17 13:6 16:10,17 56:8 59:14 60:12 70:14 gig 45:15 gina 2:8 6:10,11 51:22,23 52:5,23 67:13 69:12,16	36:19 55:23	The state of the s		
family's 27:6 fan 51:24 far 23:3 28:23 43:8 56:14 64:5 farm 17:2 farmington 29:22 father 30:21 54:17 fathers 2:10 36:11 54:17 favor 75:17 fe 1:11 20:14 february 12:10 31:3 federal 17:9,12 19:3 21:9,12,14 22:11,11 24:15 24:18 26:6.12 figure 21:22 26:22 71:20 73:19,22 figures 72:14 file 12:1 files 13:6 fill 12:1,3 filings 14:16 fill 55:20 finalizing 75:7 financially 77:15 finalizing 75:7 financially 77:15 find 16:20 55:8 72:22 finding 45:13 fine 36:14 first 13:16 14:5 15:12 26:7 fine 1:17 fine 1:12 26:7 fine 21:22 fine 21:22 foregoing 77:3,4 78:4 forget 8:23 formal 18:22 26:19 general 37:2 64:10 72:19 general's 51:2 generally 36:18 generous 63:5 getting 7:17 13:6 16:10,17 56:8 59:14 60:12 70:14 gig 45:15 gina 2:8 6:10,11 51:22,23 52:5,22 67:13 69:12,16 give 29:11 45:7	family 42:17			
fan 51:24 far 23:3 28:23 43:8 56:14 64:5 farm 17:2 farmington 29:22 father 30:21 54:17 fathers 2:10 36:11 54:17 favor 75:17 fe 1:11 20:14 february 12:10 31:3 federal 17:9,12 19:3 21:9,12,14 22:11,11 24:15 24:18 26:6.12 73:19,22 figures 72:14 file 12:1 files 13:6 filing 12:1,3 filings 14:16 fill 55:20 finalizing 75:7 financially 77:15 78:4 forget 8:23 formal 18:22 26:19 formally 22:6 23:11 formula 64:3 forth 72:2 forward 39:2,14 75:6,6 four 5:12 21:11 30:24 33:17 53:15,23 54:5 56:1,1 66:11 67:2 finding 45:13 fine 36:14 first 13:16 14:5 15:12 26:7 first 13:16 14:5 15:12 26:7	57:17,23 65:21		·	future 5:2
far 23:3 28:23 43:8 56:14 64:5 farm 17:2 farmington 29:22 father 30:21 54:17 fathers 2:10 36:11 54:17 fe 1:11 20:14 february 12:10 31:3 federal 17:9,12 19:3 21:9,12,14 22:11,11 24:15 24:18 26:6.12 figures 72:14 file 12:1 files 13:6 filings 14:16 fill 55:20 finalizing 75:7 financially 77:15 four 5:12 21:11 30:24 33:17 53:15,23 54:5 56:1,1 66:11 67:2 fourteen 13:2 formal 18:22 26:19 formally 22:6 23:11 formula 64:3 forth 72:2 forward 39:2,14 75:6,6 four 5:12 21:11 30:24 33:17 53:15,23 54:5 56:1,1 66:11 67:2 fourteen 13:2 formal 18:22 26:19 general 37:2 64:10 72:19 general's 51:2 generally 36:18 generous 63:5 getting 7:17 13:6 16:10,17 56:8 59:14 60:12 70:14 gig 45:15 gina 2:8 6:10,11 51:22,23 52:5,23 67:13 69:12,16 give 29:11 45:7	family's 27:6			g
far 23:3 28:23 43:8 56:14 64:5 farm 17:2 farmington 29:22 father 30:21 54:17 fathers 2:10 36:11 54:17 favor 75:17 fe 1:11 20:14 february 12:10 31:3 federal 17:9,12 19:3 21:9,12,14 22:11,11 24:15 24:18 26:6.12 figures 72:14 file 12:1 files 13:6 filing 12:1,3 filings 14:16 fill 55:20 finalizing 75:7 financially 77:15 78:11 find 16:20 55:8 72:22 finding 45:13 fine 36:14 first 13:16 14:5 15:12 26:7 formal 18:22 26:19 formally 22:6 23:11 formula 64:3 form 39:2,14 75:6,6 four 5:12 21:11 30:24 33:17 53:15,23 54:5 56:1,1 66:11 67:2 fourteen 13:2 formal 18:22 26:19 game 42:11 gap 55:16,20 general 37:2 64:10 72:19 generally 36:18 generous 63:5 getting 7:17 13:6 16:10,17 56:8 59:14 60:12 70:14 gig 45:15 gina 2:8 6:10,11 51:22,23 52:5,23 67:13 69:12,16 give 29:11 45:7	fan 51:24			g 4:1
file 12:1 files 13:6 filing 12:1,3 filings 14:16 fill 55:20 finalizing 75:7 fathers 2:10 36:11 54:17 favor 75:17 fe 1:11 20:14 february 12:10 31:3 federal 17:9,12 19:3 21:9,12,14 22:11,11 24:15 24:18 26:6,12 file 12:1 files 13:6 files 13:6 filings 12:1,3 filings 14:16 fill 55:20 finalizing 75:7 financially 77:15 78:11 find 16:20 55:8 72:22 finding 45:13 fine 36:14 first 13:16 14:5 15:12 26:7 file 12:1 files 13:6 formally 22:6 23:11 formula 64:3 forth 72:2 four 5:12 21:11 30:24 33:17 53:15,23 54:5 56:1,1 66:11 67:2 four en 13:2 fou	far 23:3 28:23	· ·		_
farm 17:2 files file 12:1 files 26:19 formally 22:6 23:11 formally 22:6 23:11 formally 22:19 general 37:2 64:10 72:19 general's 51:2 general's <	43:8 56:14 64:5			gap 55:16,20
farmington 29:22 father 30:21 54:17 fathers 2:10 36:11 54:17 favor 75:17 fe 1:11 20:14 february 12:10 31:3 federal 17:9,12 19:3 21:9,12,14 22:11,11 24:15 24:18 26:6,12 filing 12:1,3 filings 14:16 fill 55:20 finalizing 75:7 financial 32:7 financially 77:15 78:11 find 16:20 55:8 72:22 finding 45:13 fine 36:14 first 13:16 14:5 15:12 26:7 filing 12:1,3 formula 64:3 forth 72:2 forward 39:2,14 75:6,6 four 5:12 21:11 30:24 33:17 53:15,23 54:5 56:1,1 66:11 67:2 fourteen 13:2 fine 24:7 54:21 67:13 69:12,16 give 29:11 45:7	farm 17:2			
29:22 filing 12:1,3 23:11 general's 51:2 father 30:21 filings 14:16 fillngs 14:16 formula 64:3 general's 51:2 fathers 2:10 finalizing 75:7 financial 32:7 forward 39:2,14 generally 36:18 favor 75:17 financially 77:15 75:6,6 four 5:12 21:11 30:24 33:17 16:10,17 56:8 59:14 60:12 70:14 finding 45:13 56:1,1 66:11 67:2 fourteen 13:2 fourteen 13:2 fourteen 13:2 fourteen 13:2 fourteen 13:2 67:13 69:12,16 24:18 26:6.12 15:12 26:7 61:7 61:7 general's 51:2	farmington		_	64:10 72:19
father 30:21 filings 14:16 formula 64:3 generalize 65:25 fathers 2:10 36:11 54:17 financial 32:7 forward 39:2,14 generalize 65:25 generally 36:18 36:17 16:10,17 56:8 59:14 60:12 70:14 gig 45:15 45:15 36:11,166:11 67:2 gina 2:8 6:10,11 51:22,23 52:5,23 67:13 69:12,16 67:13 69:12,16 67:13 69:12,16 67:13 69:12,16 67:13 69:12,16 67:14 67:14 67:14 67:14 67:14 67:14 67:14 77:14				general's 51:2
finalizing 75:7 fathers 2:10 36:11 54:17 favor 75:17 fe 1:11 20:14 february 12:10 31:3 federal 17:9,12 19:3 21:9,12,14 22:11,11 24:15 24:18 26:6.12 finalizing 75:7 financial 32:7 financially 77:15 finalizing 75:7 financial 32:7 financially 77:15 78:11 30:24 33:17 53:15,23 54:5 56:1,1 66:11 67:2 fourteen 13:2	father 30:21			generalize 65:25
fathers 2:10 36:11 54:17 financial 32:7 favor 75:17 financially 77:15 fe 1:11 20:14 find 16:20 55:8 four 5:12 21:11 30:24 33:17 59:14 60:12 59:14 60:12 70:14 finding 45:13 67:2 fourteen 13:2 fourteen 13:2 fourteen 13:2 fourteen 13:2 67:13 69:12,16 24:18 26:6.12 15:12 26:7 15:12 26:7 61:7 forward 39:2,14 generous 63:5 getting 7:17 13:6 59:14 60:12 70:14 gig 45:15 45:15 gina 2:8 6:10,11 51:22,23 52:5,23 67:13 69:12,16 61:7 61:7 61:7	54:17			generally 36:18
36:11 54:17 financial 32:7 75:6,6 getting 7:17 13:6 favor 75:17 78:11 30:24 33:17 16:10,17 56:8 59:14 60:12 59:14 60:12 70:14 7	fathers 2:10	_		
favor 75:17 financially 77:15 four 5:12 21:11 30:24 33:17 59:14 60:12 february 12:10 31:3 find 16:20 55:8 59:14 60:12 70:14 finding 45:13 67:2 fourteen 13:2 fourteen 13:2 first 13:16 14:5 first 13:12 26:7 fourteen 13:2 67:13 69:12,16 give 29:11 45:7	36:11 54:17		,	getting 7:17 13:6
fe 1:11 20:14 78:11 30:24 33:17 59:14 60:12 february 12:10 31:3 59:14 60:12 70:14 federal 17:9,12 finding 45:13 56:1,1 66:11 67:2 fine 36:14 first 13:16 14:5 fourteen 13:2 59:14 60:12 fourteen 13:2 fourteen 13:2 67:13 69:12,16 67:13 69:12,16 61:7		_		
february 12:10 31:3 find 16:20 55:8 53:15,23 54:5 70:14 federal 17:9,12 finding 45:13 67:2 gig 45:15 19:3 21:9,12,14 first 13:16 14:5 fourteen 13:2 fourteen 13:2 67:13 69:12,16 24:18 26:6,12 15:12 26:7 61:7 give 29:11 45:7				,
31:3 federal 17:9,12 19:3 21:9,12,14 22:11,11 24:15 24:18 26:6.12 finding 45:13 fine 36:14 first 13:16 14:5 15:12 26:7 finding 45:13 fine 36:14 first 13:16 14:5 15:12 26:7 finding 45:13 fine 36:14 first 13:16 14:5 fine 36:17 fine 36:1,1 66:11 67:2 fourteen 13:2 fpg 24:7 54:21 61:7 gig 45:15 gina 2:8 6:10,11 51:22,23 52:5,23 67:13 69:12,16 give 29:11 45:7			,	
federal 17:9,12 finding 45:13 67:2 19:3 21:9,12,14 fine 36:14 fourteen 13:2 22:11,11 24:15 first 13:16 14:5 fpg 24:7 54:21 67:13 69:12,16 24:18 26:6,12 15:12 26:7 61:7 give 29:11 45:7	-			
19:3 21:9,12,14 22:11,11 24:15 24:18 26:6.12 fine 36:14 first 13:16 14:5 15:12 26:7 fourteen 13:2 fpg 24:7 54:21 61:7 fourteen 13:2 67:13 69:12,16 give 29:11 45:7		•		
22:11,11 24:15 24:18 26:6.12 first 13:16 14:5 fpg 24:7 54:21 67:13 69:12,16 give 29:11 45:7	·			
24:18 26:6.12 15:12 26:7 61:7 give 29:11 45:7				
	24:18 26:6,12			give 29:11 45:7
27:13 33:14.16 41:20 48:1 frame 28:18 45:20 55:2	· ·	41:20 48:1	frame 28:18	
70:25 72:5		70:25 72:5		

[give - heavy]

68:16	49:10 50:16	74:9 75:3	guys 17:5 54:5
given 16:13 40:8	51:6,7,10,12,24	grid 42:9 43:21	70:12
73:3,3	52:4,23,24 53:13	gross 45:25	h
glad 17:5	53:13 58:2	ground 58:6	
go 4:10,21 5:18	63:25 64:25	grounds 42:2,3	hand 18:4 62:9
5:19 7:15,22,24	66:24 67:6,8,12	group 32:25	70:10
14:6 16:20	68:11,13 71:1,13	growth 39:3	handle 71:21
17:25 18:2,5	71:16,17,18,19	guess 37:9 38:20	hands 4:5
20:24 21:19	76:7	41:5,6,18 71:20	happen 13:25
26:11 28:3	gonzalez 2:9	75:11,12	62:23
33:25 34:9	6:13 8:10,12	guidance 37:18	happening 14:12
35:12 39:13	40:18,18 47:3	39:18 40:9,23	35:8
46:8,25 47:2	49:10 50:1,4	41:10,12 44:8,14	happy 73:8
50:21 51:6,14	52:1,6,7 62:4	45:7,21 50:8	hard 65:25
53:13,20 54:21	66:19 67:19,20	guideline 1:1	head 23:1 62:24
55:19 56:14	68:20 69:15,16	8:21 17:24 18:9	74:18
59:9 62:15	good 6:24 7:3,5	19:23,25 21:12	headed 74:21
66:10,24 67:12	7:10,11 8:1 28:5	21:15 29:23,24	health 5:9 17:8
68:10,12,16	28:25 59:6	33:3,5,6,10,13	17:10,15,16,16
70:15 74:17	72:25 74:12	35:4 37:21 38:5	17:19
goal 15:20 16:9	goodmacher 2:4	43:12 47:9,15	hear 6:12 34:7
16:16	4:17 29:20 32:4	53:1 56:16	37:10 42:19
goals 5:12	36:10 44:20	57:18 59:22,23	44:18 46:8
goes 15:13 25:20	68:16,19	59:25 60:24	51:23 60:7 71:1
25:20 34:8	goto 4:4 51:25	62:21 64:9 66:8	heard 6:6
41:22 72:8	government	67:2 72:7	hearing 2:13 7:8
going 4:10,13,21	26:12	guidelines 19:3	30:4 38:17
4:22,22 7:24	governor's 2:8	19:16 21:2,9	40:10,23 41:6,7
8:14,23 13:4	6:10	22:3,7,14,16,18	41:10,11,14,16
14:4 18:8,8,25	granted 65:4	23:16 32:5	41:24 42:5,18
19:11,13,18	graph 14:13	33:15,17 38:1	45:21 48:2,18
20:12,17,24 25:3	15:16	40:6 42:7,22,25	49:15,15 50:8
25:21 29:5 32:6	gratitude 5:3	43:13 51:2,17,18	52:18 68:5 70:2
32:7,21,25 33:25	great 4:19 5:17	53:11,14,19	hearings 45:10
34:16 35:12	5:23 6:12 8:9 12:7 29:1 32:18	57:20 63:17	heart 62:14
38:23 39:2,14,25 42:23 43:1 46:7	45:1 65:4 69:4	64:3 66:1,3 69:2	heavy 73:2
47:14 48:3	70:6 71:25 73:5	72:12,16 74:8	
47.14 40.3	10.0 /1.23 /3.3		

[help - input]

help 5:13 30:21	40:25 41:4,12,25	46:12 48:19	59:4,12 63:15,17
56:21 68:13	42:4,8 43:11,18	impute 20:12	63:23 64:17,19
helped 30:23	43:22 45:11,12	23:4 25:3 40:12	64:22
helpful 66:6 75:5	46:5,12 48:3,16	40:24 41:12,13	incomes 25:5
helping 12:7	48:17 50:9 56:9	47:18 50:9	incorporate
hereto 77:15	57:14 64:24,25	imputed 23:20	18:20 42:12
78:11	household 39:1	27:2 28:8,9,13	43:14 47:8
hi 6:3 36:10	how's 75:18	48:7,10,16 49:12	incorporating
high 5:9 23:19	hsd 16:4 33:20	imputing 20:11	7:19 17:23 18:8
23:25 24:24	71:13	33:22 34:15	19:23
26:18 27:2 30:5	huge 9:20 54:14	35:6 40:10,24	increase 9:15,17
34:2 53:21 64:1	54:18	43:11 46:20	11:7,8 18:13,15
64:14,17	human 4:25 5:6	47:10,11,13 49:1	35:24 53:19
higher 20:15	5:9,13 8:16	50:9 57:14	56:10
22:24 24:18	i	inadequate	increased 65:24
27:24 35:24	idea 13:20 18:24	22:19	incredible 66:2
62:22 63:7,8	55:12,22 72:21	incarcerated	incurred 55:5
64:10 66:9	72:25 73:5	28:16	independence
highest 11:24	ideas 7:24 70:19	inclined 32:9	5:10
hindrance 11:18	identified 73:7	47:16 61:12	individual 29:11
history 17:3	identifying	include 17:16	58:24,25
28:14 46:21	40:16	21:5 40:5 53:11	individuals 48:4
48:8 64:23,23	impact 7:19	63:3 66:25	industries 22:22
hit 34:16	47:21	including 53:15	23:8 40:14,15
hope 37:5	implement 13:15	65:15	industry 40:16
hopefully 16:17	implemented	income 8:21 9:5	inform 27:8
35:19	8:20 12:8,9	18:13,14,17,18	information
hoping 13:9 14:4	14:10	19:10 20:5,11,18	22:19 40:8
21:22 56:11	important 12:22	21:5 22:5,19,19	48:15 60:23
68:14	13:12 55:13	23:5,10,14,20	62:24 64:5
hospitality 17:1	improve 5:9	24:21 25:11	initiative 10:15
hour 20:16 49:6	10:14 12:15	26:10 27:2 28:8	11:10
hours 7:18 16:19	improvements	28:9,12 31:13,14	initiatives 74:20
16:21,24,25 17:1	35:14 36:7	31:21 33:8,22	innovative 5:8
20:12,16,25 22:8	imputation	35:5,18 41:25	input 7:21 29:8
22:12,12,21,24	20:11,16 40:4,7	42:7 45:24,25	29:14,14,17 54:9
23:7 33:20,21	40:17 42:1	47:11,14 48:4	62:18 74:24
35:7 40:7,12,17	+0.17 +2.1	49:1,6 55:18	

[instance - lead]

instance 24:21	68:13	judges 42:17,24	28:11 29:9,14
insurance 17:8	job 1:17 9:24,24	42:24 43:3,4	34:17 35:8
17:10,16	10:12 23:5	48:2	36:20 39:12
intention 72:15	30:15 31:2 39:9	judicial 42:17,19	42:23,25 43:2
intercepts 15:24	49:7 64:21	71:19	44:18 47:7,8,17
16:9	68:10	july 9:3,11	49:2,17 50:16
interest 52:19	jobs 11:8 16:10	jump 25:18	51:9 53:3 54:4
67:9	16:17 48:4	june 11:13,22	54:16 55:16
interested 77:15	john 4:14 6:16	justification	56:8 57:10 58:8
78:12	johnny 2:10 6:17	26:15 63:9	59:1,8,11 60:16
internal 38:20	6:18 11:4,5	justified 26:12	60:19 61:5,16,21
internally 39:17	36:10,12 37:23	k	61:25 63:1
interviews 10:12	38:12 44:13	kallunki 7:6	64:13 65:1,3,5
irs 16:8	52:9 67:21	52:16,17 68:3	65:15 66:17
issue 23:10 25:6	69:20	70:1	68:18 70:23
25:14 26:22	join 6:17,19 7:7	kari 2:5 3:4 5:20	71:16 73:1,1,18
33:19 41:22	52:17	7:23 39:11 75:1	73:21
64:12	joined 8:10 11:4	keep 18:8 19:4	knowing 28:8
issued 15:3	joining 8:11 11:5	29:24 32:25	knowledge 77:10
issues 22:5 25:12	joins 6:15	39:25 74:1,7,22	78:6
28:18	judge 2:11,12	keeps 25:21	known 5:5
items 7:14,22	6:21 7:1,5 24:3	kept 29:24	l
8:14 18:2	25:13 36:24	key 11:9	labor 22:11
j	39:25 41:20	kids 55:9,14 59:8	48:15
jail 15:9 23:23	42:20 44:8 45:7	59:18 71:6	land 5:3
jailing 65:19	50:5 52:12,14,16	kind 45:9 55:16	lands 5:1
james 2:12 8:7	52:17 53:2	65:5 72:20	language 48:13
jane 2:14 19:4,4	55:25 60:4,23	klump 2:13 7:8	48:14
20:1 21:25 22:2	61:1,5,8 62:5,13	7:10 38:17,18	large 36:20
34:11 58:11	64:24 67:23	41:17,18 46:10	largely 63:25
62:19 75:7	68:1,3,20,21	52:18,19 68:5,6	larger 20:22
january 12:3	69:22,24 70:1	70:2,3	late 6:2
13:18	75:3	know 4:12 6:1,7	launched 10:22
jeep 31:5	judge's 45:22	7:1 14:22 16:10	law 2:9 6:13
jill 2:4 4:13,16	judgement 49:18 49:18,22	22:25 23:2,22	42:17
6:16 29:17	judgements	25:1,2,19,20,24	lead 75:4
32:19 44:19	38:22 39:5	26:1 27:2 28:3,9	
	30.22 37.3		

[leadership - mccracken]

loodonahin 64.14	15 47.5 50.12	20.10.22.0	20.22 69.25
leadership 64:14	live 47:5 59:12	20:10 23:8	39:23 68:25
leading 53:2	59:14	25:11 26:17	69:3 71:3
left 18:11 30:11	lives 5:7 31:24	36:5 38:21	manual 10:20
30:11 36:11	living 63:6	54:17 59:16,16	33:21
legal 12:13	load 39:12	64:13	march 31:4
legislation 18:19	local 7:18 31:4	lots 32:23	marginally 27:3
71:8 72:4 73:8	33:19,21	loud 36:13 46:11	mark 25:21
legislative 7:24	locality 48:11	low 21:5 22:9	martin 2:12 7:1
8:19 13:15	lockstep 42:25	23:13 31:13,14	7:3 8:7,7 24:4
70:20 72:17	long 22:7 30:22	31:21 33:8 34:2	25:13,16 27:8,19
legislature 43:10	longer 17:17,22	36:25 48:4	28:21 37:12,14
43:13	look 8:22 15:25	53:21 56:4	38:7 39:25 40:1
leisure 16:25	20:23 25:2 28:4	61:21 63:15,23	40:3 41:1,9
22:25	36:9 39:12	lower 20:5 21:17	42:21 47:6
letting 66:17	45:24 48:5,6	29:23 35:7,19	50:11 52:14,15
level 22:11 24:19	56:20 63:3	59:9,10 60:4	53:2,5,7,10 54:7
27:13 28:2 42:9	72:20 73:19	lowering 20:4	61:2,8,10 62:5
49:6 53:16	74:2,3 75:6	32:1,5	62:13 67:4 68:1
55:18,23 57:18	looked 24:2,4,5	m	68:2,20,22,24
57:24 61:17	24:23,25 27:25	ma'am 67:4	69:24,25 71:12
levels 56:13	looking 9:6	mainframe	73:13,16 75:3,14
license 65:15,18	17:21 19:4	12:20	76:2
lieu 43:11	20:20 38:3 54:2	major 28:3	martin's 50:5
life 27:6 30:22	60:9,11,13 73:20	majority 50:17	61:5
31:18	looks 66:15	makers 43:10	martinez 2:6 6:1
lift 73:2	loop 74:23	making 9:9	6:3 8:4 51:15
light 37:17	lopez 2:7 6:6	11:18 13:11	69:9
limitations 24:15	44:6,22 45:1,6	19:21 20:10	maryland 64:16
line 64:8	48:24 51:1,20,21	35:25 54:15,22	64:16
lingering 34:14	53:25 55:8	58:11,13 60:2	mass 42:13
link 10:24 11:1,2	56:24 57:8,16	72:6 74:10	mathematically
16:5 17:14	58:4,15,19,23		26:10
list 2:2	59:5 60:3 67:15	management 12:16 13:1,4	max 16:14
listening 34:25	67:16 69:10,11	36:3 56:21	mccracken 1:5
literature 49:19	72:24 75:20		2:3 3:3 4:2,19
little 23:22 25:17	76:5	managers 34:24	5:23 6:5,25 7:5
64:10	lot 8:16 14:2,11	mandatory 17:6	7:11 8:5,8,13
	14:11 16:7	37:22,25 38:2,9	25:13 28:25

[mccracken - motion]

22 2 17 24 7 10	66.00.60.14.01	(4.12.14.67.0.4	1
32:2,17 34:7,19	66:20 68:14,21	64:13,14 65:2,4	modernizing
37:7,13,24 38:12	68:25 69:3 71:3	66:7 77:19	12:24
38:16 39:8 40:2	meet 63:19	mexico's 19:17	modifications
40:20 41:2,16	72:11	22:12 24:12	14:9,15,19 35:24
43:23 44:24	meeting 1:2 4:4	27:14,15 45:14	modified 36:1
45:3,23 46:24	5:16,17 7:14	62:20 64:9	money 13:20,24
47:25 49:24	20:2 21:4 34:23	mic 6:8 50:25	14:1,6 19:21
50:6,12 51:5,12	45:9 51:25 72:5	51:23	32:11 39:6
51:22 52:3,9,12	76:9	middle 58:6	55:19
52:14,16,22 53:6	meetings 4:24	59:10 60:4	monitor 4:8
53:9,24 54:1,9	35:1 51:3,4	million 15:22	month 13:23
54:24 55:7,21	member 41:3	mind 74:7	15:12,17 16:13
57:5,12,17 58:10	51:7	minimum 20:13	19:8 20:17
58:17,20 59:2,20	members 28:6	20:15,25 23:18	21:15,17 25:25
60:7,22 61:3	29:6 37:10	25:4 30:14,18	31:7 60:10,12,19
62:2,17 64:7	39:21 50:20,22	31:9,10 33:22	61:15,17 63:10
66:5,23 67:5,11	50:24 66:18	37:19 40:10,17	63:13 69:1
67:18,21,23 68:1	mention 35:2	40:24,24 47:18	monthly 9:9
68:3,7,18 69:4,9	38:6 46:6	48:6,9,9,10,15	21:7,11,16 54:19
69:12,18,22,24	mentioned 16:2	50:9 57:13,14	57:19,21 58:18
70:1,4 74:14	34:10 35:11	61:16 63:12	58:21
75:1,16,22 76:4	45:4 56:6	64:15,16,21 69:1	months 30:25
mean 20:1 27:6	mentions 35:23	miniscule 31:22	31:6 74:19
49:2 54:3,12	message 4:6	minor 17:11	morning 6:24
55:17 58:6	method 33:8	minute 68:16	7:3,5,10,11
59:13,16 64:8,13	methodologies	missed 50:2	mother 54:16
64:15 66:1	25:8	mission 5:6	motion 8:2 37:20
means 12:11	methodology	mitigate 39:3	38:9,15 39:20
measure 24:15	23:15 24:13	46:18	40:9,22 41:10
measured 12:9	mexicans 5:10	moderated 1:5	46:25 47:4,4
measures 16:5	5:13	modern 44:15	49:11,13,23 50:1
medicaid 17:19	mexico 1:1 2:10	modernization	50:2,5,7,11,23
27:24 38:4 71:6	5:5 13:22 16:21	7:15 8:15 9:20	52:7,21 53:10,13
medical 7:18	17:1,6 19:3 20:9	11:9,11 12:11,23	54:7,22 61:4,5
17:3,4,7,7,9,18	21:9 23:18 25:2	12:24 14:11	61:12,20 62:14
37:17,19,22,25	28:11 29:22	17:14 18:1	66:14,15,25
38:2,4,10,10,19	33:15 36:11	modernize 8:17	67:13,17,20 68:6
38:22 39:22,23	49:9 59:25		68:8,14,19,25

[motion - okay]

60.12.75.12.12	managamy 17.22	nm 1:11	obligge 22.4
69:13 75:12,13	necessary 17:22 need 12:18 19:5	noise 4:13 70:15	obligee 23:4
75:14,21			obligees 65:14
motions 70:8	22:16 34:10,12	non 9:25 11:13	obtained 30:14
75:4	38:23 39:5	11:18 17:2	obvious 46:5
move 8:2,4 17:23	44:14 45:20	29:25 30:12,14	obviously 22:24
29:3 32:21 42:2	48:25 49:4,7	30:16 31:12,21	24:24 42:18
42:13 49:20	55:14 57:3 66:9	32:6,9 47:12,19	october 11:15,21
59:24 68:11	66:17,20 71:9	53:17 55:10,12	11:22 75:8
moved 12:19	73:6 74:6 75:12	59:16,17 60:14	odd 51:24
movement 37:2	needed 30:23	65:20 71:5	offer 10:2 23:7
moving 4:23	needs 18:12 26:6	73:18	office 2:8 6:11
13:17 42:14	26:9,13 63:20	notary 77:18	12:6 17:12
46:22 47:20	65:12	notes 68:17	officer 2:13 7:8
75:6	neighboring	notice 48:19	38:17 41:7,11,11
multiplied 24:11	63:24	notion 30:7	41:14,16,25
27:14	neither 77:11	45:11	52:18 68:5 70:2
mute 4:10,14	78:7	november 11:14	77:1,2
70:16	net 63:16	11:15	officers 40:10,23
muted 4:17 6:8	never 30:18	number 11:24	42:5,18 45:21
15:15 44:3	52:17	18:18 31:25	48:2,18 49:15
n	new 1:1 2:10 5:5	49:14	50:8
n 2:1 3:1,1 4:1	5:10,13 9:9	numbers 27:10	offices 12:2,14
name 29:21	13:22 16:21	31:24 34:2	13:2,3,5
narrow 34:10	17:1,5 19:3,17	36:21,24 42:10	officially 22:14
nation 63:5	20:9 21:9 22:12	0	oftentimes 23:20
nation 03.3	23:17 24:11	o 3:1 4:1	oh 27:21 34:3
16:24 22:23	25:2 27:14,14	objection 70:24	43:4 44:2 58:15
	28:11 29:22	•	61:4 68:10
24:11,16 nature 44:15	31:5 33:15	obligated 18:12	69:18
	36:11 37:17	18:17 23:4,21	okay 4:20,23
nay 51:7 67:25	45:14 49:9	26:5,9,18 63:21	6:12,16,19 7:6
ncp 15:24 58:21	52:23 59:25	65:13	7:13 17:23 18:4
59:3 60:2	62:20 64:9,13,14	obligation 21:7	18:7,8 21:18
ncp's 59:3	65:2,3 66:7	21:11,16 30:18	24:20 28:21
nebel 78:2,15	77:19	57:19,21 58:18	29:5,16 32:2,18
necessarily	newly 9:13 35:15	58:21	32:20 34:21
43:16	nice 14:17 50:15	obligations 9:9	37:8,12,13 38:12
	76:3	73:23	38:16 39:20
	, 0.0		20.10 27.20

[okay - payment]

43:23 45:1,3,23 oppo	:1 75:18 osition 39:24	p	25:22 27:14,15
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	osition 39:24 [
46:2,24 49:24 50		p 2:1,1 4:1	33:15 54:22
	:18,19,22,23	p.m. 76:8	60:1 61:7 63:7
50:6,12 51:6,7 optio	on 23:2 24:6	page 3:2 20:22	63:13
51:12,15,22 optio	ons 11:12,16	31:5	part 37:21 45:17
52:17,22,25 53:1 20	:1,6 24:2	paid 27:4 30:22	69:2,2
53:6,9,24 54:2,9 26	:23 32:22,23	31:6	participating
54:24 55:7	:12 34:22	pairs 36:21	29:7 34:25
57:17 58:16	:9 53:2 72:3	pandemic 10:8	participation
-	r 18:13,15	14:10,17	75:2
66:13,16,23,24	:24,25 19:8,12	paper 31:12	particular 39:20
, ,	:19 25:4	parent 19:7,10	41:6
	:19 30:15	19:12,17,20 23:4	particularly
	:22 38:11	23:21 26:9,14,18	23:5,17 28:8
· ·	:22 65:7,17	29:25 30:1,10,12	parties 46:15
· ·	:19 69:1	30:14 31:21	73:22 74:7
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ered 17:10	32:11,16 47:11	77:12,14 78:8,11
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	:1,21 32:10	47:12,13,14,19	partner 12:7
· ·	ers 9:5,9,14	47:22 48:7,8,10	partners 5:8
	:4 14:19,20	48:11 53:17	partnership 9:23
	:1 18:22	54:13 55:11,12	pass 44:9
	:17 30:5	58:5,8 59:18	passed 8:19
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	:15 37:20	60:12,13,14,17	pause 71:10
	:9 71:15	61:15 62:10	pay 4:8 5:3 6:15
	nizational	63:22 65:13,24	6:16,20 7:6 8:22
	:16	parent's 18:18	9:6 15:6,7,8,13
	inal 5:1	26:5 39:1 58:14	18:25 31:7,22
1	:19	parents 9:22,25	32:9 33:23 35:5
	inally 27:23	10:4,5 11:13,18	35:18 56:9 58:6
	nt 47:10 59:7	18:12 23:21	58:22 59:8
11	ome 77:16	25:10 28:10,13	62:15
	:12	30:9 31:13,20	payable 65:20
11	each 42:17	32:6,9 54:18	paying 31:1
/	all 11:8	59:15,16,17	61:25 62:1
	lap 34:2	63:21 71:5	payment 7:16
	d 56:20	73:18,19	11:12,21 15:12
opposed 8:9		parity 19:4	15:14 25:25
42:11 43:21		21:10 24:7,12	26:16 60:10

[payment - professional]

61:22,24 62:7	perora 13:17	policy 22:5,6	35:12
65:20	person 29:10,21	23:3 33:24 40:6	presented 68:19
payments 7:17	49:3	43:9,10,12,14	presumptive
9:14 11:17,19,23	personal 30:20	46:2 47:9 49:7	66:2
11:24 14:21	36:16	54:14 71:14	pretty 10:20
15:4,5,17,18,20	perspective 23:3	population	23:19 25:18
15:23,24 16:6,7	26:6 71:20	23:24 25:5	63:8
16:11,15,18 19:1	perspectives	portal 13:8	prevailing 48:10
35:15,16,25 37:4	30:8 41:19	portion 14:13	price 19:3 21:10
53:17,19 62:8	ph 13:17	position 60:19	24:7,12 27:14,15
payor 46:18	phased 12:2	65:11,11	33:15 54:22
61:21 62:8	phone 11:12,19	possibility 31:16	59:25 61:7 63:7
payrolls 17:2	11:25	possible 34:1	63:13
people 5:4 14:20	phrased 41:9	posted 31:4	prior 77:5
16:10,14,17	pick 21:14	36:11	prioritizes 26:5
21:20 35:25	piece 71:8 72:4	potentially	prison 23:23
41:4 45:12,15	pieces 37:15	60:14	private 17:2,10
46:20 49:5	46:22	poverty 2:9 6:14	17:16
55:18 59:9,13	pilot 10:7 11:13	19:3 21:9,12,15	probably 22:22
people's 49:8	11:16	24:15,18,19,23	24:17,17 25:3
peoples 5:1	pitch 19:5	27:13 28:1	26:24 27:1 42:9
percent 9:9,13	placements	33:15,16 53:14	56:16 63:24
9:15,17 10:11	10:13 11:8	56:16 57:18,20	64:7 73:16
15:22,23,25,25	plan 17:19	57:24 59:21,23	problem 34:13
16:13,14,15	play 31:17 32:6	59:25 60:24	59:9 60:5
19:20,22 24:22	playing 46:16	61:17 67:1	procedure 61:6
27:15,25 28:1	please 29:18	power 4:21	61:9
35:16 63:7,11,14	45:24 47:25	10:25 20:21	proceeding 1:10
63:17,18	68:23 70:16	practical 73:17	78:4
percentage 59:1	pleased 9:2,18	practice 22:15	proceedings
63:16	plus 59:25	40:5	77:3,5,6,9 78:6
performance	point 4:21 10:25	predictive 49:18	process 10:20
12:9,15	20:6,21 21:23	prepare 42:6	29:8 72:17,17
period 8:22	26:23,25 27:4	prepared 78:3	processes 12:10
periodically	28:5 32:1,4	present 5:2,22	production
13:25	36:20 43:16,17	6:24 7:4	45:16
permission	47:18 55:1 59:6	presentation	professional
31:19	66:19 71:25	4:21 10:25	36:19

[program - reducing]

0.17			12.22
program 8:17	purchased 31:5	r	receive 13:23
9:22 10:6,15,21	purpose 33:22	r 2:1 4:1	29:16
11:3,7,9,10	51:17 61:23	radio 10:23,24	received 4:20
14:25 36:4	purposes 43:12	raise 4:5 18:3	13:15
56:19,21 60:17	51:17	21:4 70:22	recognize 20:13
programs 24:20	put 22:6,14,16	72:20	24:14 35:10
24:21 55:22	24:4 26:23	raised 25:14	45:20 54:14
56:18	32:24 39:5	raising 54:13	61:8
progress 13:2	41:20 46:15	range 23:25 27:7	recognizes 44:14
promise 9:8	48:12 50:25	49:22	recommend 33:2
10:11	54:5 72:8,14	rate 65:2	33:4,6,10,13,20
promote 5:10	puts 48:18	reach 25:22	38:9 48:13
promulgation	putting 22:17	reaching 73:10	54:20 68:21
72:9	72:2	read 29:13,14,17	recommendation
proof 35:8 43:17	q	29:18,20 36:13	51:11 54:4,8
proper 61:6,8	quadrennial	37:5 57:6	67:10 69:8
proposal 41:23	66:11,12 72:11	reading 30:3	recommendati
proposed 24:10	qualified 77:7	32:19 36:14	32:22 51:16
60:5	quality 5:9	ready 66:15	recommended
proposing 57:10	quandary 62:13	real 4:15 35:13	18:16
protect 25:10	quash 15:5	realities 49:8	recommending
proven 41:4	question 4:7,7,9	reality 45:20	47:1
provide 29:8,13	18:6 25:1 28:25	realize 65:23	reconcile 46:23
29:13 40:9,23	29:1,12 34:1,18	really 9:17 11:2	record 22:2
43:17 50:7,8	38:20,21 62:18	11:9 12:21	51:24 76:7 77:9
63:20	72:1	13:11 14:10,15	78:5
provided 17:11	questions 17:25	17:5 25:22 27:5	recorded 77:6
21:3 33:7 40:8	18:7 21:19	36:18,19 54:12	recording 77:8
provides 22:20	28:22 29:2	55:1,12 59:6	78:4
providing 26:14	38:17 39:19	60:17 62:12	recoveries 14:7
provision 38:19	40:21	64:20 65:6	red 14:13
public 7:21	quick 4:15 35:13	71:25	redefined 17:15
10:22 17:16,19	quit 31:2	rearing 24:10	redo 34:11
21:19 29:3,6,6	quite 54:18	reason 18:5 49:3	reduce 36:4
29:16 32:20	quote 31:13	49:13 61:19	reduced 8:22
65:22 77:18	quoted 30:4	reasonable 25:5	77:7
pueblo 5:2	quoteu 50.7	65:9	reducing 54:15

[referee - second]

referee 64:24	rephrase 68:22	respects 5:3	rules 14:6
reference 36:15	replacement	response 47:6	run 71:9 73:7
referral 10:2	12:23	restate 68:22	
			running 6:1
referrals 10:12	report 8:14 9:10	result 15:1,4	S
10:16 11:7	9:16 35:14	results 7:16 9:18	s 2:1 3:1 4:1
refers 10:4,17	62:19 75:7	35:3	sample 9:12
reflect 8:21	reported 1:16	retail 16:25	35:21
reflecting 49:8	reporter 76:7	retro 8:24 9:7	santa 1:11 20:14
regard 31:11	representation	review 22:3	satisfy 51:4
regarding 39:19	75:3	33:21 51:18	saul 45:16
regional 34:24	represented	66:11	saw 6:17,19 14:2
regular 15:24	73:22 74:7	reviewed 56:24	22:22 23:7
66:11	request 37:15	rewarded 30:2	27:22,22 30:4,18
regulation 72:8	required 17:9,17	rid 13:6 34:17	saying 34:8 37:8
72:8	26:8 31:15	right 7:2 8:13	41:24 49:2 58:2
regulations 17:9	41:11 58:21	10:19,25 11:20	63:24
73:20,25	requirement	13:19 14:20,24	says 48:6 60:9
regulatory 72:17	31:9,10 63:20	16:4 17:15	64:13 69:17
related 36:17	requirements	18:21,24 20:8,24	scale 37:2
77:11 78:7	72:13	21:19 25:20	scenario 60:11
relative 23:25	research 73:24	26:22 28:21	schedule 18:9
64:15 77:13	reserve 7:20	29:3,15 32:21	19:24,25 20:5
78:10	17:24 18:9,11	34:5,19 46:8	24:9 33:3,5,6,10
remember 46:19	19:23 20:1,3	50:23 53:22	33:13 35:4 53:1
47:10 48:25	24:3,7 25:7,10	54:3,6,23 56:19	55:2 62:21 64:9
66:6,7	26:4 27:9 28:7	58:3 59:20	64:9 66:8,25
remembering	30:1 32:5 46:14	61:14 65:17	scheduled 19:16
23:1	46:14,17 47:21	74:5	schedules 17:24
remind 58:4	53:12,16 61:23	robust 46:13	schedules 17.24 scorecard 16:5
remote 1:10 51:3	63:10	role 30:22 31:17	seal 55:16
remove 49:12	reserves 63:5	32:7	season 13:19
72:13	64:2 65:8	roll 5:18,20 13:4	second 8:6,7
removing 68:21	residences 55:5	51:3 66:22	15:17 23:13
72:16	resident 29:22	rounding 42:10	
renumber 34:1	resides 48:11	rule 13:16 17:14	25:6 37:23
repercussions	respect 36:16	26:7 38:23 47:9	38:13,14 40:19
31:8	55:3 65:10,22	66:21 74:6	47:4 50:5,13
	67:25		53:25 75:12,17
	-		

[seconded - sorts]

1 1 40 44	5 4 4 5	• 0.10	. 20.25
seconded 49:11	74:17	session 8:19	six 30:25
68:20	seeing 10:10	13:15 70:20	size 14:20 18:22
secretary 2:5	14:18 35:6,19,24	71:9	18:24 37:15
7:23 51:8 67:6	39:24	sessions 72:25	skills 77:10 78:6
69:6 70:10	seek 17:17 71:18	set 19:2 26:17	skip 51:13
section 45:25	seen 30:24 53:18	setting 18:21,24	slice 64:18
sector 23:6	select 40:15	sexy 12:21	slide 35:12
security 5:10	self 7:19 17:24	shaded 18:10,10	slightly 63:6,14
32:8	18:9,11 19:23	19:14 25:9,23	63:14
sedillo 2:7 6:6	20:1,3 24:3,7	33:5,9,11,14	small 9:12 35:22
44:6,22 45:1,6	25:7,10 26:4,5	53:11 58:11	snap 21:13,14,16
48:24 51:1,20,21	27:9 28:7 46:13	61:22 67:1	24:4,21 25:14
53:25 55:8	46:14,17,17	shannon 2:11	27:24,25 33:17
56:24 57:8,16	47:21 53:12,16	6:21,23 47:23	33:18 53:14
58:4,15,19,23	61:23 63:5,10	54:11 60:8	56:3,19 57:20,22
59:5 60:3 67:15	64:1 65:8 73:22	shared 36:7	57:25 58:2
67:16 69:10,11	74:7	sharing 64:6	59:23 67:2
72:24 75:20	sells 55:11	65:24	solution 42:1
76:5	semi 12:17	short 73:1	46:22
see 6:11,14,15	senate 8:18,20	show 15:1 26:17	solutions 9:24
8:9 9:11,13 11:4	17:6	49:20	10:3,5,19 16:22
11:21 12:17	senator 2:7 6:6	showed 22:23	somebody 27:2
13:25 14:9	44:6,25 50:1	showing 9:8	28:7,20 43:17
15:11,15 16:3,4	51:5,20 54:1	shows 14:25	57:1 64:22
16:6,8,14,20	59:21 66:16	18:21	son 30:24
17:21,25 18:7	67:15 69:10	sic 76:8	son's 30:20,22
19:1 20:3 21:20	73:11 75:18	side 11:20 32:15	sorry 8:18 11:22
21:23 24:3	sense 24:5 26:20	42:19	15:15 27:17
25:15 27:3	separate 25:12	sight 49:18	29:18 30:17
28:10,23 30:12	38:1,5	signature 77:16	32:3 37:5 38:2
30:23 33:25	september 1:6	78:14	54:4,5 56:1 57:6
35:3,14 36:6	10:23	significant 61:17	59:2 62:5,19
37:10 39:13	served 25:6	simply 47:20	68:10 69:18
50:22 51:23	service 10:22	single 24:22	70:14
56:8,10 57:10	23:6	situation 15:9	sort 70:23 71:2
61:13 66:24	services 4:25 5:6	35:9	71:14,18
67:13 69:13	5:9,13 8:16	situations 28:12	sorts 43:5
70:23,24 71:10		44:16 73:3	

[sound - sworn]

sound 74:14	57:13 64:17	studies 26:17	49:4,13,23 51:21
sources 7:17	66:8 77:19	65:14	52:7,9,11,13,15
south 22:13	state's 63:21	study 14:23	52:20,21,24
spanish 10:24	stated 50:5	stuff 24:4 65:15	55:10,17,25 56:7
speak 4:11 36:23	62:13	submitted 29:9	56:11,12,17,22
44:11 55:24	statement 49:8	29:10 62:20	59:18 60:3,23
specifically	states 22:6,13	submitting	62:5,10,14,21
36:23	24:1,18 26:8	32:18	63:5,10 64:1
speculative	28:10 29:21	subsistence	65:8 66:21
41:22	47:8 62:23 63:1	18:12 26:6,8,13	67:17,20,22 68:6
spent 32:12	63:24 64:11,15	63:20 65:12	68:7,15,21,25
spike 16:3,8	statewide 10:8	substance 28:17	69:3,7,11,17,21
spikes 13:25	11:14 12:10	substantially	69:23,25 70:3,5
14:3	statistics 22:11	25:20 60:18	71:4 72:19
sporadic 31:6	48:15	success 14:23,25	supported 58:6
spreadsheet 34:2	statue 46:1	sufficiency 26:5	supporting 9:21
ssr 18:17,19 19:2	statute 41:20	46:13 55:11	37:4 58:3
19:8,15 20:18	48:6 72:7,14	sufficient 26:1	supports 69:10
21:8 33:6,12,12	73:19 74:1	27:4 46:17 58:8	supposed 51:3
33:14 46:25	statutory 74:2	suggestion 72:6	sure 19:6 20:7
56:3,15 57:22	stay 30:18 72:1	support 5:15,25	25:15,16 27:8
58:10,13 60:24	step 12:24	7:16,19,19 8:15	36:7,12 39:17
67:1	stephen 2:13 7:8	8:17,20 9:4,15	42:21 43:6 53:5
stable 28:14	39:9 46:7,8	9:19 10:18	57:5,12 61:10
stably 23:24	stephen's 48:21	11:10 12:13,19	62:6,9 70:16
stacey 78:2,15	steps 7:22	13:7,23 15:14	73:13 74:5,10
start 4:22,24	stepup 9:22	17:3,4,7,7,9,13	surrounding
35:19 37:16	10:21 11:9 36:3	17:18,24 18:9,11	62:22 63:1
67:6	stimulus 14:1	19:23 20:1,3	64:10
started 12:1	stir 28:23	22:3 24:3,7,8	suspending
27:23	stop 39:14 58:2	25:7,10 26:1,4	65:18
starting 28:5	66:4	28:7 30:5 31:11	suspension
35:3,10,14 36:6	story 30:7	31:15 32:10,13	65:16
56:8,10	strong 49:19,20	32:14 37:17,19	sustaining 27:9
state 5:5 10:13	structurally	37:22,25 38:2,4	47:21 53:12,16
10:16 15:21	42:12	38:10,11,18	61:23
20:13,25 25:3	struggle 10:9	39:22,23 46:14	sworn 6:18 77:5
45:8 55:10,16,20		46:17 48:22	

[system - traction]

system 10:17,18	ten 30:12 39:3	things 10:14	thoughts 29:2
12:20,25 36:22	term 8:24	24:5 30:23	71:10
t	terms 74:20	34:22 35:2,10	three 8:23,25 9:6
	terrific 76:1	43:6 70:11	21:8 22:5 25:12
t 2:12 3:1,1	testifying 77:5	think 6:17 20:2	26:23 30:11
t's 70:6	testimony 65:22	27:3 28:5,19,22	33:12,16 53:21
table 30:15	testing 44:22	28:25 29:17	54:21 61:6
46:25 50:2 54:6	45:9	32:24 35:21	64:18
63:23 66:14	tests 4:2	36:6,24 37:1,4	thrilled 12:5
take 32:7 41:8	texas 63:2,15	39:16,20,24 40:7	time 4:3,13 6:8
41:14 43:1	64:2	40:14 42:24	10:8,20 13:19
51:10 70:23	texted 45:4	43:3,4,8 44:7,13	15:4 17:8 21:24
72:6,7	texting 44:5 50:1	45:6,20 46:3,14	22:8,23 26:7
taken 77:3,12	thank 4:20 5:22	46:21 47:10,20	30:25,25 32:16
78:9	5:24 6:4,5,12,24	48:2,4,20,21,25	34:11,11 35:5
talk 40:4 70:11	6:25 7:11 8:5,8	49:7,11,19,25	37:20 41:7
73:10	8:10,12 11:4	50:21 51:9 52:3	46:25 48:15
talked 66:4	22:1 28:21,24	55:1,11,20,21,24	55:4 63:12
70:18 72:5,18	32:16,17,18,19	56:3,15 58:1,3	65:24 70:18
talking 26:25	37:7 38:7,18	58:16 59:7,12	71:2 72:18 73:4
42:9 46:19,20 59:15 61:16	39:18 40:2	62:25 63:18	75:19,24
70:15 71:24	41:18 44:24	64:8,12 65:6	times 19:3 21:9
73:25	45:2,23 46:10	66:5 67:12 68:9	24:7,7 28:2
tanf 10:4,4,6	47:25 51:5	69:16 70:9 71:1	33:15 54:22
14:7 28:16	52:22,25 54:2	71:12,25 72:1,23	59:16,17,25 61:7
60:10,17	60:7 62:16	72:25 73:3,5	tinker 46:11
tax 13:19 15:24	66:16,23 67:5,10	74:5 75:17,20	today 4:22 5:5
16:8	67:11,18 68:10	thinking 37:11	8:15 29:7 72:13
teague 2:9 6:13	69:19,19,19 70:4	38:23 42:12	74:12,24
6:14 8:9,10	70:13 73:9,11	46:11 55:25	today's 7:13
40:18 47:3 52:6	74:16 75:1,2,3	58:7 59:11	told 30:20 31:6
67:18 68:11	75:11,22,25 76:2	70:20	top 23:1 62:24
69:15,19	76:4,5	third 24:6 25:9	64:17 67:6
tell 64:4	thanks 51:11	thought 19:10	torrence 1:16
telling 30:7	67:8 69:8 70:17	27:23 28:3 32:3	77:2,17
temperature	thing 12:21	39:6,17 46:8	total 19:18
70:24	34:14 37:24	50:6 60:20 75:5	traction 43:1
, 0.21			
	1		l

[training - want]

transcript 30:3 willimate 15:2 unceded update 23:14 (33:6,10,13 37:21) 34:5,13 (34:5,13) 78:3,5 transcriptionist 77:8 (38:25) transferring 38:25 (10:1)	training 9:21	•	unquote 31:13	view 43:9
transcript 30:3 78:3,5 transcriptionist 77:8 transferring 38:25 transform 5:7 treat 63:19 tried 30:23,25 true 77:9 78:5 truly 26:1 truth 59:13 try 10:14 21:21 36:4 37:12,14 50:17 56:21 trying 9:1 11:2,6 25:2 52:4 53:22 73:21,2,2,24 tuesday 1:6 tuned 72:1 turn 23:8 75:8 tv 10:23 twelve 8:25 two 13:2 19:9,13 19:21 20:19,2,0 20:24 21:6 22:4 23:14 26:2 30:8 31:20 33:9 54:13 55:4 57:18,23 60:1 63:18 74:20 type 4:6,9 43:7 47:8 transcriptionist 77:2 underemployed 10:1 underemploy 40:11 underground 118:23 65:5 understand 29:10 37:8 52:21 66:15, 2 24:6 35:4 updating 13:7 24:6 35:4 uploading 13:11 upward 43:21 use 24:18,19 33:8 53:21,22 64:25 useful 34:17 48:5 usually 15:4,12 23:6 28:13 64:17 utah 63:3,23 valid 26:3 48:21 variety 41:19 valid 26:3 48:21 volume 42:13 volume 4:13 volume 4:13 52:1 66:55 7:11 upward 43:21 use 24:18,19 33:8 53:21,22 vesio 2:1:0 23:6 28:13 64:17 undertake 74:22 unemployment 14:2 16:1,12 variety 41:19 vari			_	
T8:3,5 transcriptionist 77:8 transferring 53:11 66:25 72:11 volume 42:13 volumes 74:21 volumes 74:22 volumes 74:21 volumes 74:22 volumes 74:21 vo			-	
transcriptionist 77:8 transform 38:25 transform 5:7 treat 63:19 tried 30:23,25 true 77:9 78:5 truly 26:1 truth 59:13 try 10:14 21:21 36:4 37:12,14 50:17 56:21 trying 9:1 11:2,6 25:2 52:4 53:22 73:21,22,24 tuesday 1:6 tuned 72:1 turn 23:8 75:8 tv 10:23 twelve 8:25 two 13:2 19:9,13 19:21 20:19,20 20:24 21:6 22:4 23:14 26:2 30:8 31:20 33:9 54:13 55:4 57:18,23 60:1 63:18 74:20 type 4:6,9 43:7 47:8 transform 71:22 underemployed 10:1 underemploy 40:11 underground 18:23 65:5 understand 29:10 37:8 52:24 59:6 understanding 29:10 37:8 52:24 59:6 understanding 33:8 53:21,22 64:25 useful 34:17 48:5 usually 15:4,12 23:6 28:13 64:17 undertake 74:22 unemployed 9:25 16:15 31:3 47:13 unemployment 14:2 16:1,12 40:11 65:2 unfortunate 55:1 66:2 27:12,21 33:7 47:18 48:7.9, 48:10,16 50:9 48:10,16 50:9 48:10 20:34 88:21 variety 41:19 venohr 2:14 21:3 21:13 22:1,2 unfortunate 55:1 66:2 27:12,21 33:7 47:19 valid 26:3 48:21 variety 41:19 venohr 2:14 21:3 21:13 22:1,2 unfortunate 55:1 66:2 27:12,21 33:7 47:17 47:8 unmortunately 16:266:1 unified 13:8 unmute 4:12,15 44:3,6,19 unmuted 44:11 44:20,23 votumes 74:2 volumes 74:2 volumes 74:2 volumes 74:2 voted 70:25 voting 51:10 wage 15:21,22 16:9,16 20:13 20:25 22:9 voting 51:10 wage 15:21,22 16:9,16 20:13 20:25 22:9 40:11,7,24,2 47:18 48:7.9, 48:5 21:13 22:1,2 23:16 26:1 47:13 22:13 22:1,2 23:13 22:1,2 25:17 26:3 27:12,21 33:7 47:13 verohr's 9:10 33:8 53:21,22 40:11,7,24,2 47:18 48:7.9, 48:5 21:11 updating 13:7 24:6 35:4 55:21 66:15,2 52:21 66:15,2 52:21 66:15,2 52:21 66:15,2 52:21 66:15,2 52:21 66:22 52:24 59:6 48:5 usually 15:4,12 23:6 28:13 64:17 47:18 48:5 21:12 23:6 28:13 64:25 useful 34:17 48:5 23:18 25:4 30:14 33:22 20:25 22:9 23:18 25:4 47:13 48:5 22:12 22:13 22:12 22:13 22:12 22:13 22:13 22:1,2 40:11,7,7,4,2 47:18 48:5 21:13 22:1,2 40:11,7,7,4,2 47:18 48:5 21:13 22:1,2 40:11,7,7,4,2 47:18 48:7 9:25 16:15 31:3 47:13 47	_			
77:8 transferring transform 10:1 updated 21:2,8 voluntarily 3 vote 37:16 51 transform 5:7 treat 63:19 trided 30:23,25 true 77:7 treat 63:19 trided 30:23,25 true 77:7 true 77:7 40:11 updating 13:7 52:21 66:15,2 66:22 67:16,2 66:25 67:16,2 66:25 67:16,2 66:25 67:16,2 66:25 67:16,2 66:25 67:16,2 66:25 67:16,2 66:25 67:16,2 66:25 67:16,2 66:25 67:16,2 66:25 67:16,2 66:25 67:16,2 66:25 67:16,2 66:25 67:16,2 66:25 67:16,2 66:25 67:16,2 66:25 67:16,2	*			
transferring 38:25 transform 5:7 treat 63:19 tried 30:23,25 true 77:9 78:5 truly 26:1 truth 59:13 try 10:14 21:21 36:4 37:12,14 50:17 56:21 trying 9:1 11:2,6 25:2 52:4 53:22 73:21,22,24 tuesday 1:6 tunde 72:1 turn 23:8 75:8 tv 10:23 twelve 8:25 two 13:2 19:9,13 19:21 20:19,20 20:24 21:6 22:4 23:14 26:2 30:8 31:20 33:9 54:13 55:4 57:18,23 60:1 63:18 74:20 type 4:6,9 43:7 47:8 transform 5:7 treat 63:19 underemploy 40:11 underground 18:23 65:5 understand 29:10 37:8 52:24 59:6 understanding 55:9 understand 29:10 37:8 52:24 59:6 understanding 55:9 understates 24:17 understand 29:10 37:8 52:24 59:6 understand 29:10 37:8 52:4 59:6 understand 29:10 37:8 52:24 59:6 understand 29:10 37:8 52:24 59:6 understan	_			
10:17 treat 63:19 tried 30:23,25 true 77:9 78:5 true 77:9 78:5 true 40:11 underground 18:23 65:5 understand 29:10 37:8 52:24 59:6 understanding 33:8 53:21,22 trying 9:1 11:2,6 25:2 52:4 53:22 trying 9:1 11:2,6 25:2 52:4 53:22 trying 9:1 11:2,6 25:2 52:4 53:22 true 72:1 turn 23:8 75:8 tv 10:23 twelve 8:25 two 13:2 19:9,13 19:21 20:19,20 20:24 21:6 22:4 23:14 26:2 30:8 31:20 33:9 54:13 55:4 57:18,23 60:1 63:18 74:20 type 4:6,9 43:7 47:8 true verified 77:7 47:8 47:8 tr			_	_
transform 5:7 treat 63:19 40:11 24:6 35:4 66:22 67:16,2 66:22 67:16,2 true 40:11 underground uploading 13:11 woted 70:25 voted 70:25 voting 51:10 18:23 65:5 understand 29:10 37:8 64:25 useful 33:8 53:21,22 wage 15:21,22 48:5 understates 24:17 23:6 28:13 30:14 33:22 20:25 22:9 23:18 25:4 30:14 33:22 40:11,7,24,2 40:11,7,24,2 40:11,7,24,2 40:11,65:0:9 47:18 48:7,9 48:10,16 50:9 47:18 48:7,9 48:10,16 50:9 47:18 48:7,9 48:10,16 50:9 <th></th> <th>1011</th> <th></th> <th></th>		1011		
treat 63:19 trided 30:23,25 true 77:9 78:5 understand uploading 13:11 68:2 71:7 voted 70:25 voting 51:10 w 1 10:14 21:21 21:13 22:1,22 64:25 48:5 48:5 48:5 48:5 40:11 5:4,12 23:18 25:4 30:14 33:22 40:11 33:22 40:11 17; 42:2 40:11 17; 42:2 40:11 17; 42:2 40:11 17; 42:2 40:11 17; 42:2 40:11 17; 42:2 40:11 17; 42:2 40:11 17; 42:2 40:11 17; 42:2 40:11 17; 42:2 40:11 17; 42:2 40:11 17; 42:2 40:11 17; 42:2 40:11 17; 42:2 40:11 17; 42:2 40:11 17; 42:2 40:11 17; 42:2 40:11 17; 42:2 40:11 17; 42:2				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
tried 30:23,25 understand upward 43:21 voted 70:25 true 77:9 78:5 understand 29:10 37:8 upward 43:21 voted 70:25 voting 51:10 truth 59:13 try 10:14 21:21 36:4 37:12,14 50:17 56:21 understanding 33:8 53:21,22 wage 15:21,22 trying 9:1 11:2,6 25:2 52:4 53:22 23:6 28:13 20:25 22:9 23:18 25:4 25:2 52:4 53:22 moderstates 24:17 understates 23:6 28:13 30:14 33:22 40:11,17,24,2 4uesday 1:6 tuned 72:1 valid 26:3 48:21 47:18 48:7,9,9 tw 10:23 valid 26:3 48:21 valid 26:21 27:13,14 61:1 twelve 8:25 two 13:2 19:9,13 14:2 16:1,12 valid 26:3 48:21 valid 26:21 27:13,14 61:1 19:21 20:19,20 20:24 21:6 22:4 25:16:6:2 25:17 26:3 25:17 26:3 25:17 26:3 25:17 26:3 <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>·</th></t<>				·
true 77:9 78:5 understand use 24:18,19 woting 51:10 truth 59:13 try 10:14 21:21 33:8 53:21,22 wage 15:21,22 36:4 37:12,14 50:17 56:21 understanding 55:9 useful 34:17 wage 15:21,22 trying 9:1 11:2,6 25:2 52:4 53:22 23:6 28:13 64:17 30:14 33:22 20:25 22:9 23:18 25:4 30:14 33:22 20:25 22:9 23:18 25:4 30:14 33:22 40:11,17,24,2 47:18 48:7,9, 48:10,16 50:9				
truly 26:1 truth 59:13 33:8 53:21,22 w try 10:14 21:21 36:4 37:12,14 55:9 useful 34:17 48:5 16:9,16 20:13 20:25 22:9 23:18 25:4 20:25 22:9 23:18 25:4 30:14 33:22 40:11,17,24,2 48:5 20:25 22:9 23:18 25:4 30:14 33:22 40:11,17,24,2 47:18 48:7,9 48:10,16 50:9 47:18 48:7,9 48:10,16 50:9 47:18 48:7,9 48:10,16 50:9 57:13,14 61:1 47:18 47:18 47:19 48:10,16 50:9 47:18 48:7,9 48:10,16 50:9 47:18 48:7,9 48:10,16 50:9 47:18 48:7,9 48:10,16 50:9 47:18 48:7,9 48:10,16 50:9 47:18 48:7,9 48:10,16 50:9 47:18 48:7,9 48:10,16 50:9 47:18 48:7,9 48:10,16 50:9 47:18 48:7,9 48:10,16 50:9 48:10,16 50:9 48:10,16 50:9 48:10,16 50:9 48:10,16 50:9 48:10,16 50:9 48:10,16 50:9 48:10,16 50:9 48:10,16 50:9 48:10,16 50:9 48:10,16 50:9 48:10,16 50:9 48:10,16 50:9 48:10,16 50:9 48:10,16 50:9 48:10,16 50:9 48:10,16 50:9 49:14 40:11 49:14 40:11	1		_	
truth 59:13 try 10:14 21:21 36:4 37:12,14 50:17 56:21 trying 9:1 11:2,6 25:2 52:4 53:22 73:21,22,24 tuesday 1:6 tuned 72:1 turn 23:8 75:8 tv 10:23 twelve 8:25 two 13:2 19:9,13 19:21 20:19,20 20:24 21:6 22:4 23:14 26:2 30:8 31:20 33:9 54:13 55:4 57:18,23 60:1 63:18 74:20 type 4:6,9 43:7 47:8 trying 9:1 17:2,6 25:24 59:6 understanding 55:9 understates 24:17 undertake 74:22 unemployed 9:25 16:15 31:3 47:13 unemployment 14:2 16:1,12 40:11 65:2 unfairly 47:21 unfortunate 55:1 66:2 27:12,21 33:7 47:7 47:8 unmuted 44:11 44:20,23 useful 34:17 48:5 usually 15:4,12 23:6 28:13 64:17 utah 63:3,23 valid 26:3 48:21 variation 49:14 variety 41:19 venohr 2:14 21:3 variety 41:19			·	
try 10:14 21:21 35:24 39:6 understanding useful 34:17 48:5 36:4 37:12,14 50:17 56:21 trying 9:1 11:2,6 25:2 52:4 53:22 23:6 28:13 20:25 22:9 73:21,22,24 undertake 74:22 undertake 74:22 tuseday 1:6 undertake 74:22 unemployed 9:25 16:15 31:3 valid 26:3 48:21 47:18 48:7,9,9 twelve 8:25 unemployment 14:2 16:1,12 variation 49:14 57:13,14 61:1 twelve 8:25 unfairly 47:21 variety 41:19 43:12 64:15,1 19:21 20:19,20 20:24 21:6 22:4 23:14 26:2 30:8 25:17 26:3 25:17 26:3 wanda 2:6 6:1 23:14 26:2 30:8 31:20 33:9 55:1 66:2 27:12,21 33:7 43:24 44:1,2 43:24 44:1,2 44:3,6,19 unmute 4:12,15 44:3,6,19 version 11:2 variety 41:17 20:22 21:18,2 48:10,16 50:9 57:13,69:9 35:13 53:22 version 11:2 20:22 21:18,2 22:4 23:11 25:0 22:4 23:11 25:0 22:4 23:11 25:0				W
36:4 37:12,14 50:17 56:21 trying 9:1 11:2,6 25:2 52:4 53:22 73:21,22,24 tuesday 1:6 tuned 72:1 turn 23:8 75:8 tv 10:23 twelve 8:25 two 13:2 19:9,13 19:21 20:19,20 20:24 21:6 22:4 23:14 26:2 30:8 31:20 33:9 54:13 55:4 57:18,23 60:1 63:18 74:20 type 4:6,9 43:7 47:8 type 4:20,23 type 4:4:17 tuth 63:3,23 type 23:6 28:13 64:17 tuth 63:3,23 type 23:18 25:4 30:14 33:22 type 23:16 25:13 64:17 tuth 63:3,23 type 23:16 25:14 23:16 26:3 48:21 type 24:10 14:2 16:1,12 22:20 23:18 25:4 30:14 20:15 30:14 20:19 23:				wage 15:21,23
50:17 56:21 trying 9:1 11:2,6 23:3.9 usually 15:4,12 23:18 25:4 25:2 52:4 53:22 73:21,22,24 undertake 74:22 unemployed 64:17 utah 63:3,23 47:18 48:7,9, tuned 72:1 turn 23:8 75:8 valid 26:3 48:21 57:13,14 61:1 57:13,14 61:1 two 10:23 twelve 8:25 unemployment 14:2 16:1,12 variation 49:14 64:21 wagoneer 31: 19:21 20:19,20 unfairly 47:21 unfortunate 55:1 66:2 25:17 26:3 wanda 2:6 6:1 23:14 26:2 30:8 31:20 33:9 16:22 66:1 47:7 62:18,25 44:11,17 45:2 57:18,23 60:1 47:8 44:3,6,19 35:13 53:22 wanda's 51:15 type 4:6,9 43:7 47:8 44:20,23 version 11:2 version 11:2 vanda's 51:15 type weriting 77:7 47:8 42:20,23 versus 60:24 22:4 23:11 25				16:9,16 20:13,15
trying 9:1 11:2,6 25:2 52:4 53:22 73:21,22,24 tuesday 1:6 tuned 72:1 turn 23:8 75:8 tv 10:23 twelve 8:25 two 13:2 19:9,13 19:21 20:19,20 20:24 21:6 22:4 23:14 26:2 30:8 31:20 33:9 54:13 55:4 57:18,23 60:1 63:18 74:20 type 4:6,9 43:7 47:8 tvenwriting 77:7	1			20:25 22:9
25:2 52:4 53:22 73:21,22,24 tuesday 1:6 tuned 72:1 turn 23:8 75:8 tv 10:23 twelve 8:25 two 13:2 19:9,13 19:21 20:19,20 20:24 21:6 22:4 23:14 26:2 30:8 31:20 33:9 54:13 55:4 57:18,23 60:1 63:18 74:20 type 4:6,9 43:7 47:8 type 4:6,9 43:7 47:7 47:7 47:8 type 4:6,9 43:7 47:7 47:8 type 4:6,9 43:7 47:7 47:7 47:8 type 4:6,9 43:7 47:7 47:7 47:8 type 4:6,9 43:7 47:7 47:8 type 4:6,9 43:7 47:7 47:7 47:8 type 4:6,9 43:7 47:7 47:7 47:8 type 4:6,9 43:7 47:7 47:7 47:8 type 4:6,9 43:7 47:13 type 4:6,9 43:7 47:13 type 4:6,9 43:7 47:13 48:7,9,9 48:10,16 50:9 57:13,14 61:1 40:11,7,24,2 40:11,17,24,2 40:11,17,24,2 40:11,17,24,2 40:11,17,24,2 47:18 48:7,9,9 48:10,16 50:9 57:13,14 61:1 40:11,17,24,2 40:11,17,24,2 40:11,17,24,2 40:11,17 40:11,17 40:11 41:2 16:1,12 40:11 63:3,23 type 4:6,3 48:21 type 4:6,1,12 type 4:6,1,12 type 4:6,9 43:7 type			· ·	23:18 25:4
73:21,22,24 tuesday 1:6 tuned 72:1 turn 23:8 75:8 tv 10:23 twelve 8:25 two 13:2 19:9,13 19:21 20:19,20 20:24 21:6 22:4 23:14 26:2 30:8 31:20 33:9 54:13 55:4 57:18,23 60:1 63:18 74:20 type 4:6,9 43:7 47:8 tunemployed 9:25 16:15 31:3 47:13 unemployment 14:2 16:1,12 40:11 65:2 unfairly 47:21 unfortunate 55:1 66:2 unfortunately 16:22 66:1 unified 13:8 unmute 4:12,15 44:3,6,19 unmuted 44:11 44:20,23 undaris 74:25 tutah 63:3,23 valid 26:3 48:21 variety 41:19 variety 41:10 63:12 64:15,11 variety 41:10 63:12 64:15,11 variety 41:19 variety 41:10 63:12 64:15,11 63:12 64:15,11 63:13 62:1 13:20:12 0:1 13:20:12 0:1 13:20:12 0:1 14:20:13 0:1 14:20:13 0:1 14:20:13 0:1 15:13 0:1 16:22 66:1 16:22 66:1 17:13 0:1 18:14:10:1		24:17		30:14 33:22
tuesday 1:6 tuned 72:1 turn 23:8 75:8 tv 10:23 twelve 8:25 two 13:2 19:9,13 19:21 20:19,20 47:18 48:7,9,48:10,16 50:9 20:24 21:6 22:4 40:11 65:2 variation 49:14 63:12 64:15,1 20:24 21:6 22:4 40:11 65:2 venohr 2:14 21:3 wagoneer 31: 23:14 26:2 30:8 31:20 33:9 54:13 55:4 55:1 66:2 27:12,21 33:7 43:24 44:1,2, 47:18 48:7,9,4 48:10,16 50:9 variety 41:19 wagoneer 31: 40:11 65:2 unfortunate 25:17 26:3 27:12,21 33:7 43:24 44:1,2, 47:7 62:18,25 64:12 75:7 51:13 67:11,1 67:13 69:9 version 11:2 version 11:2 47:8 44:20,23 44:20,23 42:20 22:4 23:11 25		undertake 74:22		40:11,17,24,24
tuned 72:1 47:13 valid 26:3 48:21 57:13,14 61:1 tv 10:23 twelve 8:25 variation 49:14 43:12 64:15,1 two 13:2 19:9,13 49:21 20:19,20 40:11 65:2 41:13 22:1,2 42:13 22:1,2 43:24 44:1,2 43:24 44:1,2 44:11,17 45:2 44:11,17 45:2 44:11,17 45:2 44:11,17 45:2 45:13 67:11,1		unemployed		47:18 48:7,9,9
turn 23:8 75:8 unemployment variation 49:14 color of the color of th	_	9:25 16:15 31:3	V	48:10,16 50:9
tv 10:23 tmemployment variation 49:14 63:12 64:13,13 twelve 8:25 40:11 65:2 venohr 2:14 21:3 wagoneer 31: two 13:2 19:9,13 unfairly 47:21 venohr 2:14 21:3 waive 71:19 20:24 21:6 22:4 23:14 26:2 30:8 25:17 26:3 wanda 2:6 6:1 31:20 33:9 54:13 55:4 47:7 62:18,25 44:11,17 45:2 57:18,23 60:1 63:18 74:20 54:33 55:13 53:22 55:13 67:11,1 44:3,6,19 44:3,6,19 version 11:2 vanda's 51:13 47:8 44:20,23 44:20,23 22:4 23:11 25		47:13	valid 26:3 48:21	57:13,14 61:16
twelve 8:25 40:11 65:2 venohr 2:14 21:3 wagoneer 31: 20:19,20 20:24 21:6 22:4 23:14 26:2 30:8 55:1 66:2 27:12,21 33:7 43:24 44:1,2, 23:14 26:2 30:8 31:20 33:9 45:21 0 43:24 44:1,2, 54:13 55:4 57:18,23 60:1 64:12 75:7 51:13 67:11,1 64:21 64:21 64:21 40:11 65:2 10 25:17 26:3 27:12,21 33:7 43:24 44:1,2, 47:7 62:18,25 44:11,17 45:2 44:11,17 45:2 51:13 67:11,1 47:8 44:3,6,19 44:3,6,19 44:3,6,19 44:3,6,19 44:20,23 44:20,23 44:20,23 22:4 23:11 25		unemployment	variation 49:14	63:12 64:15,16
two 13:2 19:9,13 19:21 20:19,20 unfairly 47:21 20:24 21:6 22:4 23:14 26:2 30:8 31:20 33:9 54:13 55:4 57:18,23 60:1 16:22 66:1 43:24 44:1,2, 44:11,17 45:2 45:13 55:4 57:18,23 60:1 43:24 44:1,2, 44:3,6,19 44:3,6,19 44:3,6,19 44:20,23 44:20,23 44:20,23 venohr 2:14 21:3 waive 71:19 wanda 2:6 6:1 43:24 44:1,2, 44:11,17 45:2 55:13 67:11,1 51:13 67:11,1 venohr's 9:10 35:13 53:22 wanda's 51:13 69:9 want 4:11 17: 20:22 21:18,2 20:22 21:18,2 20:22 21:18,2 20:22 21:18,2 20:22 21:18,2 20:22 21:18,2 20:22 21:18,2 20:22 21:18,2 20:22 21:18,2 20:22 21:18,2 20:22 21:18,2		14:2 16:1,12	variety 41:19	64:21
19:21 20:19,20 20:24 21:6 22:4 23:14 26:2 30:8 31:20 33:9 54:13 55:4 57:18,23 60:1 63:18 74:20 type 4:6,9 43:7 47:8 44:20,23 47:21 unfortunate 25:17 26:3 27:12,21 33:7 47:7 62:18,25 64:12 75:7 venohr's 9:10 35:13 53:22 version 11:2 version 11:2 12:20 versus 60:24 47:7 62:18,25 51:13 67:11,11 67:13 69:9 vanda's 51:13 vanda's 51:13 version 11:2 25:17 26:3 43:24 44:1,2, 44:11,17 45:2 51:13 22:1,2 44:11,17 45:2 51:13 22:1,2 43:24 44:1,2, 44:11,17 45:2 51:13 22:1,2 44:11,17 45:2 51:13 22:1,2 44:11,17 45:2 51:13 67:11,1 67:13 69:9 vanda's 51:13 version 11:2 25:17 26:3 43:24 44:1,2, 44:11,17 45:2 51:13 67:11,1 67:13 69:9 vanda's 51:13 version 11:2 20:22 21:18,2		40:11 65:2	venohr 2:14 21:3	wagoneer 31:5
20:24 21:6 22:4 23:14 26:2 30:8 31:20 33:9 54:13 55:4 57:18,23 60:1 63:18 74:20 type 4:6,9 43:7 47:8 40:20 44:11,17 45:2 16:22 66:1 unmute 4:12,15 44:3,6,19 unmuted 44:11 44:20,23 wanda 2:6 6:1 43:24 44:1,2, 47:7 62:18,25 64:12 75:7 venohr's 9:10 35:13 53:22 version 11:2 12:20 versus 60:24 wanda 2:6 6:1 43:24 44:1,2, 44:11,17 45:2 51:13 67:11,1 67:13 69:9 wanda's 51:13 20:22 21:18,2 20:22 21:18,2	· ·	unfairly 47:21	21:13 22:1,2	waive 71:19
23:14 26:2 30:8 31:20 33:9 54:13 55:4 57:18,23 60:1 63:18 74:20 type 4:6,9 43:7 47:7 62:18,25 64:12 75:7 venohr's 9:10 35:13 67:11,1 venohr's 9:10 35:13 53:22 version 11:2 version 11:2 12:20 versus 60:24 43:24 44:1,2, 44:11,17 45:2 51:13 67:11,1 67:13 69:9 venda's 51:13 venohr's 9:10 20:22 21:18,2 20:22 21:18,2		unfortunate	25:17 26:3	wanda 2:6 6:1,5
31:20 33:9 54:13 55:4 57:18,23 60:1 63:18 74:20 type 4:6,9 43:7 47:8 47:7 62:18,25 64:12 75:7 venohr's 9:10 35:13 53:22 version 11:2 12:20 versus 60:24 44:11,17 45:2 51:13 67:11,1 67:13 69:9 wanda's 51:13 version 11:2 12:20 versus 60:24		55:1 66:2	27:12,21 33:7	43:24 44:1,2,10
54:13 55:4 57:18,23 60:1 63:18 74:20 type 4:6,9 43:7 47:8 typewriting 77:7 44:20,23 10:22 60:1 venohr's 9:10 35:13 53:22 venohr's 9:10 35:13 53:22 version 11:2 12:20 versus 60:24 51:13 67:11,11 67:13 69:9 wanda's 51:13 venohr's 9:10 35:13 53:22 version 11:2 20:22 21:18,2 22:4 23:11 25		unfortunately	47:7 62:18,25	44:11,17 45:24
54:13 55:4 57:18,23 60:1 63:18 74:20 type 4:6,9 43:7 47:8 typewriting 77:7 44:20,23 unified 13:8 unmute 4:12,15 44:3,6,19 unmuted 44:11 44:20,23 version 11:2 12:20 versus 60:24 67:13 69:9 wanda's 51:13 20:22 21:18,2 22:4 23:11 25		16:22 66:1	64:12 75:7	51:13 67:11,12
63:18 74:20 type 4:6,9 43:7 47:8 44:3,6,19 unmuted 4:11 44:20,23 version 11:2 12:20 versus 60:24 want 4:11 17: 20:22 21:18,2 22:4 23:11 25		unified 13:8	venohr's 9:10	
type 4:6,9 43:7 47:8 typewriting 77:7 44:3,6,19 unmuted 44:11 44:20,23 version 11:2 12:20 versus 60:24 want 4:11 17: 20:22 21:18,2 22:4 23:11 25		unmute 4:12,15	35:13 53:22	wanda's 51:15
47:8 44:20,23 versus 60:24 20:22 21:18,2		44:3,6,19	version 11:2	want 4:11 17:25
47:8 versus 60:24 22:4 23:11 25	-	unmuted 44:11	12:20	20:22 21:18,20
typewriting //:/		44:20,23	versus 60:24	22:4 23:11 25:7
unpredictable 62:1 34:9,22 36:19	typewriting 77:7	unpredictable	62:1	34:9,22 36:19
		44:15		37:9,14,24 38:25

[want - zero]

40:3 41:5 44:1	weeks 23:10	45:18 49:3,8
44:12 45:3,4	weigh 32:15 55:2	worksheet 42:7
46:6,24 47:2,17	55:3	worth 39:4
53:3 55:24	welcome 5:18,18	written 29:17
56:14 57:5 59:1	went 10:7 11:14	wrong 19:5
59:20 61:12	18:4	47:15 58:12
62:14 70:10	wide 49:14,22	\mathbf{y}
75:2	wilson 2:10 6:17	yea 51:7 52:8
wanted 14:22	36:11,14 37:23	yeah 25:24 34:5
20:3 25:11	37:23 38:14	37:5 47:6 52:3
27:18 29:11,15	52:10,11 54:25	58:16 59:5
31:8 36:7,12,15	67:21,22 69:20	61:10 65:6
38:5 44:7,12,17	69:21	66:19 71:23
45:7 51:1 56:22	withholding	year 9:4,16
62:4 70:14,22	15:21,23	10:13,16 13:5
72:19,21,24	withholdings	15:18,21 16:7
wanting 62:6,7,9	16:9,16	23:9 30:13,24
wants 15:5 70:8	witness 77:4	45:17,19
warrant 14:25	wondering	years 8:23,25,25
15:3,6,13,13	42:14 56:15	9:1,6 24:17 31:7
warrants 15:1	59:24	39:4 66:11
15:19	word 11:3,6	youngest 30:17
way 4:12 12:4,13	work 8:16 23:9	Z
13:10 20:23	26:19 35:17	
28:18 37:3 41:9	36:5 39:16 41:4	zero 18:14 19:12
42:7 43:3 56:4	45:15 46:21	34:8,9 38:24
59:10 73:6	56:17 65:5 70:9	58:14 60:10
we've 9:20 12:12	worked 7:18	
29:1 35:17	16:19 22:12	
50:13 53:18	30:15 33:20,21	
75:16	43:18 45:12	
website 16:4	46:12 48:3 56:9	
week 16:25 17:2	workforce 9:23	
22:24 23:7,9	10:3,5,19 16:22	
35:7 40:7,12,25	working 5:7	
42:1 46:5 48:16	9:21 10:17	
10.4		
48:17 49:7	12:14,14 22:3	
48:17 49:7 57:14 64:24,25	12:14,14 22:3 36:4,19 43:19	

New Mexico Rules of Civil Procedure for the District Courts Article 5, Rule 1-030

(e) Review by Witness; Changes; Signing.

If requested by the deponent or a party before completion of the deposition, the deponent shall have thirty (30) days after being notified by the officer that the transcript or recording is available in which to review the transcript or recording and, if there are changes in form or substance, to sign a statement reciting such changes and the reasons given by the deponent for making them. The officer shall indicate in the certificate prescribed by Subparagraph (1) of Paragraph F of this rule whether any review was requested and, if so, shall append any changes made by the deponent during the period allowed.

DISCLAIMER: THE FOREGOING CIVIL PROCEDURE RULES

ARE PROVIDED FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY.

THE ABOVE RULES ARE CURRENT AS OF APRIL 1,

2019. PLEASE REFER TO THE APPLICABLE STATE RULES

OF CIVIL PROCEDURE FOR UP-TO-DATE INFORMATION.

VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS COMPANY CERTIFICATE AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Veritext Legal Solutions represents that the foregoing transcript is a true, correct and complete transcript of the colloquies, questions and answers as submitted by the court reporter. Veritext Legal Solutions further represents that the attached exhibits, if any, are true, correct and complete documents as submitted by the court reporter and/or attorneys in relation to this deposition and that the documents were processed in accordance with our litigation support and production standards.

Veritext Legal Solutions is committed to maintaining the confidentiality of client and witness information, in accordance with the regulations promulgated under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), as amended with respect to protected health information and the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, as amended, with respect to Personally Identifiable Information (PII). Physical transcripts and exhibits are managed under strict facility and personnel access controls. Electronic files of documents are stored in encrypted form and are transmitted in an encrypted fashion to authenticated parties who are permitted to access the material. Our data is hosted in a Tier 4 SSAE 16 certified facility.

Veritext Legal Solutions complies with all federal and State regulations with respect to the provision of court reporting services, and maintains its neutrality and independence regardless of relationship or the financial outcome of any litigation. Veritext requires adherence to the foregoing professional and ethical standards from all of its subcontractors in their independent contractor agreements.

Inquiries about Veritext Legal Solutions' confidentiality and security policies and practices should be directed to Veritext's Client Services Associates indicated on the cover of this document or at www.veritext.com.